

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION

REVISED

TENTATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Administrative Adjudication by State Agencies

July 1994

This tentative recommendation is being distributed so that interested persons will be advised of the Commission's tentative conclusions and can make their views known to the Commission. Any comments sent to the Commission will be a part of the public record and will be considered at a public meeting when the Commission determines the provisions it will include in legislation the Commission plans to recommend to the Legislature. It is just as important to advise the Commission that you approve the tentative recommendation as it is to advise the Commission that you believe revisions should be made in the tentative recommendation.

COMMENTS ON THIS TENTATIVE RECOMMENDATION SHOULD BE RECEIVED BY THE COMMISSION NOT LATER THAN September 9, 1994.

The Commission often substantially revises tentative recommendations as a result of the comments it receives. Hence, this tentative recommendation is not necessarily the recommendation the Commission will submit to the Legislature.

California Law Revision Commission
4000 Middlefield Road, Suite D-2
Palo Alto, CA 94303-4739
(415) 494-1335

ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION BY STATE AGENCIES

SUMMARY OF REVISED RECOMMENDATION

Purpose of Revision

This recommendation proposes to replace the hearing provisions of the existing California Administrative Procedure Act with a new statute. The new statute would govern all state proceedings where an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is statutorily or constitutionally required. The purpose of the revision is to:

- Promote greater uniformity in state agency hearing procedures.
- Make state agency hearing procedures more accessible to the public.
- Improve fairness of state agency hearing procedures.
- Modernize and add greater flexibility to state agency hearing procedures.

Choice of Procedures

The new statute would allow a state agency to select any of five procedures for an administrative adjudication that it conducts under this act:

- (1) A formal hearing procedure.
- (2) An informal hearing procedure.
- (3) A special hearing procedure provided by agency regulation.
- (4) An emergency decision procedure.
- (5) A declaratory decision procedure.

Formal Hearing Procedure

The formal hearing procedure is based on the existing California Administrative Procedure Act, with numerous improvements and modernizations drawn from the Federal Administrative Procedure Act and the Model State Administrative Procedure Act. Revisions also accommodate hearings by a broader range of state agencies.

Important changes proposed in the formal hearing procedure include:

- *Separation of Functions.* Prosecutory and adjudicative functions must be separated within the agency. This is accomplished by isolating the presiding officer and agency head from prosecutorial involvement (including assistance, advice, and supervision by prosecutorial staff).
- *Alternative Dispute Resolution.* The statute encourages use of alternative dispute resolution techniques such as mediation and arbitration, in addition to settlement, by expressly authorizing these techniques and protecting communications.
- *Precedent Decision.* The statute expressly authorizes designation and indexing of important agency decisions as precedent for guidance of agency personnel and the public.

• *Procedural Improvements.* The statute makes numerous improvements in hearing procedures, including provision for consolidation and severance, intervention, resolution of discovery disputes by the presiding officer rather than superior court, open hearings, telephonic conduct of hearings and prehearing conferences, electronic recording of proceedings, and clarification of burden of proof.

Informal Hearing Procedure

The informal hearing procedure is intended as an adjudicative proceeding that satisfies due process and public policy requirements in a manner that is simpler and more expeditious than the formal hearing procedure, for use in appropriate circumstances. The procedure provides an informal forum in the nature of a conference in which a party has an opportunity to be heard by the presiding officer. The procedure also provides a forum that may accommodate a hearing where by regulation or statute a member of the public may participate without intervening as a party.

In an informal hearing the presiding officer regulates the course of the proceeding. The presiding officer must permit the parties and may permit others to offer written or oral comments on the issues, and may limit pleadings, intervention, discovery, prehearing conferences, witnesses, testimony, evidence, rebuttal, and argument.

Special Hearing Procedure

An agency may by regulation prescribe its own special hearing procedure for an adjudicative proceeding. This option is available only for a hearing that is not required to be conducted by the Office of Administrative Hearings. The only limitation on the special hearing procedure is that it is subject to a few fundamental due process and public policy requirements:

- (1) The presiding officer must be free of bias, prejudice, and interest.
- (2) The adjudicatory function must be separated from the investigative, prosecutorial, and advocacy functions within the agency.
- (3) Ex parte communications to the presiding officer are restricted.
- (4) The hearing is open to public observation.
- (5) The agency must make available language assistance to the extent required by existing law.
- (6) Each party has the right to present and rebut evidence.
- (7) The decision must be in writing, be based on the record, and include a statement of the factual and legal basis of the decision.
- (8) The decision may not be relied on as precedent unless the agency designates and indexes it as precedent.

The agency may adopt a special hearing procedure simply by specifying existing regulations as the procedure, or may, during the transitional period, adopt a new procedure without review for necessity by the Office of Administrative Law. The

agency must provide a copy of the special hearing procedure to the parties to the proceeding.

Emergency Decision Procedure

The statute makes available to all agencies authority to act immediately in emergency situations. The decision is limited to temporary, interim relief in a situation involving an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare that requires immediate agency action. The emergency decision must be followed up by a regular adjudicative proceeding.

Declaratory Decision Procedure

The statute makes clear that all agencies have discretionary authority to issue advice by means of declaratory decisions. Regular hearing procedures do not apply in this situation, since the declaratory decision is based on stipulated facts.

Conforming Revisions and Repeals

The statute provides default rules that govern absent a contrary statute applicable to the matter. Conforming revisions and repeals will correct cross-references and preserve the existing role of the Office of Administrative Hearings, but will not generally affect contrary statutory procedures. The text of the conforming revisions and repeals is available on request.

Costs

The statute is designed to limit transitional costs by minimizing and simplifying adoption of implementing regulations. The statute may generate substantial long-term savings through provision of less formal hearing options, alternative dispute resolution, simplified hearing processes, modernization of procedures (such as telephonic hearings and conferences and electronic reporting), summary review techniques, and other changes to expedite the administrative adjudication process and make it more efficient. The statute may also result in public perception of fairness and greater satisfaction with the administrative hearing process, with a consequent decrease in the incidence of judicial review of agency decisions.

ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION BY STATE AGENCIES

BACKGROUND	1
Introduction	1
History of Project	1
EXISTING CALIFORNIA LAW GOVERNING ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION	2
PROPOSED REVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	3
Comprehensive Statutory Revision	3
Consolidation of Law Governing Administrative Adjudication	4
Variety of Procedures	4
Transitional Provisions	5
APPLICATION OF STATUTE	5
Application to Hearings Required by Constitution or Statute	5
Application to All State Agencies	5
Definition of "State Agency"	6
Separation of Powers	7
The Legislature	7
The Judicial Branch	7
The Governor's Office	8
University of California	8
Executive Branch Agencies	9
Agricultural Labor Relations Board	9
Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board	9
Department of Corrections and Related Entities (Board of Prison Terms, Youth Authority, Youthful Offender Parole Board, and Narcotic Evaluation Authority)	9
Military Department	10
Public Employment Relations Board	10
Public Utilities Commission	10
Commission on State Mandates	10
CHOICE OF PROCEDURES	10
CENTRAL PANEL OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES	11
Background	11
History of Central Panel in California	12
Expansion of California Central Panel	13
IMPARTIALITY OF DECISIONMAKER	15
Exclusivity of Record	15
Bias	15
Ex Parte Communications	16
Separation of Functions	17
Command Influence	18
FORMAL HEARING PROCEDURE	18
Notice and Pleadings	18
Terminology	18
Initiation of proceedings	18
Service	18
Amendment of pleadings	19
Role of Administrative Law Judge	19
Prehearing Procedures	21
Intervention	21
Discovery and Subpoenas	21

Prehearing Conference.	22
Consolidation and Severance.	22
Resolution Without Hearing	22
Settlement.	22
Alternative Dispute Resolution.	22
Hearing Procedures	23
Open Hearings.	23
Present and Rebut Evidence.	23
Telephone Hearings.	24
Language Assistance.	24
Transcripts.	24
Evidence	24
Technical Rules of Evidence.	24
Scientific Evidence.	25
Affidavits.	25
Hearsay.	25
Review of Evidentiary Rulings.	25
Enforcement of Orders and Sanctions	26
Decision	26
Burden of Proof.	26
Voting by Agency Members.	26
Findings and Basis of Decision.	26
Precedent Decisions	27
Administrative Review	27
Judicial Review	28
INFORMAL HEARING PROCEDURE	28
SPECIAL HEARING PROCEDURE	29
EMERGENCY DECISION PROCEDURE	31
DECLARATORY DECISION PROCEDURE	31
CONVERSION OF PROCEEDINGS	32
CONFORMING REVISIONS AND REPEALS	32
COST CONSIDERATIONS	33

PROPOSED LAW:
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION BY STATE AGENCIES

BACKGROUND

Introduction

The Legislature in 1987 authorized the California Law Revision Commission to make a study of whether there should be changes to administrative law.¹ The Commission has divided the study into four phases, in the following order of priority: (1) administrative adjudication, (2) judicial review, (3) rulemaking, (4) non-judicial oversight.

This is the first in a series of reports on the administrative law study. It presents the Commission's recommendations concerning administrative adjudication.

History of Project

The Commission initiated this project by retaining Professor Michael Asimow of UCLA Law School to serve as a consultant and prepare a background study. The Commission also collected and made extensive use of materials from other jurisdictions, including the Model State Administrative Procedure Act (1981) promulgated by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws,² and the Federal Administrative Procedure Act.³

The Commission's consideration of policy issues and draft statutory language occurred at a series of public meetings between 1990 and 1994. The meetings were held primarily in Sacramento as a convenience to the many state agencies headquartered there and were well-attended by agency representatives. In order to help achieve balance in its deliberations, the Commission named several non-agency experts as volunteer consultants to provide the Commission the benefit of their knowledge and experience.⁴

In 1993 the Commission released for comment a tentative recommendation to provide a single administrative procedure for all state agencies, with an opportunity for an agency to adopt regulations to tailor the procedure to suit its needs. Comment on the draft convinced the Commission the single procedure approach has substantial problems and that a variety of procedures is necessary to accommodate the wide range of state agency hearings. The Commission restructured the draft in its present form during the first part of 1994.

1. 1987 Cal. Stat. res. ch. 47; see *Annual Report*, 19 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 501, 517 (1988).

2. Referred to in this report as the "1981 Model State APA."

3. 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559, 701-706, 1305, 3105, 3344, 5362, 7521 (1976), originally enacted as Act of June 11, 1946, ch. 324, 60 Stat. 237. The federal statute is referred to in this report as the "Federal APA."

4. The consultants are Richard Turner, Robert Sullivan, Gene Livingston, and James Mattesich, all of Sacramento; Mark Levin of Los Angeles; and Professor Preble Stolz of Berkeley.

EXISTING CALIFORNIA LAW GOVERNING ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION⁵

California's Administrative Procedure Act⁶ was enacted in 1945⁷ in response to a study and recommendations by the Judicial Council.⁸ The Judicial Council studied only occupational licensing agencies and the statute originally covered only the adjudications conducted by those agencies.⁹ The decision to limit coverage to licensing agencies was not based on a principled decision that an Administrative Procedure Act was inappropriate for other agencies of government; rather, the Judicial Council thought that improvements in the procedures of other agencies were needed, but it was not prepared to make recommendations with respect to them.¹⁰

The Judicial Council's report and the resulting legislation was a pioneering effort. The creation of a central panel of hearing officers, for example, was an idea that was far ahead of its time. There were no comparable Administrative Procedure Acts at that time and the idea of an administrative procedure code applicable to agencies in general was untried and controversial. The Judicial Council and the Legislature moved cautiously, but the Administrative Procedure Act was well conceived and has served well in the 50 years since it was enacted.

During that time, the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act relating to adjudication have been little changed.¹¹ Yet the regulatory and social welfare responsibilities of state government have broadened in ways unforeseen in 1945 and the scope of administrative adjudication is vastly greater now.

The 1945 California APA prescribes a single and unvarying mode of formal, trial-type adjudicatory procedure conducted by an independent hearing officer

5. The description of existing California law governing administrative adjudication is drawn from the report on the matter prepared for the Commission by its consultant. See Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1071-73 (1992).

6. The Administrative Procedure Act appears at Government Code Sections 11340-11528. Adjudication is governed by Sections 11500-11528. Provisions relating to the Office of Administrative Hearings are at Sections 11370-11370.5. The California statute is referred to in this report as "1945 California APA."

7. 1945 Cal. Stat. ch. 867. Provisions on rulemaking were added in 1947 and substantially revised in 1979. 1947 Cal. Stat. ch. 1425; 1979 Cal. Stat. ch. 567. The adjudication provisions have had only minor revisions since 1945.

8. Judicial Council of California, Tenth Biennial Report (Dec. 31, 1944). See Clarkson, *The History of the California Administrative Procedure Act*, 15 Hastings L.J. 237 (1964).

9. The Judicial Council recommended a scheme of judicial review applicable to all administrative adjudications, not just those of licensing agencies. See Judicial Council of California, Tenth Biennial Report 26 (Dec. 31, 1944). This statute was the precursor of present Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5.

10. Judicial Council of California, Tenth Biennial Report 10, 28 (Dec. 31, 1944). The Judicial Council expressed hope that its work would be adapted to nonlicensing agencies such as tax, workers' compensation, public utilities, and benefit adjudications. These agencies were not covered because of practical limitations on the resources of the Judicial Council. See Kleps, *California's Approach to the Improvement of Administrative Procedure*, 32 Cal. L. Rev. 416 (1944).

11. The Administrative Procedure Act now covers a few agencies engaged in prosecutory functions that are not concerned with occupational licensing, such as the Fair Political Practices Commission. Also the act has been amended to include provisions for interpreters and to ban ex parte contacts with administrative law judges. Gov't Code §§ 11500(g), 11501.5, 11513(d)-(i), 11513.5.

The provisions on rulemaking were completely rewritten in 1979 and cover almost all California agencies.

(administrative law judge) assigned by the Office of Administrative Hearings.¹² The administrative law judge writes a proposed decision which the agency head can adopt, modify, or reject.¹³ There is little or no flexibility in the system to accommodate the many differing types of determinations an agency now may be required to make.

The Administrative Procedure Act covers only specified named agencies, and it covers only those functions required by the agency's organic statute.¹⁴ Many important California agencies are wholly or largely uncovered by the adjudicative provisions of the act: the Public Utilities Commission, the Workers Compensation Appeals Board, the Coastal Commission, the State Board of Equalization, the Agricultural Labor Relations Board, the State Personnel Board, the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board, and numerous others. Some agencies are partially covered by the act, but major areas of their adjudication remain uncovered.¹⁵

Adjudication in agencies not covered by the Administrative Procedure Act is subject to procedural rules of some sort. In each case, there are statutes, regulations, and unwritten practices that prescribe adjudicatory procedures. The procedures vary greatly from formal adversarial proceedings to informal meetings. The only unifying theme is that adjudication in these agencies is not conducted by an administrative law judge assigned by the Office of Administrative Hearings. Instead, the persons who make the initial decision in these agencies are employed by the agencies themselves.¹⁶

PROPOSED REVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

Comprehensive Statutory Revision

The Law Revision Commission recommends enactment of a new California administrative adjudication statute. The new statute builds on the 1945 California APA, but takes into account the many developments that have occurred in the 50 years since enactment of California's groundbreaking law. This period has seen an

12. The procedures relating to disputes about granting licenses differ slightly from those relating to revoking or suspending licenses. Government Code § 11504.

13. Gov't Code § 11517(b),(c). Thus the final decision rests with the agency heads who are also responsible for rulemaking and law enforcement. With very few exceptions (the only known exception is the Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board), adjudication is not separated from other regulatory functions in agencies governed by the Administrative Procedure Act.

14. Government Code § 11501. However, the Administrative Procedure Act is made specifically applicable to most license denials and licensee reprovals. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 485, 495. A list of agencies covered by the Administrative Procedure Act, broken down into covered and uncovered functions, is found in California Administrative Hearing Practice (Cal. Cont. Ed. Bar, Supp. 1994).

15. For example, the Administrative Procedure Act covers only certain adjudicatory functions of the Departments of Insurance and Corporations, Department of Motor Vehicles, and the Horse Racing Board.

16. In some agencies (such as the Coastal Commission), there is no initial decision; the agency head or heads hear the evidence and argument themselves and their initial decision is also the final decision.

explosive growth of our knowledge and experience in administrative law and procedure, including development of well-articulated statutes in other states and at the federal level, as well as promulgation of several generations of model State Administrative Procedure Acts.

Comprehensive revision of the administrative procedure statute will enable California to take full advantage of these major developments in the law. It will enable complete and thorough procedural reform that could not easily be achieved on a piecemeal basis. And it will enable development of a broad and flexible statute that has the potential to be applied to a wider range of agencies and functions than are now governed by the Administrative Procedure Act.

Consolidation of Law Governing Administrative Adjudication

A major defect of the existing California law governing administrative adjudication by agencies is that the law as to the hearing procedures applicable in an individual agency may be relatively inaccessible. It is common to find an agency's procedure governed by a combination of general procedural statutes, special statutes applicable to the particular agency, regulations adopted by the agency, rules of procedure that have not been adopted by regulation, and unwritten practices followed by the agency.¹⁷ This situation makes it difficult in many cases for a person having to deal with the administrative procedures of an agency to know exactly what to expect and how to proceed.

One objective of the proposed revision of the 1945 California APA is to consolidate the law governing the procedures of an agency so that it is readily accessible to those having business before the agency. The law should be largely stated in the general Administrative Procedure Act. Any variants of the law necessary for proceedings before a particular agency should be stated in the body of regulations adopted by that agency. This will ensure that a person having business before that agency will be able readily to find the governing administrative procedure.

Variety of Procedures

A significant limitation of the 1945 California APA is that it provides a single type of relatively formal adjudicative proceeding. But a less formal procedure is needed for many types of agency decisions, and an expedited process may be required for others. Some agency decisions are unique and unsuited to the standard hearing process; a special hearing procedure may be necessary. The proposed law expands the opportunity for an agency to select the type of procedure that is most appropriate for a particular decision.¹⁸

17. Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1077-78 (1992).

18. See discussion of "Choice of Procedures" *infra*.

Transitional Provisions

The proposed law has a deferred operative date of a year and a half. This will enable agencies to promulgate any regulations necessary for smooth operation under the new statute. The proposed law also allows for immediate adoption of interim regulations by an agency, to ease the transition process. The new statute and implementing regulations would govern only cases initiated after the operative date. Pending cases would continue to be governed by former law.

APPLICATION OF STATUTE**Application to Hearings Required by Constitution or Statute**

Governmental agencies make many decisions that impact the rights and interests of citizens. However, most of these decisions are informal in character, and it would be inappropriate as well as a practical impossibility to burden those decisions with the hearing formalities of the Administrative Procedure Act. It is only where a decision affects a right or interest of a type entitled to due process protection under the state or federal constitution, or where the Legislature by statute has expressly extended such protection, that the decision should be made through the hearing procedures of the Administrative Procedure Act.

The new statute would provide procedures to govern all decisions for which an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is required by the federal or state constitution or by statute. For this purpose, a "decision" is an agency action of specific application that determines a legal right, duty, privilege, immunity, or other legal interest of a particular person. Thus the new statute does not apply to rulemaking since rules are of general rather than particular applicability. And since the statute governs only statutorily or constitutionally required hearings, it does not cover a large area of informal adjudication where agencies may choose to provide hearings even though no hearing is legally required.

Application to All State Agencies

The existing scheme of having different rules of administrative procedure applicable to different agencies, or in some cases having different rules applicable to the same agency depending on the type of proceeding, makes it difficult for the public and for practitioners who must deal with administrative agencies. The situation is aggravated by the fact that although the Administrative Procedure Act is readily accessible, other applicable rules of administrative procedure may not be. It is often the case that the most important elements of an agency's procedural code are not written.¹⁹

19. Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1077 (1992):

Nowhere is it written that outsider ex parte contacts with the agency heads are tolerated, yet they are in some agencies. The extent to which agency functions are internally separated remains obscure, as does the process whereby agency heads reconsider ALJ decisions. Alternatively, the regulations may provide for procedures that are in fact never used. Nowhere are the rules about discovery stated. The factors that an

The present system confers an advantage on agency staff and specialists who often deal with the agency or are former staff members or agency heads. They are familiar with the unwritten procedures and precedents and traditional ways of resolving issues. They know about the unwritten exceptions and ways of avoiding obstacles. Such a system seriously disfavors inexperienced advocates and the clients they represent, particularly community or public interest organizations that do not have access to the few experts in the procedure of a particular agency.

Uncodified procedures may be arbitrarily or unevenly applied because staff members may adhere to them or make exceptions to them as they feel is proper. In many cases, staff members would like to improve agency procedure, but agency heads resist changes or ignore established procedure. Since no one is certain precisely what is expected or required, it is often difficult to decide what procedure or behavior is appropriate under the circumstances.

When each agency has its own procedural law, the quality of judicial review is also degraded. For example, when a court engages in judicial review of agency action and a procedural issue is drawn into question, the court has recourse only to precedents relating to that agency, if there are any. Even though the same problem is clearly dealt with by the Administrative Procedure Act and there is a well developed scheme of precedents relating to that problem, the court must reinvent an appropriate independent result.

For these reasons the Law Revision Commission recommends expansion of the Administrative Procedure Act to govern the hearing procedures of all state agencies,²⁰ subject to special cases where a limited exception is warranted or a special procedure is necessary. These cases are noted below, but they constitute the exception rather than the rule.

Definition of "State Agency"

As a rule, state agencies are easily distinguished from local agencies. In a few cases, however, there are hybrid types of agencies, with the result that it is unclear whether their administrative adjudications are to be governed by the new Administrative Procedure Act. The new act deals with these situations so as to effect the broadest possible coverage:

(1) If the agency is created or appointed by joint or concerted action of the state and one or more local agencies, the new act applies.²¹

agency uses to make particular kinds of decisions are seldom reduced to regulations or guidelines or made available through a system of adjudicatory precedents. Essentially, a great deal of the substantive law and procedure of the non-APA agencies is accessible only through the institutional memory of the staff.

It should be noted, however, that the procedural codes of many agencies are written. For example, the State Personnel Board has written rules, and the Occupational Safety and Health Appeals Board publishes a compendium of its rules.

20. This recommendation is limited to state agencies. Extension of the hearing provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act to local agencies is beyond the scope of the present study.

21. This provision is drawn from 1981 Model Act § 1-102(1).

(2) If the public entity is a local agency but existing statutes make the current Administrative Procedure Act applicable to it, the local agency is governed by the new act.²²

The new act also authorizes local agencies voluntarily to adopt the provisions of the new act. This may be useful for a local agency that needs administrative adjudication rules but does not have the resources or desire to formulate its own procedural code. Adoption of the new act will ensure the local agency of workable procedures that satisfy due process of law.

Separation of Powers

Separation of powers doctrine requires that the heads of the three branches of state government be autonomous and independent in their internal affairs.²³

The Legislature. The Legislature is constitutionally and statutorily vested with a number of adjudicative functions, such as judging the qualifications and elections of its members and expulsion of members,²⁴ determination of ethics violations of members,²⁵ impeachment of state officers and judges,²⁶ and confirmation of gubernatorial appointments.²⁷ These judgments are politically sensitive in nature, and the procedure for arriving at them is not susceptible to formalization but must be left to the political judgment of the Legislature based on its determination of the propriety of the procedure for each of these decisions.

Exclusion of the Legislature from coverage of the new act would not frustrate the objective of a uniform body of administrative procedural law applicable to all state agencies, since the adjudicative decisions made by the Legislature are not the type that impact the relations between the average citizen and the state bureaucracy.

The Judicial Branch. The judicial branch of state government includes, besides the court system,²⁸ the Judicial Council,²⁹ the Commission on Judicial Appointments,³⁰ the Commission on Judicial Performance,³¹ and the Judicial Criminal Justice Planning Committee.³²

22. An example is school districts, which are governed by the existing Administrative Procedure Act under Government Code Section 11501 with respect to certificated employees.

23. The scope of the exemption may depend on whether a rulemaking or adjudicatory function of the government head is involved. The Law Revision Commission has not yet reviewed the rulemaking function.

24. Cal. Const. Art. IV, § 5.

25. Gov't Code §§ 8940-8955 (Joint Legislative Ethics Committee).

26. Cal. Const. Art. 4, § 18.

27. See, e.g., Cal. Const. Art. IV, § 20 (approval by Senate of gubernatorial Fish and Game Commission appointees; removal by concurrent resolution adopted by each house).

28. The court system in California consists of the Supreme Court, courts of appeal, superior courts, municipal courts, and justice courts. Cal. Const. Art. VI, § 1.

29. Cal. Const. Art. VI, § 6.

30. Cal. Const. Art. VI, § 7.

31. Cal. Const. Art. VI, § 7.

32. Penal Code § 13830.

With respect to adjudicatory functions of the agencies within the judicial branch:

(1) The Judicial Council does not conduct constitutionally or statutorily required adjudicatory hearings.

(2) The Commission on Judicial Appointments conducts hearings to make judicial appointment confirmation decisions that are vested in the discretion of the commission. The administrative adjudication provisions of the new act would be inappropriately applied to them.

(3) The Commission on Judicial Performance conducts judicial misconduct and involuntary disability retirement hearings by procedures whose formulation is constitutionally vested in the Judicial Council.³³

(4) The Judicial Criminal Justice Planning Committee does not conduct constitutionally or statutorily required adjudicatory hearings.

Since the judicial branch agencies either do not conduct constitutionally or statutorily required administrative hearings, or the hearings they do conduct are or should be constitutionally exempt, the new Administrative Procedure Act has been drafted to exempt the entire judicial branch (not just the courts) from its application.

The Governor's Office. Although the Administrative Procedure Act is designed primarily for executive branch agencies, the head of the executive branch — the Governor and the Governor's executive office — must be able to make the kinds of political decisions necessary to run the executive branch effectively, free of Administrative Procedure Act formalities in a way that appears appropriate to the Governor. The Administrative Procedure Act maintains the integrity of the Governor and Governor's office by exempting it from application of the act.³⁴

University of California

Article 9, Section 9 of the California Constitution makes the University of California independent and free of legislative control.³⁵ Although the Commission's fundamental recommendation is that the new Administrative Procedure Act should apply to all agencies of the state, it does not appear that the University may be subjected to the new act under this provision.³⁶

33. Cal. Const. Art. VI, § 18(h) ("The Judicial Council shall make rules implementing this section and providing for confidentiality of proceedings."). The Judicial Council Rules of Court provide procedures at Rules 901-922.

34. There are a few exceptions to this general rule. See, e.g., Bus. & Prof. Code § 106.5 ("The proceedings for removal [of specified board members] shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the Governor shall have all the powers granted therein.")

35. Subdivision (a) of the section provides in relevant part:

The University of California shall constitute a public trust, to be administered by the existing corporation known as "The Regents of the University of California," with full powers of organization and government, subject only to such legislative control as may be necessary to insure the security of its funds and compliance with the terms of the endowments of the university and such competitive bidding procedures as may be made applicable to the university by statute for the letting of construction contracts, sales of real property, and purchasing of materials, goods, and services.

36. Cf. *Scharf v. Regents of the University of California*, 234 Cal. App. 3d 1393 (1991).

Basic due process constraints apply to rulemaking and adjudicatory proceedings by the University of California as they do to all other state agencies. The Commission's inquiry reveals that the University has developed well-articulated notice and hearing procedures. Given the constitutional independence of the University, the Commission recommends that the Legislature not mandate that the University of California be subject to the Administrative Procedure Act.

Nonetheless, the procedures provided in the new Administrative Procedure Act are reasonable, flexible, and satisfy basic due process constraints. The Commission believes the procedures provided in the new act are suitable for the University of California's adjudicatory proceedings. The statute should make clear that the University may voluntarily adopt the Administrative Procedure Act. Adoption of the act by the University would promote the important objective of a uniform body of law applicable throughout the state. It would also make consistent the University's internal governance with the procedures the University must follow in its external relations with the rest of state government.

Executive Branch Agencies

Although the Administrative Procedure Act is designed specifically for hearings by executive branch agencies, some hearings are so uncharacteristic and require such special treatment that exemption from the Administrative Procedure Act is appropriate. However, constitutional due process requirements would still apply to those hearings.

Hearings the Commission recommends be exempted from the Administrative Procedure Act are:

Agricultural Labor Relations Board: election certification. The collective bargaining election certification provisions administered by the Agricultural Labor Relations Board are modeled after federal procedures and are unique and inconsistent with the Administrative Procedure Act.³⁷

Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board: appeals from ABC decisions. The Alcoholic Beverage Control Appeals Board is a review tribunal for appeals from decisions of the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control. The Constitution provides procedural rules for these appeals that cannot be altered by statute.³⁸

Department of Corrections and Related Entities (Board of Prison Terms, Youth Authority, Youthful Offender Parole Board, and Narcotic Evaluation Authority): parole hearings. Fundamental principles of the Administrative Procedure Act are irrelevant in parole hearings, including right to counsel, open hearings, choice of venue, pleadings, and relevant time periods. In addition, the interplay of due process principles and the likelihood that any fundamental change in procedure will generate extensive litigation in this area make application of the Administrative Procedure Act inadvisable.

37. See, e.g., Lab. Code §§ 1156-1159.

38. Cal. Const. Art. XX, § 22.

Military Department: hearings under Military & Veterans Code. California Military department hearings under the Military and Veterans Code and pursuant to federal regulation are a hybrid of federal and special state provisions that are unique and involve primarily matters of military classification and discipline. The simplest approach is to exempt these hearings completely.

Public Employment Relations Board: election certification. The collective bargaining election certification provisions administered by the Public Employment Relations Board are modeled after federal procedures and are unique and inconsistent with the Administrative Procedure Act.³⁹

Public Utilities Commission: hearings under the Public Utilities Act. The Public Utilities Commission is a constitutional agency that is authorized to establish its own procedures, subject to statute and due process.⁴⁰ In addition to special constitutional provisions, there is an extensive body of special statutory rules governing hearings under the Public Utilities Act. As a practical matter, application of the Administrative Procedure Act in this legal context would have little effect other than to add complexity to the law.

Commission on State Mandates: resolution of disputes over state mandated local programs. The Commission on State Mandates hears and decides applications from local government for reimbursement from the State for state-mandated programs that impose costs on local government.⁴¹ This is an intergovernmental relations matter that has little in common with ordinary administrative hearings and does not affect the public.

All other statutorily or constitutionally required hearings of executive branch agencies should generally remain subject to the Administrative Procedure Act. However, there are special statutes applicable to particular decisions of agencies — for example expedited time requirements for resolution of certain disputes — and these special provisions should ordinarily be preserved in conforming changes to the Administrative Procedure Act as reflective of a conscious policy determination.

CHOICE OF PROCEDURES

The proposed law expands the procedural options available to an agency, in recognition of the fact that simpler and quicker procedures may be needed for many types of agency decisions. Other decisions may be unique and require a special hearing procedure. The proposed law offers the following choices:

- *The Formal Hearing Procedure.* This is the default hearing procedure, based on the existing Administrative Procedure Act, that governs an agency decision unless one of the other options is selected and available. The formal hearing

39. See, e.g., Gov't Code §§ 3520-3595.

40. Cal. Const. Art. XII, § 2.

41. See Gov't Code §§ 17525-17571.

procedure is not identical to the existing statute, however. It includes a number of modernizations, as well as improvements that make it more usable for a wider range of agencies.⁴²

- *The Informal Hearing Procedure.* The informal hearing procedure is intended for smaller cases and is useful in other situations such as for taking public testimony. It is more in the nature of a conference than a trial, with the presiding officer authorized to limit pleadings, intervention, discovery, prehearing conferences, witnesses, testimony, evidence, rebuttal, and argument.⁴³

- *A Special Hearing Procedure.* An agency may find it necessary to craft special procedures for certain decisions. The proposed law allows this, provided the decision is not one that is required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. Special hearing procedures are subject to basic public policy and due process limitations.⁴⁴

- *Emergency Decision Procedure.* An agency may need to act immediately in an emergency situation, and the formal procedure is inadequate for this purpose. A few statutes provide authority for an agency to take immediate action for certain types of decisions, but there is no general provision to this effect. The proposed law provides an emergency decision framework for any agency that adopts a regulation specifying the parameters of the procedure.⁴⁵

- *Declaratory Decision Procedure.* It may be important that an agency issue advice on the application of statutes or regulations it administers. The proposed law provides a declaratory decision structure in which agencies may do this.⁴⁶ Other hearing procedures do not apply in this situation, since the declaratory decision is based on stipulated facts.

CENTRAL PANEL OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Background

Under existing California law, many types of adjudicative hearings of many state agencies are conducted by administrative law judges and hearing officers employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings in the Department of General Services.⁴⁷ However, most of the major state agencies employ their own administrative law judges and hearing officers.⁴⁸ The Law Revision Commission

42. See discussion of "Formal Hearing Procedure" *infra*.

43. See discussion of "Informal Hearing Procedure" *infra*.

44. See discussion of "Special Hearing Procedure" *infra*.

45. See discussion of "Emergency Decision Procedure" *infra*.

46. See discussion of "Declaratory Decision Procedure" *infra*.

47. Gov't Code §§ 11501-11502. The Office of Administrative Hearings has identified 95 state and miscellaneous agencies for which it currently conducts some or all adjudicative hearings.

48. Each of the following major adjudicative agencies employs a greater number of administrative law judges or hearing officers than the total number employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings: Board of Prison Terms, Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board, Department of Industrial Relations, Workers Compensation Appeals Board, Public Utilities Commission, Department of Social Services.

estimates that at least 95% of the state's administrative law judges and hearing officers are employed by the adjudicating agencies rather than the Office of Administrative Hearings. And this figure does not take into consideration hearings conducted by agency heads, agency attorneys, and agency lay experts.

The Law Revision Commission has devoted substantial resources to consideration of whether independent administrative law judges, employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings or by a successor central panel, should play a greater role in the California administrative adjudication process. The Commission's conclusion, for the reasons outlined below, is that there should not be a general removal of state agency hearing personnel and functions to a central panel. Any transfer of an agency's hearing functions to the central panel should be specific to that agency and its functions and should be based on a showing of the need for the particular transfer.

History of Central Panel in California

California was the first, and for many years the only, jurisdiction in the United States to adopt the concept of a central panel of hearing officers who would hear administrative adjudications for a number of different agencies. The California central panel was created in 1945 as a result of recommendations of the Judicial Council for adoption of the Administrative Procedure Act. The Judicial Council recommended creation of a central panel to maintain a staff of qualified hearing officers available to all state agencies.⁴⁹ The Council pointed out that the central panel would create a corps of qualified hearing officers who would become expert in a number of fields, yet who would not have a potential conflict of interest with the agency for which they conducted hearings and would impart an appearance of fairness to hearings. The Judicial Council also foresaw some organizational efficiency in this arrangement.

Although the Judicial Council considered the possibility that hearing officers could be drawn from the central panel for all agency hearings, the report did not recommend this and the legislation that was enacted did not require use of the central panel by the larger administrative agencies. While recognizing that a complete separation of functions would be desirable in the larger agencies, "Any such requirement would have produced such a drastic alteration in the existing structure of some agencies, however, that it was thought unwise."⁵⁰

The California system is generally considered a success. It has been copied elsewhere and central panels are now in place in Colorado, Florida, Iowa, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, North Carolina, Tennessee, Washington, and Wisconsin. Proposals for adoption of the central panel system have recently been or are currently being considered in four other states of which

49. Judicial Council of California, Tenth Biennial Report 11 (1944).

50. *Id.* at 14.

the Law Revision Commission is aware—Hawaii, New York, North Dakota, and Oregon. Legislation is also pending in Congress for a central federal panel.

Expansion of California Central Panel

With this favorable experience, a logical conclusion might be that the central panel system should be expanded in California to cover all administrative hearings. The main argument in favor of broader use of the central panel is that central panel administrative law judges are independent of the agency and therefore are able to hold hearings that are fair both in appearance and in fact. Other benefits of centralization are felt to be economy, efficiency, and improved working conditions for administrative law judges.

The Law Revision Commission's study of the operation of the central panel system in California and in the other jurisdictions that have adopted it, including review of California's major administrative agencies not presently covered by the central panel, indicates that despite these potential benefits, there are a number of serious objections to expansion of the central panel beyond its present scope in California.⁵¹

First, there does not appear to be a compelling case for a general removal of hearing officers to the central panel. The Commission's investigation disclosed some concern among private practitioners about fairness, and the appearance of fairness, where the hearing is conducted by an employee of the agency prosecuting the matter. However, the concern was directed to a few problem areas, insufficient to warrant a fundamental change in the existing hearing officer structure for all agencies and all proceedings.

51. Among the concerns with expansion of the central panel that have been expressed by various state agencies, the following are common:

- (1) The agency deals in a specialized area for which special knowledge and expertise is necessary, which could not be maintained in a central panel setting.
- (2) The agency has a high volume operation that must deal with cases in a way far different from the typical central panel administrative law judge hearing.
- (3) The cases dealt with by the agency take months or even years to complete, so they would not be appropriate for central panel treatment.
- (4) The cases dealt with by the agency are time-sensitive, and the agency must be able to control the administrative law judges in order to control processing of the cases.
- (5) The agency manages federal funds, which are subject to regulations requiring that the agency itself resolve the issues.
- (6) The agency's board is charged with responsibility for deciding issues and the board itself hears the cases; the board does not wish to delegate this responsibility to a hearing officer, and removal of this function to the central panel is inappropriate.
- (7) The agency's hearing procedure is constitutionally exempt from legislative control.
- (8) The purpose of the agency is to be a neutral appeals board; removing the hearing officers to a central panel will serve no useful purpose.
- (9) The agency's hearing officers are also part-time legal advisers; removal of the hearing officers will cause increased expense for legal advice.
- (10) The agency has used central panel officers occasionally in the past, but the experience was not wholly satisfactory.
- (11) The agency conducts informal hearings; it would be inappropriate to formalize the hearings and a waste of money to have a highly-paid administrative law judge conduct the informal hearings.

Second, the various agencies are generally satisfied with their present in-house hearing personnel. They have tailored their systems to their particular needs and the hearing personnel appear to be functioning appropriately.

Third, most of the agencies that employ a significant number of in-house judges are themselves purely adjudicating agencies rather than agencies with a mixture of prosecutory and adjudicatory functions. Therefore, there is much less need to make their judges independent. This is true, for example, of the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board, the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board, the State Personnel Board, and the Department of Social Services when it adjudicates welfare disputes between counties and welfare recipients.

Fourth, further centralization is unlikely to generate savings for the state and it could increase costs for some agencies. The Department of Finance in 1977 conducted a fiscal study of the concept of statewide centralization of administrative law judges and concluded it was not clear any savings would result.⁵² There is also no concrete evidence from other central panel states of any significant savings. One reason for this, besides the greater bureaucracy involved in centralization, is the likelihood that centralization would lead to a leveling upward of minimum qualifications and salary ranges among the wide range of lay and professional hearing officers and administrative law judges that presently exists in state government. There would also likely be increased costs for some agencies in which administrative law judges serve several functions, acting as legal advisors as well as hearing officers; loss of these persons to a central panel would cause the agencies to incur additional expense for legal costs.

Fifth, the agency charged with administering an area of state regulation needs to be able to control the enforcement process. This includes not only the timing of hearings but also the use of a hearing officer familiar with the technicalities of the area and the policies of the agency.

Sixth, each agency, its mission and needs, is unique. The Commission has found that it is not possible to generalize with respect to the central panel issue and the propriety of the central panel for all agencies. Any recommendation for transfer of an agency's functions should be specific, based on a review of the individual agency and its operations.

Finally, the benefits of an independent hearing officer can be achieved without disruption of existing personnel structures by requiring separation of adjudicative from prosecutorial functions within an agency and by limiting *ex parte* communications between the hearing officer and agency prosecutorial personnel. The proposed law includes fundamental separation of functions requirements and *ex parte* communication limitations for all adjudications under the Administrative Procedure Act.⁵³

52. California Department of Finance, Program Evaluation Unit, *Centralized v. Decentralized Services: Administrative Hearings* (November 1977).

53. See discussion of "Separation of Functions" and "Command Influence" *infra*.

IMPARTIALITY OF DECISIONMAKER

Fairness and due process are ensured in administrative adjudication by the basic requirement of impartiality of the decisionmaker. The Commission recommends codification of five fundamental elements of impartiality in the Administrative Procedure Act: (1) the decision should be based exclusively on the record in the proceeding, (2) the decisionmaker should be free of bias, (3) ex parte communications to the decisionmaker should be prohibited, (4) adversarial functions should be separated from decisionmaking functions within the agency, and (5) decisionmaking functions should be insulated from adversarial command influence within the agency. Each of these elements is elaborated below.

Exclusivity of Record

Existing California case law requires that the decision be based on the factual record produced at the hearing.⁵⁴ Both the Federal APA⁵⁵ and the 1981 Model State APA⁵⁶ codify this aspect of due process, and the proposed legislation does the same for California.

However, some agencies rely on the special factual knowledge and expertise of the decisionmaker in the area, and in fact agency members may be appointed for just this purpose. The proposed law addresses this situation by permitting evidence of record to include, in addition to officially noticeable matters provided for by existing law,⁵⁷ other supplemental evidence not produced at the hearing, provided the evidence is made a part of the record and all parties are given an opportunity to comment on it.

Bias

The 1945 California APA makes clear that a decisionmaker may be disqualified if unable to “accord a fair and impartial hearing or consideration.”⁵⁸ The proposed law would recodify this standard in the more concrete traditional terms of “bias, prejudice, interest,” and imports from the Code of Civil Procedure a few key criteria of particular relevance to administrative adjudication.⁵⁹

Notwithstanding actual bias, existing law adopts a “rule of necessity” that if disqualification of the decisionmaker would prevent the agency from acting (e.g., causing lack of a quorum), the decisionmaker may nonetheless participate. The

54. See, e.g., *Vollstedt v. City of Stockton*, 220 Cal. App. 3d 265, 269 Cal. Rptr. 404 (1990). See also Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1126 (1992).

55. 5 U.S.C. § 556(e).

56. 1981 Model State APA § 4-215(d).

57. Gov't Code § 11515.

58. Gov't Code § 11512(c).

59. The bias standard is circumscribed by a specification of characteristics that do not constitute bias, including cultural factors affecting the judge, prior expressions of the judge on legal and factual issues that arise in the proceeding, and involvement in formulation of the laws being applied in the proceeding. Code Civ. Proc. § 170.2.

proposed law addresses this problem with a provision drawn from the 1981 Model State APA that disqualifies the decisionmaker and provides for substitution of another person by the appointing authority.⁶⁰

Ex Parte Communications

While existing California law is clear that factual inputs to the decisionmaker must be on the record, it is not clear whether ex parte contacts concerning law or policy are permissible.⁶¹ Existing Government Code Section 11513.5 prohibits ex parte contacts with an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, but is silent as to ex parte communications to agency heads and to communications to any decisionmaker in the great majority of administrative adjudications in California that do not fall under the existing Administrative Procedure Act. In some state agencies ex parte contacts are tolerated or encouraged.⁶²

Fundamental fairness in decisionmaking demands that any arguments to the decisionmaker on law and policy be made openly and be subject to argument by all parties. The proposed legislation prohibits ex parte communications with the decisionmaker, subject to several qualifications necessary to facilitate the decision-making process:

(1) Discussion of noncontroversial matters of practice or procedure is permissible.

(2) The decisionmaker should be allowed the advice and assistance of agency personnel. This may be critical in a technical area where the only expertise realistically available to the decisionmaker is from personnel within the agency that is a party to the proceeding. The decisionmaker would not be allowed to consult with personnel who are actively involved in prosecution of the administrative proceeding.

(3) Agency personnel, including prosecutorial personnel, must be able to advise the decisionmaker concerning aspects of a settlement proposed by the prosecution. The proposed law recognizes this situation.

(4) The ban on ex parte communications would not apply in a nonprosecutorial proceeding, such as an initial licensing decision. Although nonprosecutorial proceedings are trial-like, they involve a substantial element of policy determination where it may be important that the decisionmaker consult more broadly than the immediate parties to the proceeding. The proposed law would allow policy advice to be given in nonprosecutorial proceedings, provided it is summarized in the record and made available to all parties.

60. 1981 Model State APA § 4-202(e)-(f).

61. See Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1128 (1992).

62. See Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1130 (1992). Some, such as the California Public Utilities Commission, have developed elaborate ex parte prohibitions tailored to their specific needs.

[Note. The Commission particularly solicits comment on a more narrow alternative that would preclude ex parte communications from an agency investigator or advocate in a nonprosecutorial proceeding unless the communication involves necessary technical advice or a specified land use agency. See draft of proposed Section 643.430(c) (permissible ex parte communications from agency personnel).]

Where an improper ex parte contact has been made, the proposed legislation provides several protective and curative devices. A decisionmaker who receives an improper ex parte communication must place it on the record of the proceeding and advise the parties of it, and the parties are allowed an opportunity to respond. To rectify cases where the ex parte communication would unduly prejudice the decisionmaker, the ex parte communication could be grounds for disqualification of the decisionmaker. In such a case, the record of the communication would be sealed by protective order of the disqualified decisionmaker.

Separation of Functions

Existing California statute and case law on separation of functions is unclear.⁶³ To avoid prejudgment, the decisionmaker should not have served previously in the capacity of an investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the case. The proposed law codifies this principle.

As a practical matter, the separation of functions requirement could cripple an agency in a number of situations, due to staffing limitations. The proposed law addresses these situations specifically:

(1) Agency personnel may confer in making preliminary determinations such as whether probable cause exists to issue a notice of commencement of proceeding. The proposed law makes clear that this sort of involvement does not render a person unable ultimately to decide the case.

(2) Drivers' licensing cases are so voluminous that to require separation of prosecution and hearing functions by the Department of Motor Vehicles would gridlock the system.⁶⁴ The proposed law exempts drivers' licensing cases from the separation of functions requirements. The exemption is limited in scope and would not extend to other types of operators' certificates, such as schoolbus driver certificates. The special certificate hearings are a relatively small portion of the total,⁶⁵ and they are all occupational in character. Requiring separation of functions in this limited class will provide useful experience on the actual cost and benefit of the separation of functions requirement.

63. See discussion in Asimow, *Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1168-70 (1992).

64. The most recent annual statistics (1993) show 325,000 DMV actions against drivers resulting in 157,716 hearings, including 4,259 hearings involving commercial drivers.

65. There were 211 special certificate hearings in 1993, at a total cost of \$19,783.

Command Influence

A corollary of the separation of functions concept is the requirement that the decisionmaker should not be the subordinate of an investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the case, for fear that their relative positions within the agency will allow the adversary to dictate the result to the decisionmaker. The proposed law codifies the command influence prohibition.

The command influence prohibition may pose difficulties for a small agency that has insufficient personnel to avoid using a subordinate as a hearing officer. The proposed law makes clear that the agency head may go outside the agency, for example to the Office of Administrative Hearings, for an alternate hearing officer.

FORMAL HEARING PROCEDURE

The formal hearing procedure is based on the 1945 California APA. The proposed law makes a number of modernizations and improvements in the procedure, both to make it more usable and to broaden the range of hearings to which it can be applied. Significant changes from existing law are outlined below.

Notice and Pleadings

Terminology. Existing administrative procedures in California employ a wide variety of terminology to describe the parties and their pleadings, and tend to be based on an accusatory or prosecutorial hearing model, e.g., "accusation," "statement of issues," "order initiating investigation," "notice of defense," "appeal," "notice of adverse action," and "petition for hearing." The proposed law standardizes terminology. The parties to an administrative adjudication are the agency and the person to which the agency action is directed; their pleadings are the notice of commencement of proceeding and the response. These and other terms are made neutral to reflect the expanded application of the proposed law.

Initiation of proceedings. The proposed law makes clear that a proceeding is initiated by the agency taking jurisdiction over the matter, either on its own motion or in response to an application from a person. To encourage agency responsiveness to applications for agency action, the proposed law requires the agency within 30 days to acknowledge receipt of the application and provide contact information, and within 90 days to act on the application, either by granting or denying it or by commencing an adjudicative proceeding in response. The proposed law makes clear that a third party does not have a right to compel an agency to prosecute a case. An agency is permitted to modify these requirements by regulation, and a special statute may provide a different rule for a specific situation.⁶⁶

Service. First class mail is generally permitted for notices, and the proposed law adds flexibility by authorizing other means of notification such as delivery service

66. E.g., Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 10086 (hearing must commence within 30 days after request to Real Estate Commissioner), 11019 (hearing must commence within 15 days after request to Real Estate Commissioner).

and facsimile transmission. However, service of the notice of commencement of proceeding must be by registered or certified mail or personal service. This requirement would not apply where the respondent has previously appeared in the same or a related proceeding; service in a proceeding before an appeals board, for example, could be by first class mail or other means.

Amendment of pleadings. The 1945 California APA allows amendment of the initial pleading.⁶⁷ The law is silent concerning amendment of responsive pleadings, and there is doubt about the propriety of amendment of pleadings outside of the 1945 California APA.⁶⁸ The proposed law makes clear that both the notice of commencement of proceeding and the response may be amended or supplemented at will before commencement of the hearing, subject to the right of the other party to prepare a case in response. After commencement of the hearing, amendments are discretionary with the presiding officer.

Role of Administrative Law Judge

The 1945 California APA is based on a model of fact-finding by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.⁶⁹ In general, the administrative law judge holds a hearing, formulates a proposed decision, and transmits it to the agency for which the hearing is held; the agency head may either adopt the proposed decision as its final decision, or reject the decision and decide the case itself on the record.⁷⁰

This procedural format of the division of responsibilities between the administrative law judge and the agency head is modified in the proposed law to adapt it for use by all agencies and for all types of cases. This includes agencies that employ their own administrative law judges, agencies where the agency head is both the finder of fact and the decisionmaker, and agencies that have lengthy hearings as well as those whose hearings are brief.

The proposed law is based on the 1945 California APA, with several concepts drawn from the 1981 Model State APA, and various exceptions to accommodate the existing practices of state agencies not covered by the Administrative Procedure Act. The Commission believes the approach of the proposed law, outlined below, has the necessary flexibility to enable all state agencies to conduct their administrative hearings under the Administrative Procedure Act. In the case of a proceeding for which this model is too constraining, the agency may adopt a special procedure to govern the hearing, subject to the limitations of the statute governing special hearing procedures.⁷¹

67. Gov't Code §§ 11507, 11516.

68. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 16 n.30 (Oct. 1991).

69. For a more detailed description of proceedings under the existing administrative procedure act, see Office of Administrative Hearings, "Outline of Administrative Practice before the Office of Administrative Hearings" (March 1989).

70. Gov't Code § 11517.

71. See discussion of "Special Hearing Procedure" *infra*.

(1) Each agency head decides whether the hearing in an administrative adjudication by that agency will be conducted by an administrative law judge or by the agency head itself. The agency head may, instead of sitting en banc, divide into panels, or delegate the hearing function to a person charged with that responsibility. However, a hearing of a type for which an administrative law judge from outside the agency is presently required by statute would continue to be heard by an independent administrative law judge provided by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

(2) If the agency head conducts the hearing, the agency head issues a final decision within 100 days after the end of the hearing.

(3) If an administrative law judge conducts the hearing, the administrative law judge renders a proposed decision within 30 days after the end of the hearing. The agency head receives the proposed decision and has 100 days within which to act on it—either to adopt it, modify it, or commence review proceedings on it. A proposed decision that is not acted on by the agency within the required period becomes a final decision by operation of law.

(4) Either a proposed decision or a final decision is subject to administrative review in the discretion of the agency. This reverses the general rule under existing law that an appeal to the agency head is available as a matter of right, with its attendant expense. The agency would have authority to review some but not all issues, or to preclude further administrative review outright. Where review is provided, an agency would have authority to delegate the review function to subordinate employees.

In order to avoid unnecessary review procedures, the proposed law provides expeditious means of correcting mistakes and technical errors in the decision, and provides a summary procedure for adoption of the proposed decision with modifications. In the review process, the reviewing authority is limited to a review of the record, but may take additional evidence where necessary, or the review may be made on an agreed statement. This will minimize exposure of the parties to the time and expense of a second formal hearing process. In addition, since the presiding officer at the hearing has had the opportunity to observe the witnesses, the presiding officer's credibility determinations based on observation of demeanor and the like are entitled to great weight on review.⁷²

The end result of administrative review is either issuance of a final decision or a remand for further hearings within 100 days.

72. The great weight requirement for credibility determinations would be applied only indirectly, as a factor in any judicial review of the administrative decision. This requirement would codify in California the general rule applied in federal cases, as well as in a number of state agencies. *Universal Camera Corp. v. N.L.R.B.*, 340 U.S. 474 (1951) (Federal APA); *Lamb v. W.C.A.B.*, 11 Cal. 3d 274, 281, 520 P.2d 978, 113 Cal. Rptr. 162 (1974) (Workers' Compensation Appeals Board); *Millen v. Swoap*, 58 Cal. App. 3d 943, 947, 130 Cal. Rptr. 387 (1976) (Department of Social Services); *Apte v. Regents of Univ. of Calif.*, 198 Cal. App. 3d 1084, 1092, 244 Cal. Rptr. 312 (1988) (University of California); Precedent Decisions P-B-10, P-T-13, P-B-57 (Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board); Lab. Code § 1148 (Agricultural Labor Relations Board).

Prehearing Procedures

Intervention. The 1945 California APA is not clear on the right of a third party to intervene in an administrative adjudication. Yet situations do arise when an administrative adjudication will affect the legal rights, duties, privileges, or immunities of a person who has not been made a party to the proceeding. In such a situation, the proposed law would permit intervention by the affected party if the intervention will not impair the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings. This determination is vested in the presiding officer, and the presiding officer's decision is final and nonreviewable. The presiding officer may impose appropriate conditions on intervention, such as limiting the issues addressed by the intervenor, regulating discovery and cross-examination by the intervenor, and limiting the intervenor's involvement in settlement negotiations. In some types of proceedings intervention may be inappropriate or unduly complicate matters; the proposed law enables an agency by regulation to limit or preclude intervention practice.

Discovery and Subpoenas. The 1945 California APA provides for limited discovery in administrative adjudications.⁷³ The Commission believes the extensive discovery available in civil proceedings is inappropriate for administrative adjudications, which should be simple, quick, and inexpensive. For this reason the proposed law continues the limited discovery approach of existing law, subject to a number of minor changes,⁷⁴ and broadens its application to all agencies.

Under the 1945 California APA an agency has broad subpoena authority.⁷⁵ The proposed law continues this authority and extends it to the other state agencies, as well as to attorneys of the parties as in civil practice; the proposed law adds provisions clarifying procedures for quashing a subpoena once issued. In addition, the proposed law permits the respondent to request issuance of a subpoena duces tecum for production of a document at any reasonable time and place, rather than only at the hearing. This will enable the respondent adequate time to prepare and help avoid the need for a continuance. To protect against hardship, the proposed law permits a custodian of subpoenaed documents to satisfy the subpoena by delivery of a copy or by making the documents available for inspection and copying, in the manner allowed in court proceedings.

Under existing law, discovery disputes between the parties are referred to the superior court for resolution and enforcement. To expedite the discovery process, the proposed law vests this matter in the presiding officer.

73. Gov't Code §§ 11507.5, 11507.6, 11507.7, 11511; *State of California v. Superior Court*, 16 Cal. App. 3d 87, 93 Cal. Rptr. 663 (1971).

74. For example, a recent case has questioned the fairness and constitutionality of the existing provision that the agency can refuse to authorize the respondent to depose an unavailable witness. Gov't Code § 11511; *Blinder, Robinson & Co. v. Tom*, 181 Cal. App. 3d 283, 226 Cal. Rptr. 339 (1986). The proposed law addresses this point by allowing the presiding officer, if one has been appointed, to order a deposition.

75. Gov't Code § 11510.

Prehearing Conference. The proposed law makes the prehearing conference, presently available in proceedings before 1945 California APA agencies, applicable to all state agencies. The proposed law adds the following features designed to enhance the effectiveness of the prehearing process:

- (1) The conference may be conducted by telephone or other electronic means.
- (2) The conference should serve as a forum for exchange of discovery information, where appropriate.
- (3) The conference should offer the opportunity for alternative dispute resolution, and where appropriate be converted into an informal hearing.

The prehearing conference is conducted by the presiding officer who will preside at the hearing. Settlement possibilities may be explored at the prehearing conference. If it appears that there is a possibility of settlement, the proposed law allows the presiding officer to order a separate mandatory settlement conference, to be held before a different settlement judge, if one is available. Offers of compromise and settlement made in the settlement conference are protected from disclosure to encourage open and frank exchanges in the interest of achieving settlement.

Consolidation and Severance. The 1945 California APA contains no provisions allowing agencies to consolidate related cases or to sever issues in a case that could be more economically handled in several parts. The proposed law follows the consolidation and severance procedures of the Code of Civil Procedure,⁷⁶ which have worked well in practice in civil cases. Control of consolidation and severance issues is vested in the presiding officer or administering agency.

Resolution Without Hearing

Settlement. An agency has implied power to settle a case.⁷⁷ The proposed law codifies this rule, and makes clear that an agency head may delegate the power to approve a settlement.⁷⁸ This resolves the difficulty under the 1945 California APA that the agency head is required to approve a settlement but in many cases the agency head is a body of part-time appointees unable to meet and consider the settlement for a considerable period of time. The proposed law also makes clear that a settlement may be made before or after issuance of the notice of commencement of proceeding, except in an occupational licensing case. An occupational licensing case may be settled only after issuance of the notice of commencement of proceeding in order to ensure that the disciplinary action is a matter of public record.

Alternative Dispute Resolution. Alternative dispute resolution techniques, such as mediation and arbitration, offer the potential of substantial savings of time and money in administrative adjudication. Federal administrative procedure in recent

76. Code Civ. Proc. § 1048.

77. *Rich Vision Centers, Inc. v. Bd. of Medic. Exam.*, 144 Cal. App. 3d 110, 192 Cal. Rptr. 455 (1983).

78. Power to settle licensing cases before the Department of Social Services has been delegated so that settlements can be approved on the spot.

years has made effective use of alternative dispute resolution,⁷⁹ and in 1990 Congress amended the Federal APA to require agencies to explore and utilize alternative dispute resolution techniques in all agency functions.⁸⁰ The 1945 California APA is silent on the matter.

There is broad support for alternative dispute resolution in the administrative adjudication area.⁸¹ A negotiated outcome is preferable in most situations to the costly, time-consuming, and difficult process of adjudication and judicial review. The Law Revision Commission recommends that alternative dispute resolution be fostered in California administrative adjudication by statutorily recognizing these techniques and encouraging agencies to put in place feasible mechanisms to facilitate them.

The proposed law makes clear that all agencies have authority to refer cases, with the consent of the parties, for mediation or for binding or nonbinding arbitration by neutral dispute resolution personnel. Mediation communications are kept confidential just as such communications remain confidential in civil proceedings,⁸² and reference to nonbinding arbitration activities is inadmissible in a subsequent de novo proceeding; the presiding officer, mediator, or arbitrator cannot be compelled to testify in subsequent proceedings concerning the alternative dispute resolution activities.⁸³ The Office of Administrative Hearings is charged with responsibility to develop model regulations for alternative dispute resolution proceedings that govern disputes referred to alternative dispute resolution unless modified by the agency. The Commission believes these provisions will advance the prospects for alternative dispute resolution in California administrative adjudications.

Hearing Procedures

Open Hearings. The 1945 California APA is silent on the issue whether an administrative hearing is open to the public. The general assumption is that hearings are open, and there is authority that this is a matter of due process.⁸⁴ The proposed law makes clear that a hearing is generally open to the public, subject to special statutes such as those protecting trade secrets or other confidential or privileged matters, or those protecting child victims and witnesses.

Present and Rebut Evidence. The Administrative Procedure Act governs decisions where an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is necessary. Inherent in such a hearing is the ability of affected parties to present and rebut evidence. The proposed law codifies this principle.

79. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 45-47 (Oct. 1991).

80. Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, P.L. 101-552.

81. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 44-45 (Oct. 1991).

82. Evid. Code § 1152.5.

83. Cf. Evid. Code § 703.5.

84. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 109 (Oct. 1991).

Telephone Hearings. The 1945 California APA contemplates a hearing at which all persons involved are physically present at the hearing. However, considerations of distance, illness, or other factors may make physical attendance at the hearing difficult. Moreover, an in-person hearing may require parties or witnesses to sit and wait for long periods of time. In such situations, it makes sense to take testimony telephonically. The Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board makes use of telephone hearings with a great amount of success.⁸⁵

The proposed law permits a hearing to be conducted by conference telephone call, video-conferencing, or other appropriate telecommunications technology, provided all participants are audible to each other. A party may object to a telephonic hearing on a showing that a credibility determination is important to the case and that the telephone hearing will impair a proper determination of credibility.

Language Assistance. Existing provisions require interpreters for language-disabled parties⁸⁶ in proceedings before specified agencies. The proposed law preserves this requirement and extends it to include language-disabled witnesses.

Transcripts. The 1945 California APA requires reporting of proceedings by a stenographic reporter, except that on consent of all the parties, the proceedings may be reported phonographically. With the improvement of the quality of electronic recording, and with the use of multi-track recorders, monitors, and trained hearing officers, the problems of electronic recording are minimized, and the cost saving may be substantial. For these reasons the proposed law permits an agency to provide electronic recording of proceedings in all cases. The presiding officer would have authority to require stenographic reporting in an appropriate situation, and a party could require it at the party's own expense.

Evidence

Technical Rules of Evidence. Under the 1945 California APA technical rules of evidence are inapplicable—any relevant evidence is admissible if it is the type on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs.⁸⁷ The reasons for adoption of this rule in 1945 were that many parties are unrepresented by counsel in administrative adjudications, and that the protections of the rules of evidence designed for fact-finding by lay juries are unnecessary in administrative decisionmaking by experts in the field.⁸⁸ These reasons are sound to this day, and the proposed law preserves the basic rule of broad admissibility, subject to the right of an agency by regulation to require adherence to technical rules of evidence.

85. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 106-07 (Oct. 1991).

86. Gov't Code §§ 11500(g), 11501.5, 11513(d)-(n).

87. Gov't Code § 11513(c).

88. Judicial Council of California, Tenth Biennial Report 21 (1944).

The proposed law codifies a few key exceptions to the general rule of admissibility. Existing law permits the presiding officer to exclude irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence.⁸⁹ This authority should be broadened so that the presiding officer also has discretion to exclude evidence that contributes little to the result but promotes delay and confusion. The proposed law adopts the standard of Evidence Code Section 352, which provides for exclusion of evidence whose probative value is substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will necessitate undue consumption of time or create substantial danger of confusing the issues.

Scientific Evidence. California case law⁹⁰ applies court standards for admissibility of scientific evidence to administrative adjudication.⁹¹ Federal court standards are now more liberal than state court standards.⁹² In order not to restrict development of the law governing admissibility of scientific evidence, the proposed law makes clear that such evidence is admissible if it would be admissible in either state or federal courts.

Affidavits. The 1945 California APA permits use of affidavits as evidence, with notification of the intent to introduce the affidavit at least 10 days before the hearing.⁹³ The affidavit procedure is useful, and the proposed law extends it to all state agencies, subject to the right of an agency to limit use of affidavits by regulation. The 10-day notice requirement is extended to 15 days, to give the opposing party an adequate opportunity to retain counsel and respond by cross-examination or otherwise.

Hearsay. Under the 1945 California APA, hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence, but is not sufficient in itself to support a finding.⁹⁴ The proposed law extends this provision (known as the "residuum rule") to other agencies as well. The residuum rule is desirable as a general matter because it forces the use of reliable evidence, which may be particularly important in an administrative adjudication in which the sanction is severe, such as a license revocation.

The proposed law also makes clear that the residuum rule can be raised for the first time on judicial review. Existing law is unclear on this matter.⁹⁵ It may not be apparent until the initial decision is issued that a finding on a particular matter has been based exclusively on hearsay evidence.

Review of Evidentiary Rulings. It is not clear whether the evidentiary rulings of the presiding officer are subject to administrative review. An argument can be

89. Gov't Code § 11513(c).

90. *Seering v. Dept. of Social Services*, 194 Cal. App. 3d 298, 239 Cal. Rptr. 422 (1987).

91. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudicative Process* 61-63 (October 1991).

92. *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm.*, 113 S. Ct. 2786 (1993).

93. Gov't Code § 11514.

94. Gov't Code § 11513(c).

95. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 71-73 (1991).

made that the rulings are conclusive.⁹⁶ The proposed law makes clear that the agency head may review evidentiary determinations of the presiding officer. The adjudicatory authority is vested in the agency head, and the agency head should be the ultimate administrative decisionmaker.

The continuation of existing law on judicial review should not be taken as Law Revision Commission review or approval of the law. The Commission is currently studying the law governing judicial review of agency action and will make a separate recommendation concerning it. The present recommendation does not address the matter, but merely preserves the status quo.

Enforcement of Orders and Sanctions

The 1945 California APA provides that disobedience of orders or obstructive or contumacious behavior in an administrative adjudication proceeding may be certified to the superior court for contempt proceedings.⁹⁷ This authority is continued in the proposed law.

The proposed law also seeks to curb bad faith actions or tactics that are frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay. These are addressed in civil actions by monetary sanctions,⁹⁸ where experience has been favorable. The proposed law extends to the presiding officer or agency in an adjudicative proceeding the right to order monetary sanctions for such behavior. The order is subject to administrative and judicial review to the same extent as other orders in the adjudicative proceeding.

Decision

Burden of Proof. The 1945 California APA is silent on the issue of burden of proof in an administrative hearing, but cases put the burden on the proponent of a decision.⁹⁹ The proposed law codifies this rule, and provides generally that the burden is a preponderance of the evidence. In the case of an occupational license, however, because of the potential severity of the sanction, the burden is clear and convincing evidence.

Voting by Agency Members. The 1945 California APA permits voting by agency members by mail.¹⁰⁰ The proposed law adds flexibility by authorizing voting by other means, such as telephonic or other appropriate means.

Findings and Basis of Decision. The 1945 California APA requires the decision to contain findings of fact and a determination of issues, together with the penalty if any.¹⁰¹ The statute is supplemented by the case law requirement that the decision contain whatever necessary sub-findings are needed to link the evidence to the

96. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 66-67 (Oct. 1991).

97. Gov't Code § 11525.

98. Code Civ. Proc. § 128.5.

99. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 73 (Oct. 1991).

100. Gov't Code § 11526.

101. Gov't Code 11518.

ultimate facts.¹⁰² The proposed law augments this recitation with the requirement that the factual and legal basis for the decision be stated as to each of the principal controverted issues. This will force the decisionmaker to articulate the rationale of the decision and will provide the parties with a complete agency analysis of the case for purposes of review or otherwise.

Precedent Decisions

The proposed law provides for agency designation of a decision as precedential if the decision contains a significant legal or policy determination that is likely to recur. The agency must maintain an index of determinations made in precedent decisions. An agency's designation of, or failure to designate, a decision as precedential is not judicially reviewable, but a decision that is not designated as precedential may not be cited as precedent.

The precedent decision provision recognizes that agencies make law and policy through administrative adjudication as well as through rulemaking. Although agency decisions are public records, they are inaccessible to the public except in the case of the few existing agencies that publish their decisions or designate precedent decisions.¹⁰³

Extension of the precedent decision requirement to all agencies would make the decisions generally available and would benefit everyone, including counsel for both the agency and the parties and the presiding officers and agency heads who make the decisions. It would encourage agencies to articulate what they are doing when they make new law or policy in an administrative adjudication. And it is more efficient to cite an existing decision than to reconstruct the policy or even decide inconsistently without knowing or acknowledging that this has occurred.

Administrative Review

The proposed law codifies the requirement that administrative review of a proposed decision be on the record, but adds a provision drawn from appellate practice enabling a record based on an agreed statement of the parties.¹⁰⁴

The proposed law also expands the ability of an agency head to adopt summarily a proposed decision without full administrative review. Under the proposed law, the agency head may summarily adopt the proposed decision with clarifying changes that do not affect the factual or legal basis of the decision. In addition, the

102. *Topanga Ass'n for a Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles*, 11 Cal. 3d 506, 113 Cal. Rptr. 836 (1974).

103. Agencies that routinely publish all their decisions include the Agricultural Labor Relations Board, Public Utilities Commission, Public Employment Relations Board, and Workers Compensation Appeals Board.

The Office of Administrative Law takes the position that precedent decisions violate Government Code Section 11347.5 except where pursuant to Section 11346 the decisions are expressly exempted by statute. The Fair Employment and Housing Commission (Gov't Code § 12935(h)), the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board (Unemp. Ins. Code § 409), and the State Personnel Board (Gov't Code § 19582.5) designate and publish precedent decisions pursuant to express statutes.

104. Cal. R. Ct. 6 (agreed statement).

agency head may summarily adopt the proposed decision with a change of legal basis, after offering the parties an opportunity to comment on the change.

Judicial Review

Disposition of the existing Administrative Procedure Act requires disposition of Government Code Section 11523, which governs judicial review of decisions under the Administrative Procedure Act.

The proposed law continues this provision without change, making clear its application to decisions required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. Other decisions are not addressed by the statute and the law governing judicial review of these decisions is not changed.

INFORMAL HEARING PROCEDURE

The standard formal adjudicatory hearing procedure under the 1945 California APA may be inappropriate for some types of decisions. In some respects the administrative adjudication process has become too judicialized and too imbued with adversary behavior to provide an efficient administrative dispute resolution process.¹⁰⁵

To address this concern, the proposed law permits agencies to resolve matters involving only a minor sanction or matters in which there is no factual dispute by means of an informal adjudicative hearing process, drawn from the 1981 Model State APA.¹⁰⁶ This process would also be available to an agency that specifies classes of cases where it would be appropriate, provided use of the informal process would not violate due process requirements for those cases.

The informal hearing may be particularly useful in a number of situations:¹⁰⁷

- Where there is no disputed issue of fact but only a question of law, policy, or discretion.
- A decision to deny a discretionary permit, grant, or license where a hearing is required by statute or due process of law.
- Various land use planning and environmental decisions.
- An individualized ratemaking case.
- Tax adjudications conducted by the State Board of Equalization.

A justification for providing a less formal alternate procedure is that without it, many agencies will either adopt or obtain enactment of special hearing procedures, or will proceed "informally" in a manner not spelled out by any statute or

105. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 87-91 (Oct. 1991).

106. 1981 Model State APA §§ 4-401-3. The notion of establishing alternate adjudicative procedures is found in some of the more recent state acts, including Delaware, Florida, Montana, and Virginia. Bills have been introduced in Congress to amend the Federal APA by creating more than one type of adjudicative procedure. See also 31 Admin. L. Rev. 31, 47 (1979).

107. See discussion in Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 94-97 (Oct. 1991).

regulation. As a consequence, wide variations in procedure will occur from one agency to another, and even within a single agency from one program to another, producing complexity for citizens, agency personnel, and reviewing courts, as well as for lawyers. This pattern is already apparent, to a considerable extent, at both the state and federal levels.

The proposed informal hearing process is a simplified administrative adjudication, involving no prehearing conference or discovery. At the hearing the presiding officer regulates the course of proceedings and limits witnesses, testimony, evidence, rebuttal, and argument. Cross-examination is ordinarily not permitted, and an informal hearing should only be used in a case that is susceptible of determination without the need for substantial cross-examination. The impartiality requirements and fundamental public policy and due process guarantees of the formal hearing procedure would continue to apply.

An informal hearing procedure is essentially "a conference that lacks courtroom drama but nevertheless provides assurance that the issues will be aired, an unbiased decisionmaker will make a decision based exclusively on the record of the proceedings, the decision will be explained, and it will be reviewed by a higher-level decisionmaker (such as the agency heads)." ¹⁰⁸

SPECIAL HEARING PROCEDURE

The proposed law sets out basic formal and informal procedures for the adjudicative process that are complete in themselves. The procedures build on the 1945 California APA,¹⁰⁹ which is widely applicable in California agencies.¹¹⁰

The proposed Administrative Procedure Act is designed to be sufficiently broad to accommodate most hearings of most agencies. However, because of the expanded scope and application of the proposed law, there will be some cases where the general procedure is not appropriate, and there are situations where it is clear that the provisions of the statute will not work for the circumstances of a particular agency or type of hearing. In these situations, the statute permits the agency by regulation to adopt a special hearing procedure to govern the matter.

There are three significant limitations on the ability of an agency to provide a special hearing procedure.

First, a special hearing procedure may not be used in proceedings that are currently governed by the 1945 California APA, or in proceedings by agencies that may be created in the future. The opportunity for a special hearing procedure is not necessary in existing APA proceedings since the proposed law is based upon them.

108. Asimow, *The Adjudication Process* 93 (Oct. 1991).

109. Gov't Code §§ 11500-11529.

110. For a current listing of administrative hearings in which the 1945 California APA is applicable, see California Administrative Hearing Practice, Appendix A: Table of State-Level Adjudicatory Activities (Cal. Cont. Ed. Bar, Supp. 1994).

These restrictions will also promote uniformity of administrative procedure among state agencies—one of the chief goals of the proposed law.

Second, adoption of a proposed hearing procedure must be done by regulation through the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act.¹¹¹ This process will ensure the opportunity for participation of interested and affected parties in the procedures of the agencies with which they are involved. It will also ensure that any special hearing procedure is embodied in regulations that are accessible to the public. The regulations are, of course, subject to any special statutes applicable to the particular hearing.

Third, the special hearing procedure, while it departs from the procedural detail of the statutory formal or informal administrative procedure, must conform to the fundamental public policy and due process features of the statutory procedure:

- The presiding officer must be free of bias, prejudice, and interest.¹¹²
- The adjudicatory function must be separated from the investigative, prosecutorial, and advocacy functions within the agency.¹¹³
- Ex parte communications are restricted.¹¹⁴
- The hearing is open to public observation.¹¹⁵
- The agency must make available language assistance to the extent required by existing law.¹¹⁶
- Each party has the right to present and rebut evidence.¹¹⁷
- The decision must be in writing, be based on the record, and include a statement of the factual and legal basis of the decision.¹¹⁸
- The decision may not be relied on as precedent unless the agency designates and indexes it as precedent.¹¹⁹

The statutes and regulations governing adjudicative proceedings of the major agencies not now governed by the Administrative Procedure Act to a large extent already satisfy these requirements. To minimize the burden on these agencies of adopting regulations, the proposal law includes transitional provisions that will simplify the regulation adopting process and will allow incorporation by reference of existing statutes and regulations.

111. Gov't Code §§ 11340-11356.

112. See "Bias" *supra*.

113. See "Separation of Functions" and "Command Influence" *supra*.

114. See "Ex Parte Communications" *supra*.

115. See "Open Hearings" *supra*.

116. See "Language Assistance" *supra*.

117. See "Present and Rebut Evidence" *supra*.

118. See "Exclusivity of Record" and "Findings and Basis of Decision" *supra*.

119. See "Precedent Decisions" *supra*.

EMERGENCY DECISION PROCEDURE

In some circumstances there is a need for an agency to take immediate action for the protection of the public. If there is serious abuse that causes immediate and irreparable physical or emotional injury to a ward in a child or elder care facility, for example, an agency may need to act quickly to remove the ward or close the facility or temporarily suspend its license. A court restraining order or injunctive relief may be unavailable as a practical matter in such a situation.

The 1945 California APA does not recognize the need of an agency to make a quick decision in an emergency situation, although a few special statutes provide individual agencies the ability to act quickly in cases of necessity.¹²⁰ All agencies should have the same power to act in a genuine emergency that jeopardizes the public health, safety, or interest.

The proposed law permits an agency to adopt a regulation authorizing emergency action where there is immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare. Under the emergency proceeding the affected person is given notice and an opportunity to be heard before the agency acts, if this is feasible. The notice and hearing may be telephonic or by other electronic means.

The emergency decision is limited to interim, temporary relief, and is subject to immediate judicial review. Issuance of the emergency relief does not resolve the underlying issue, and the agency must proceed promptly to determine the basic dispute by standard administrative adjudication processes.

DECLARATORY DECISION PROCEDURE

Declaratory relief may be a useful means by which a person may obtain fully reliable information concerning application of agency regulations to the person's particular circumstances. The Federal APA provides for declaratory orders,¹²¹ as do modern state statutes.¹²² However, California law includes no provision for administrative declaratory relief because the concept was virtually unknown in 1945.

The proposed law creates, and establishes all of the requirements for, a special proceeding to be known as a "declaratory decision" proceeding. Its purpose is to provide an inexpensive and generally available avenue for obtaining advice from an administrative agency. Issuance of a declaratory decision is discretionary with the agency. Procedural details may be provided by agency regulation. The Office of Administrative Hearings is charged with promulgation of model regulations that are applicable unless different rules are adopted by an agency. The agency may

120. Existing emergency procedures include Section 11529 (medical licensee); Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 6007(c) (attorney), 10086(a) (real estate licensee); Health & Safety Code §§ 1550 (last ¶), 1569.50, 1596.886 (health facilities and day care centers); Pub. Util. Code § 1070.5 (trucking license); Veh. Code § 11706 (DMV license suspension).

121. Federal APA § 554(e).

122. Cf. 1981 Model State APA § 2-103.

choose to preclude a declaratory decision by regulation if it appears that a declaratory decision is inappropriate for the matters administered by it.

Under the proposed law a declaratory decision is available only in case of an actual controversy, and issuance of a declaratory decision is discretionary with the agency. The general rules of administrative hearing practice are inapplicable, since there often will be no fact-finding involved — only application of laws or regulations to a prescribed set of facts. A declaratory decision has the same status and binding effect as to those facts as any other agency decision.

CONVERSION OF PROCEEDINGS

It may become apparent in an adjudicative proceeding that the issues are such that a formal hearing is unnecessary and the matter can be resolved by an informal hearing. Or, the agency may conclude that the matter should be resolved not by an individual decision but by adoption of general regulations. These and other circumstances indicate the desirability of a procedure permitting conversion of administrative proceedings from one type to another appropriate type.

There are no provisions in the 1945 California APA for conversion. The proposed law includes a conversion procedure drawn from the 1981 Model State APA.¹²³ Under this procedure, the presiding officer or other agency official responsible for the proceeding may convert it to another type if the conversion is appropriate, is in the public interest, and does not substantially prejudice the rights of a party. Notice to affected parties is required.

CONFORMING REVISIONS AND REPEALS

This recommendation sets out selected conforming revisions and repeals. The entire text of conforming revisions and repeals is in preparation and will be available for review and comment on request.

In general, the conforming revisions and repeals will do the following:

- (1) A statute that refers to the Administrative Procedure Act or a provision of the Administrative Procedure Act will be converted to a reference to the proposed law.
- (2) A statute that requires a hearing under the Administrative Procedure Act will be converted to require a hearing conducted under the proposed law by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- (3) A statute that provides a rule governing an administrative hearing that differs from the proposed law will not be revised or repealed unless it appears to differ arbitrarily. The principle embodied in the proposed law is that the proposed law provides default rules; contrary express statutes control.

The text of the conforming revisions and repeals affecting a specific agency or type of hearing is available on request from the California Law Revision

123. 1981 Model State APA § 1-107.

Commission, 4000 Middlefield Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Phone: (415) 494-1335.

COST CONSIDERATIONS

The Commission's recommendations seek to achieve the basic goals of promoting greater uniformity in state agency hearing procedures, making state agency hearing procedures more accessible to the public, improving the fairness of state agency hearing procedures, and modernizing and adding greater flexibility to state agency hearing procedures.

However, a major factor in the formulation of recommendations to achieve these goals is a concern to avoid unnecessary imposition of costs on an agency. In the state's current fiscal situation, the resources of most agencies to perform their statutory tasks are reduced. The Commission has carefully considered procedural changes that could have the effect of increasing the burden on agencies, and has built in mitigating factors in each case.

Of particular concern to agencies has been (1) the cost of reviewing existing procedures and regulations and adopting new ones, and (2) the cost of providing separation of functions in agency hearings. Examples of techniques the proposed law uses to address these concerns are:

(1) Existing special rules of most agencies are allowed to stand. The regulation adoption process is simplified. Ample time is allowed for the transitional process.¹²⁴

(2) Existing agency lay hearing officer structures are maintained. Neutral staff assistance to the presiding officer is recognized. The separation requirement is waived where circumstances compel it.¹²⁵

It may be argued that the proposed changes in procedural law could result in temporary implementation costs. The Commission believes the proposed law will generate offsetting savings that far outweigh any short term costs. Examples of cost saving measures include:

- An informal hearing process is provided as an alternative to the lengthy and costly formal hearing process required by existing law.
- Agency emergency decision procedures are provided as an alternative to currently required court proceedings.
- Inexpensive alternative dispute resolution techniques are facilitated.
- Discovery disputes are resolved administratively rather than judicially.
- Telephonic hearings and conferences, electronic recording of proceedings, and other cost-saving innovations are made available to agencies.

124. See, e.g., discussion of "Transitional Provisions" *supra*.

125. The overwhelming volume of drivers license cases, for example, requires an exemption from separation of functions. Other exemptions are provided. See discussion of "Separation of Functions" *supra*.

- The presiding officer is given greater authority to efficiently manage the conduct of proceedings, for example by limiting cumulative evidence or imposing sanctions.

- Summary administrative review options are expanded.

The Commission also contemplates long term savings for the administrative dispute resolution process. If the public believes it has received a fair administrative hearing, it is likely to abide by the decision in the case rather than seek judicial review. The proposed law will help achieve fundamental fairness in the administrative adjudication process and will foster greater confidence of the public in the system, to the ultimate benefit of both the public and state government.

The state will benefit substantially over the years from a comprehensive revision of the Administrative Procedure Act that modernizes and increases the uniformity of procedures, and that provides a sound structure for future development.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

OUTLINE

DIVISION 3.3. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	1
PART 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS	1
CHAPTER 1. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS	1
Article 1. Short Title	1
§ 600. Short title	1
Article 2. Definitions	1
§ 610.010. Application of definitions	1
§ 610.190. Agency	2
§ 610.250. Agency head	2
§ 610.280. Agency member	2
§ 610.310. Decision	2
§ 610.360. License	3
§ 610.370. Local agency	3
§ 610.410. Notice of commencement of proceeding	3
§ 610.430. Occupational license	4
§ 610.460. Party	4
§ 610.520. Person	4
§ 610.550. Pleading	4
§ 610.590. Presiding officer	5
§ 610.660. Regulation	5
§ 610.680. Reviewing authority	5
§ 610.770. State	5
Article 3. Transitional Provisions	5
§ 610.910. Operative date	5
§ 610.920. Pending proceedings	6
§ 610.930. Commencement or remand after operative date	6
§ 610.940. Adoption of regulations	6
CHAPTER 2. APPLICATION OF DIVISION	7
§ 612.110. Application of division to state	7
§ 612.120. Application of division to local agencies	8
§ 612.130. Election to apply division	8
§ 612.140. Contrary express statute controls	8
§ 612.150. Suspension of statute when necessary to avoid loss or delay of federal funds or services	8
§ 612.160. Waiver of provisions	9
CHAPTER 3. PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS	9
Article 1. Miscellaneous Provisions	9
§ 613.110. Voting by agency member	9
§ 613.120. Oaths, affirmations, and certification of official acts	10
Article 2. Notice	10
§ 613.210. Service	10
§ 613.220. Mail or other delivery	10
§ 613.230. Extension of time	10
Article 3. Representation of Parties	11
§ 613.310. Self representation	11
§ 613.320. Representation by attorney	11
§ 613.330. Lay representation	11
§ 613.340. Authority of attorney or other representative of party	12
CHAPTER 4. CONVERSION OF PROCEEDING	12

§ 614.010. Conversion authorized	12
§ 614.020. Presiding officer	13
§ 614.030. Agency record	13
§ 614.040. Procedure after conversion	14
§ 614.050. Agency regulations	14
PART 2. [RESERVED FOR RULEMAKING]	14
PART 3. ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDINGS	14
CHAPTER 1. APPLICABLE HEARING PROCEDURE	14
§ 631.010 Application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings	14
§ 631.020. Applicable procedure	16
§ 631.030. When adjudicative proceeding not required	17
§ 631.040. When adjudicative proceeding required to be conducted by administrative law judge employed by OAH	17
CHAPTER 2. INFORMAL HEARING	18
§ 632.010. Purpose of informal hearing procedure	18
§ 632.020. When informal hearing may be used	18
§ 632.030. Selection of informal hearing	19
§ 632.040. Procedure for informal hearing	20
§ 632.050. Cross-examination	20
§ 632.060. Proposed proof	20
CHAPTER 3. SPECIAL HEARING	21
§ 633.010. Special hearing procedure authorized	21
§ 633.020. Decisions for which special hearing procedure not authorized	21
§ 633.030. Requirements of special hearing procedure	22
§ 633.040. Regulations governing special hearing procedure	23
§ 633.050. Adoption of existing regulations as special hearing procedure	23
CHAPTER 4. EMERGENCY DECISION	24
§ 634.010. Application of chapter	24
§ 634.020. Agency regulation required	24
§ 634.030. When emergency decision available	25
§ 634.040. Emergency decision procedure	25
§ 634.050. Emergency decision	26
§ 634.060. Completion of proceedings	26
§ 634.070. Agency record	26
§ 634.080. Judicial review	26
CHAPTER 5. DECLARATORY DECISION	27
§ 635.010. Application of chapter	27
§ 635.020. Declaratory decision permissive	27
§ 635.030. Notice of application	28
§ 635.040. Applicability of rules governing administrative adjudication	28
§ 635.050. Action of agency	29
§ 635.060. Declaratory decision	30
§ 635.070. Regulations governing declaratory decision	30
CHAPTER 6. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS	31
Article 1. General Provisions	31
§ 636.110. Definitions	31
§ 636.120. Office of Administrative Hearings	31
§ 636.130. Administrative law judges	31
§ 636.140. Hearing personnel	31
§ 636.150. Assignment of administrative law judges	32
§ 636.160. Regulations	32
§ 636.170. Cost of operation	33
§ 636.180. Study of administrative adjudication	33

Article 2. Medical Quality Hearing Panel	33
§ 636.210. Establishment and qualifications of panel	33
§ 636.220. Conduct of hearing by administrative law judge	34
§ 636.230. Conduct of proceedings under Administrative Procedure Act	34
§ 636.240. Facilities and support personnel for review committee panel	35
PART 4. FORMAL HEARING	35
CHAPTER 1. APPLICABLE LAW AND REGULATIONS	35
§ 641.110. Application of part	35
§ 641.120. Modification or inapplicability of statute by regulation	35
§ 641.130. Compilation of regulations governing adjudicative proceeding	36
CHAPTER 2. COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDING	36
Article 1. Initiation	36
§ 642.110. Initiation by agency	36
§ 642.120. Agency action on application	36
§ 642.130. Time for agency action	38
Article 2. Pleadings	39
§ 642.210. Proceeding commenced by notice of commencement	39
§ 642.220. Contents of notice of commencement of proceeding	39
§ 642.230. Service of notice of commencement of proceeding and other information	40
§ 642.240. Jurisdiction over person to which the agency action is directed	41
§ 642.250. Response	41
§ 642.260. Amended and supplemental pleadings	42
Article 3. Setting Matter for Hearing	43
§ 642.310. Time and place of hearing	43
§ 642.320. Continuances	43
§ 642.330. Judicial review of denial of continuance	43
§ 642.340. Venue	44
§ 642.350. Change of venue	44
§ 642.360. Notice of hearing	45
CHAPTER 3. PRESIDING OFFICER	46
Article 1. Designation of Presiding Officer	46
§ 643.110. OAH administrative law judge as presiding officer	46
§ 643.120. Designation of presiding officer by agency head where exempt from OAH	47
§ 643.130. Substitution of presiding officer	47
Article 2. Disqualification	48
§ 643.210. Grounds for disqualification of presiding officer	48
§ 643.220. Self disqualification	48
§ 643.230. Procedure for disqualification of presiding officer	49
§ 643.240. Provisions applicable to reviewing authority	49
Article 3. Separation of Functions	49
§ 643.310. Limitation on service as presiding officer	49
§ 643.320. When separation not required	50
§ 643.330. Application of provisions to reviewing authority	50
Article 4. Ex Parte Communications	51
§ 643.410. Ex parte communications prohibited	51
§ 643.420. Permissible ex parte communications generally	51
§ 643.430. Permissible ex parte communications from agency personnel	52
§ 643.440. Prior ex parte communication	53
§ 643.450. Disclosure of ex parte communication	54
§ 643.460. Disqualification of presiding officer	54
§ 643.470. Application of provisions to reviewing authority	55

CHAPTER 4. INTERVENTION	55
§ 644.110. Intervention	55
§ 644.120. Conditions on intervention	55
§ 644.130. Order granting, denying, or modifying intervention	56
§ 644.140. Intervention determination nonreviewable	56
§ 644.150. Limitation of intervention provisions	56
CHAPTER 5. DISCOVERY	57
Article 1. General provisions	57
§ 645.110. Application of chapter	57
§ 645.120. Discovery of evidence of sexual conduct	57
§ 645.130. Preservation of testimony by deposition	57
Article 2. Discovery	58
§ 645.210. Time and manner of discovery	58
§ 645.220. Discovery of witness list	58
§ 645.230. Discovery of statements, writings, and reports	58
Article 3. Compelling Discovery	60
§ 645.310. Motion to compel discovery	60
§ 645.320. Lodging matters with presiding officer	60
§ 645.330. Hearing	60
§ 645.340. Order compelling discovery	61
Article 4. Subpoenas	61
§ 645.410. Subpoena authority	61
§ 645.420. Issuance of subpoena	62
§ 645.430. Motion to quash	62
§ 645.440. Witness fees	62
CHAPTER 6. PREHEARING AND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES	63
Article 1. Prehearing Conference	63
§ 646.110. Conduct of prehearing conference	63
§ 646.120. Subject of prehearing conference	63
§ 646.130. Prehearing order	64
Article 2. Settlement Conference	64
§ 646.210. Settlement	64
§ 646.220. Mandatory settlement conference	65
§ 646.230. Confidentiality of settlement communications	65
CHAPTER 7. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	65
§ 647.010. Application of chapter	65
§ 647.020. ADR authorized	66
§ 647.030. Regulations governing ADR	66
§ 647.040. Confidentiality and admissibility of ADR communications	67
CHAPTER 8. CONDUCT OF HEARING	67
Article 1. General Provisions	67
§ 648.110. Presiding officer controls conduct of hearing	67
§ 648.120. Consolidation and severance	67
§ 648.130. Default	68
§ 648.140. Open hearings	69
§ 648.150. Hearing by electronic means	69
§ 648.160. Report of proceedings	70
Article 2. Language Assistance	70
§ 648.210. "Language assistance"	70
§ 648.220. Interpretation for hearing-impaired person	70
§ 648.230. Application of article	70
§ 648.240. Provision for interpreter	71
§ 648.245. Cost of interpreter	72

§ 648.250. Certification of hearing interpreters	72
§ 648.255. Certification of medical examination interpreters	72
§ 648.260. Designation of languages for certification	73
§ 648.265. Certification fees	73
§ 648.270. Decertification	73
§ 648.275. Unavailability of certified interpreter	74
§ 648.280. Duty to advise party of right to interpreter	74
§ 648.285. Confidentiality and impartiality of interpreter	74
Article 3. Testimony and Witnesses	74
§ 648.310. Burden of proof	74
§ 648.320. Presentation of testimony	75
§ 648.330. Oral and written testimony	75
§ 648.340. Affidavits	75
§ 648.350. Protection of minor or developmentally disabled witness	76
§ 648.360. Exclusion of witnesses from hearing	76
§ 648.370. Official notice	76
Article 4. Evidence	77
§ 648.410. Technical rules of evidence inapplicable	77
§ 648.420. Discretion of presiding officer to exclude evidence	77
§ 648.430. Review of presiding officer evidentiary rulings	77
§ 648.440. Privilege	78
§ 648.450. Hearsay evidence and the residuum rule	78
§ 648.460. Admissibility of scientific evidence	78
§ 648.470. Evidence of sexual conduct	78
Article 5. Enforcement of Orders and Sanctions	79
§ 648.510. Misconduct in proceeding	79
§ 648.520. Contempt	79
§ 648.530. Monetary sanctions for bad faith actions or tactics	80
CHAPTER 9. DECISION	80
Article 1. Issuance of Decision	80
§ 649.110. Decision	80
§ 649.120. Form and contents of decision	81
§ 649.130. Issuance of proposed decision	82
§ 649.140. Adoption of proposed decision	82
§ 649.150. Time proposed decision becomes the decision	83
§ 649.160. Service of decision on parties	84
§ 649.170. Correction of mistakes and clerical errors in decision	84
Article 2. Administrative Review of Decision	85
§ 649.210. Availability and scope of review	85
§ 649.220. Initiation of review	85
§ 649.230. Review procedure	86
§ 649.240. Decision or remand	86
§ 649.250. Procedure on remand	87
§ 649.260. Communications between presiding officer and reviewing authority	87
Article 3. Precedent Decisions	88
§ 649.310. Precedential effect of decision	88
§ 649.320. Designation of precedent decision	88
§ 649.330. Index of precedent decisions	88
§ 649.340. Article not retroactive	89
Article 4. Implementation Of Decision	89
§ 649.410. Effective date of decision	89
§ 649.420. Stay	90
§ 649.430. Probation	90

§ 649.440. Registration with public officer	90
§ 649.450. Reinstatement of license or reduction of penalty	90
PART 5. JUDICIAL REVIEW	91
§ 650. Judicial review	91
SELECTED CONFORMING REVISIONS AND REPEALS	92
IMPORTANT NOTE	92
MEDICAL QUALITY HEARING PANEL INTERIM ORDERS	92
Bus. & Prof. Code § 494.1 (added). Interim orders	92
ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS	94
Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 (amended). Administrative mandamus	94
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	95
Gov't Code § 11340.4 (added). Study of administrative rulemaking	95
Gov't Code §§ 11370-11370.5 (repealed). Office of Administrative Hearings	96
CHAPTER 4. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS	96
§ 11370 (repealed). Administrative Procedure Act	96
§ 11370.1 (repealed). "Director"	96
§ 11370.2 (repealed). Office of Administrative Hearings	96
§ 11370.3 (repealed). Personnel	96
§ 11370.4 (repealed). Costs	97
§ 11370.5 (repealed). Administrative law and procedure	97
§ 11371 (repealed). Medical Quality Hearing Panel	97
§ 11372 (repealed). Conduct of hearing by administrative law judge	99
§ 11373 (repealed). Conduct of proceedings under Administrative Procedure Act	99
§ 11373.3 (repealed). Facilities and support personnel for review committee panel	99
Gov't Code §§ 11500-11529 (repealed). Administrative adjudication	99
CHAPTER 5. ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION	99
§ 11500 (repealed). Definitions	99
§ 11501 (repealed). Application of chapter	101
§ 11501.5 (repealed). Language assistance	102
§ 11502 (repealed). Administrative law judges	103
§ 11502.1 (repealed). Health planning unit	104
§ 11503 (repealed). Accusation	104
§ 11504 (repealed). Statement of issues	104
§ 11504.5 (repealed). References to accusations include statements of issues	105
§ 11505 (repealed). Service on respondent	105
§ 11506 (repealed). Notice of defense	106
§ 11507 (repealed). Amended accusation	107
§ 11507.5 (repealed). Discovery provisions exclusive	107
§ 11507.6 (repealed). Discovery	107
§ 11507.7 (repealed). Petition to compel discovery	108
§ 11508 (repealed). Time and place of hearing	110
§ 11509 (repealed). Notice of hearing	111
§ 11510 (repealed). Subpoenas	111
§ 11511 (repealed). Depositions	112
§ 11511.5 (repealed). Prehearing conferences	112
§ 11512 (repealed). Presiding officer	113
§ 11513 (repealed). Evidence	114
§ 11513.5 (repealed). Ex parte communications	117
§ 11514 (repealed). Affidavits	118
§ 11515 (repealed). Official notice	118

§ 11516 (repealed). Amendment of accusation after submission of case	119
§ 11517 (repealed). Decision in contested cases	119
§ 11518 (repealed). Decision	120
§ 11519 (repealed). Effective date of decision	120
§ 11520 (repealed). Defaults	121
§ 11521 (repealed). Reconsideration	121
§ 11522 (repealed). Reinstatement of license or reduction of penalty	122
§ 11523 (repealed). Judicial review	122
§ 11524 (repealed). Continuances	123
§ 11525 (repealed). Contempt	124
§ 11526 (repealed). Voting by mail	124
§ 11527 (repealed). Charge against funds of agency	124
§ 11528 (repealed). Oaths	124
§ 11529 (repealed). Interim orders	124

SECTION 1. Division 3.3 (commencing with Section 600) is added to Title 1 of the Government Code, to read:

DIVISION 3.3. ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

PART 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

CHAPTER 1. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS

Article 1. Short Title

§ 600. Short title

600. (a) This division, and Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, constitute and may be cited as the Administrative Procedure Act.

(b) A reference in any other statute or in a rule of court, executive order, or regulation, to a provision of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 11370) or Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, means the applicable provision of this division.

Comment. Section 600 restates a portion of former Section 11370. A reference in another statute or in a regulation to the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act continues to refer to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2. This division, as currently drafted, applies only to the administrative adjudication portion of the Administrative Procedure Act. When the division is expanded to include rulemaking, the general provisions will be reviewed for applicability.

References in section Comments in this division to the "1981 Model State APA" mean the Model State Administrative Procedure Act (1981) promulgated by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, and to the "Federal APA" mean the Federal Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559, 701-706, 1305, 3344, 5362, 7521 (originally enacted as Act of June 11, 1946, ch. 324, 60 Stat. 237), from which a number of the provisions of this division are drawn.

Article 2. Definitions

§ 610.010. Application of definitions

610.010. (a) Unless the provision or context requires otherwise, the definitions in this article govern the construction of this division.

(b) The definitions in this article apply to grammatical variants of the terms defined.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 610.010 restates the introductory portion of former Section 11500.

Subdivision (b) is new. Under subdivision (b), for example, the definition of the term "license" in Section 610.360 to include "certification" would extend, *mutatis mutandis*, to variant forms such as "licensed," "licensee," and "licensing" ("certified," "certificated," and "certification").

1 **§ 610.190. Agency**

2 610.190. "Agency" means a board, bureau, commission, department, division,
3 office, officer, or other administrative unit, including the agency head, and one or
4 more members of the agency head or agency employees or other persons directly
5 or indirectly purporting to act on behalf of or under the authority of the agency
6 head. To the extent it purports to exercise authority pursuant to any provision of
7 this division, an administrative unit otherwise qualifying as an agency shall be
8 treated as a separate agency even if the unit is located within or subordinate to
9 another agency.

10 **Comment.** Section 610.190 supersedes Section 11000 and former Section 11500(a). It is
11 drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(1). The intent of the definition is to subject as
12 many governmental units as possible to the provisions of this division. The definition
13 explicitly includes the agency head and those others who act for an agency, so as to effect the
14 broadest possible coverage. The definition also would include a committee or council.

15 The last sentence of the section is in part derived from Federal APA § 551(1), treating as an
16 agency "each authority of the Government of the United States, whether or not it is within or
17 subject to review by another agency." A similar provision is desirable here to avoid difficulty
18 in ascertaining which is *the* agency in a situation where an administrative unit is within or
19 subject to the jurisdiction of another administrative unit.

20 It should be noted that an administrative unit of an agency that has no authority to issue
21 decisions or take other action on behalf of the agency would not be an "agency" within the
22 meaning of this section.

23 **§ 610.250. Agency head**

24 610.250. "Agency head" means a person or body in which the ultimate legal
25 authority of an agency is vested, and includes a person or body to which the
26 power to act is delegated pursuant to authority to delegate the agency's power
27 to hear and decide.

28 **Comment.** The first portion of Section 610.250 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-
29 102(3). The definition of agency head is included to differentiate for some purposes between
30 the agency as an organic entity that includes all of its employees, and those particular persons
31 in which the final legal authority over its operations is vested.

32 The last portion is drawn from former Section 11500(a), relating to use of the term
33 "agency itself" to refer to a nondelegable power to act. An agency may delegate the power
34 of the agency head to review a proposed decision in an administrative adjudication. Section
35 649.210 (limitation of review); see also Section 610.680 ("reviewing authority" defined).

36 **§ 610.280. Agency member**

37 610.280. "Agency member" means a member of the body that constitutes the
38 agency head and includes a person who alone constitutes the agency head.

39 **Comment.** Section 610.280 restates former Section 11500(e) ("agency member"
40 defined).

41 **§ 610.310. Decision**

42 610.310. (a) "Decision" means an agency action of specific application that
43 determines a legal right, duty, privilege, immunity, or other legal interest of a
44 particular person.

(b) Nothing in this section limits:

(1) The authority of an agency to make a declaratory decision pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 635.070) of Part 3.

(2) The precedential effect of a decision under Article 3 (commencing with Section 649.310) of Chapter 9 of Part 4.

Comment. Section 610.310 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(5). The definition of "decision" makes clear that it includes only legal determinations made by an agency that are of specific applicability because they are addressed to particular or named persons. More than one identified person may be the subject of a decision. Section 13 (singular includes plural). "Person" includes legal entity and governmental subdivision. Section 610.520 ("person" defined); see also Section 17 ("person" defined).

A decision includes every agency action that determines any of the legal rights, duties, privileges, or immunities of a specific identified individual or individuals. This is to be compared to a regulation, which is an agency action of general application, that is, applicable to all members of a described class. Sections 610.660 and 11342 ("regulation" defined). The primary operative effect of the definition of decision is in Parts 3 (commencing with Section 631.010) and 4 (commencing with Section 641.110), governing adjudicative proceedings. This section is not intended to expand the types of cases in which an adjudicative proceeding is required; an adjudicative proceeding under this division is required only where another statute or the constitution requires one. Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings).

Consistent with the definition in this section, rate making and licensing determinations of specific application, addressed to named or particular parties such as a certain power company or a certain licensee, are decisions subject to the adjudication provisions of this statute. Cf. Federal APA § 551(4) (defining all rate making as rulemaking). On the other hand, rate making and licensing actions of general application, addressed to all members of a described class of providers or licensees, are regulations under this statute. See the Comment to Section 610.660. However, some decisions may have precedential effect pursuant to Sections 649.310-649.340 (precedent decisions).

§ 610.360. License

610.360. "License" means a franchise, permit, certification, approval, registration, charter, or similar form of authorization required by law.

Comment. Section 610.360 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(4). For the definition of "occupational license," see Section 610.430.

§ 610.370. Local agency

610.370. "Local agency" means a county, city, district, public authority, public agency, or other political subdivision or public corporation in the State of California other than the state.

Comment. Section 610.370 is new. Local agencies are not governed by this division, subject to exceptions. See Section 612.120 (application of division to local agencies). See also Section 610.770 ("state" defined).

§ 610.410. Notice of commencement of proceeding

610.410. "Notice of commencement of proceeding" means an agency action that commences an adjudicative proceeding, whether in the form of a statement of issues, initial determination, accusation, or otherwise.

Comment. Section 610.410 supersedes former Section 11504.5 and portions of the first sentences of former Sections 11503 and 11504.

§ 610.430. Occupational license

610.430. "Occupational license" means a license legally required to practice the licensee's profession.

Comment. Section 610.430 codifies the definition of "occupational license" used in *Gardner v. Commission on Professional Competence*, 164 Cal. App. 3d 1035, 1039-40, 210 Cal. Rptr. 795 (1985), and *Ettinger v. Board of Medical Quality Assurance*, 135 Cal. App. 3d 853, 857, 185 Cal. Rptr. 601 (1982). As used in this section, "license" includes "certification." Section 610.360 ("license" defined).

"Occupational license" is used in Sections 646.210. (settlement) and 648.310 (burden of proof). "Occupational license" does not include a license to operate a community care facility under the Health and Safety Code, because the license is to operate a particular facility, and suspension or revocation of the license would not deprive the licensee of the right to pursue the licensee's profession.

§ 610.460. Party

610.460. "Party," in an adjudicative proceeding, includes the agency that is taking action, the person to which the agency action is directed, and any other person named as a party or allowed to intervene in the proceeding.

Comment. Section 610.460 restates former Section 11500(b); see also 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(6). "Person" includes legal entity and governmental subdivision. Section 610.520 ("person" defined); see also Section 17 ("person" defined).

Under this definition, if an officer or employee of an agency appears in an official capacity, the agency and not the person is a party. A staff division authorized to act on behalf of the agency may be a party under this division. See Section 610.190 & Comment ("agency" defined).

For provisions on intervention, see Sections 644.110-644.150.

This section is not intended to address the question of whether a person is entitled to judicial review. Standing to seek judicial review is dealt with in other law.

§ 610.520. Person

610.520. "Person" includes an individual, partnership, corporation, governmental subdivision or unit of a governmental subdivision, or public or private organization or entity of any character.

Comment. Section 610.520 supplements the definition of "person" in Section 17 ("person" defined). It is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(8). It would include the trustee of a trust or other fiduciary.

The definition is broader than Section 17 in its application to a governmental subdivision or unit; this would include an agency other than the agency against which rights under this division are asserted by the person. Inclusion of such agencies and units of government insures, therefore, that other agencies or other governmental bodies can, for example, apply to an agency for a decision, and will be accorded all the other rights that a person has under the division.

§ 610.550. Pleading

610.550. "Pleading" includes a notice of commencement of proceeding and a response.

Comment. Section 610.550 is intended for drafting convenience. A reference to a notice or response includes an amended or supplemental notice or response. Section 642.260 (amended and supplemental pleadings).

§ 610.590. Presiding officer

610.590. "Presiding officer" means the agency head, agency member, administrative law judge, or other person who presides in an adjudicative proceeding.

Comment. Section 610.590 is consistent with Section 643.110 (designation of presiding officer by agency head).

§ 610.660. Regulation

610.660. "Regulation" has the meaning provided in Section 11342.

Comment. Section 610.660 incorporates the definition of "regulation" found in the rulemaking provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act. Subdivision (b) of Section 11342 provides:

"Regulation" means every rule, regulation, order, or standard of general application or the amendment, supplement or revision of any such rule, regulation, order or standard adopted by any state agency to implement, interpret, or make specific the law enforced or administered by it, or to govern its procedure, except one which relates only to the internal management of the state agency. "Regulation" does not mean or include legal rulings of counsel issued by the Franchise Tax Board or State Board of Equalization, or any form prescribed by a state agency or any instructions relating to the use of the form, but this provision is not a limitation upon any requirement that a regulation be adopted pursuant to this part when one is needed to implement the law under which the form is issued.

§ 610.680. Reviewing authority

610.680. "Reviewing authority" means the agency head and includes a person or body to which the agency head has delegated its review authority.

Comment. Section 610.680 is new. The agency head may delegate its review authority pursuant to Section 649.210 (availability and scope of review).

§ 610.770. State

610.770. "State" means the State of California and includes any agency or instrumentality of the State of California, whether in the executive department or otherwise.

Comment. Section 610.770 supplements Section 18 ("state" defined). This division applies to state agencies other than the Legislature, the courts and judicial branch, the Governor and Governor's office, and the University of California. See Section 612.110 (application of division to state) and Comment; see also Section 610.190 ("agency" defined). It does not apply to local agencies. See Section 612.120 (application of division to local agencies); see also Section 610.370 ("local agency" defined).

Article 3. Transitional Provisions

§ 610.910. Operative date

610.910. This division becomes operative on July 1, 1997.

Comment. Section 610.910 provides a year and a half deferred operative date to enable agencies to adopt any necessary regulations.

§ 610.920. Pending proceedings

610.920. Subject to Section 610.930, an adjudicative proceeding commenced before the operative date of this division is governed by the applicable law in effect at the time of commencement of the adjudicative proceeding and not by this division.

Comment. Section 610.920 speaks in terms of commencement of a proceeding. A proceeding is considered commenced for purposes of this division on issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding. Section 642.210; see also Section 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" defined).

§ 610.930. Commencement or remand after operative date

610.930. (a) An adjudicative proceeding commenced on or after the operative date of this division is governed by this division.

(b) An adjudicative proceeding conducted on a remand from a court or another agency after the operative date of this division is governed by this division.

Comment. Subdivision (b) of Section 610.930 is an exception to the rule of Section 610.920 (proceeding commenced before operative date governed by prior law).

§ 610.940. Adoption of regulations

610.940. (a) Notwithstanding Section 610.910, before, on, or after the operative date of this division an agency may adopt interim or permanent regulations to govern an adjudicative proceeding under this division.

(b) Subject to Section 11351:

(1) Interim regulations need not comply with Article 5 (commencing with Section 11346) or Article 6 (commencing with Section 11349) of Chapter 3.5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, but are governed by Chapter 3.5 in all other respects.

(2) Interim regulations expire on December 31, 1998, unless earlier terminated or replaced by or readopted as permanent regulations in compliance with paragraph (3). If on December 31, 1998, an agency has completed proceedings to replace or readopt interim regulations and has submitted permanent regulations for review by the Office of Administrative Law, but permanent regulations have not yet been filed with the Secretary of State, the interim regulations are extended until the earlier of the date permanent regulations are filed with the Secretary of State or March 31, 1999.

(3) Permanent regulations are subject to all the provisions of Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, except that if by December 31, 1998, an agency has submitted the regulations for review by the Office of Administrative Law, the regulations are not subject to review for necessity under Section 11349.1 or 11350.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 610.940 makes clear that an agency may act to adopt regulations under this division after enactment but before the division becomes operative. This will enable the agency to have any necessary regulations in place on the operative date.

Under subdivision (b), an agency may adopt interim procedural regulations without the normal notice and hearing and Office of Administrative Law review processes of the Administrative Procedure Act. However, this does not excuse compliance with the other provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, including but not limited to the requirements that (1) regulations be consistent and not in conflict with statute and reasonably necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute (Section 11342.2), (2) regulations be filed and published (Sections 11343-11344), and (3) regulations are subject to judicial review (Section 11350). Compliance with these provisions is not required for agencies exempted by statute. See Section 11351 (exemption of Public Utilities Commission, Division of Industrial Accidents, and Workers' Compensation Appeals Board).

Interim regulations are only valid up to 18 months, through December 31, 1998. They may be replaced by or readopted as permanent regulations before then, through the standard administrative rulemaking process. In case permanent regulations are pending on December 31, 1998, interim regulations may be extended up to three months.

Subdivision (b)(3) makes clear that permanent regulations governing administrative adjudication are subject to normal rulemaking procedures, other than review for necessity under Section 11349.1 (Office of Administrative Law) or 11350 (declaratory relief) in the case of permanent regulations promulgated during the transitional period.

CHAPTER 2. APPLICATION OF DIVISION

§ 612.110. Application of division to state

612.110. Except as otherwise expressly provided by statute:

(a) This division applies to all agencies of the state.

(b) This division does not apply to the Legislature, the courts or judicial branch, or the Governor or office of the Governor.

(c) This division does not apply to the University of California.

Comment. Section 612.110 supersedes former Section 11501. Whereas former law specified agencies subject to the Administrative Procedure Act, Section 612.110 reverses this statutory scheme and applies this division to all state agencies unless specifically excepted. The intent of this statute is to apply this division to as many state governmental units as possible.

Subdivision (a) is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-103(a).

Subdivision (b) supersedes Section 11342(a). It is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(1). Exemptions from the division are to be construed narrowly.

Subdivision (b) exempts the entire judicial branch, and is not limited to the courts. Judicial branch agencies include the Judicial Council, the Commission on Judicial Appointments, the Commission on Judicial Performance, and the Judicial Criminal Justice Planning Committee.

Subdivision (b) exempts the Governor's office, and is not limited to the Governor. For an express statutory exception to the Governor's exemption from this division, see Bus. & Prof. Code § 106.5 ("The proceedings for removal [by the Governor of a board member in the Department of Consumer Affairs] shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the Governor shall have all the powers granted therein.")

Subdivision (c) recognizes that the University of California enjoys a constitutional exemption. See Cal. Const. Art. 9, § 9 (University of California a public trust with full powers of government, free of legislative control, and independent in administration of its affairs).

Nothing in this section precludes the University of California or any other exempt agency of the state from electing to be governed by this division. See Section 612.130.

§ 612.120. Application of division to local agencies

612.120. (a) This division does not apply to a local agency except to the extent this division is made applicable by statute.

(b) This division applies to an agency created or appointed by joint or concerted action of the state and one or more local agencies.

Comment. Section 612.120 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-102(1). See also Section 610.370 ("local agency" defined). Local agencies are excluded because of the very different circumstances of local government units when compared to state agencies. The section explicitly includes joint state and local bodies, so as to effect the broadest possible coverage.

This division is made applicable by statute to local agencies in a number of instances, including:

Suspension or dismissal of permanent employee by school district. Educ. Code § 44944.

Nonreemployment of probationary employee by school district. Educ. Code § 44948.5.

Evaluation, dismissal, and imposition of penalties on certificated personnel by community college district. Educ. Code § 87679.

§ 612.130. Election to apply division

612.130. Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, by regulation, ordinance, or other appropriate action an agency may adopt this division or any of its provisions for the formulation and issuance of a decision, even though the agency or decision is exempt from application of this division.

Comment. Section 612.130 is new. An agency may elect to apply this division even though the agency would otherwise be exempt or the particular action taken by the agency would otherwise be exempt. See Sections 612.110 (application of division to state) and 612.120 (application of division to local agencies); Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings).

§ 612.140. Contrary express statute controls

612.140. Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, a statute applicable to a particular agency or decision prevails over a contrary provision of this division.

Comment. Section 612.140 makes clear that the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act are not intended to override a contrary statute that governs a particular matter.

§ 612.150. Suspension of statute when necessary to avoid loss or delay of federal funds or services

612.150. (a) To the extent necessary to avoid a loss or delay of funds or services from the United States that would otherwise be available to the state, by executive order the Governor may:

(1) Suspend, in whole or in part, any provision of this division.

(2) Adopt a rule of procedure that will avoid the loss or delay.

(b) The Governor shall declare the termination of an executive order issued under this section as soon as it is no longer necessary to prevent the loss or delay of funds or services from the United States.

(c) If a provision of this division is suspended or rule of procedure adopted pursuant to this section, the Governor shall promptly report the suspension or adoption to the Legislature. The report shall include recommendations concerning any desirable legislation that may be necessary to conform this division to federal law.

Comment. Section 612.150 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-104. *Cf.* Section 8571 (power of Governor to suspend statute in emergency). It is expanded to extend to a delay in receipt as well as to a loss of federal funds, and actions that may be taken include provision of an alternate procedure as well as suspension of an existing procedure.

This section permits specific functions of agencies to be exempted from applicable provisions of this division only to the extent that is necessary to prevent the loss or delay of federal funds or services. The test to be met is simply whether, as a matter of fact, there will actually be a loss or delay of federal funds or services if there is no suspension or adoption of an alternate procedure. And the suspension or adoption is effective only so long as and to the extent necessary to avoid the contemplated loss or delay.

The Governor is not required to issue an executive order merely on the receipt of a federal agency certification that a suspension or adoption of an alternate procedure is necessary. The suspension or adoption must be actually necessary. That is, the Governor must first decide that the federal agency is correct in its assertion that federal funds may *lawfully* be delayed or withheld from the state agency if that agency complies with certain provisions of this division, and that the federal agency intends to exercise its authority to withhold or delay those funds if certain provisions of this division are followed. However, if these two requirements are met, the Governor may suspend the provision or adopt an alternate procedure.

§ 612.160. Waiver of provisions

612.160. Except to the extent precluded by another statute or regulation, a person may waive a right conferred on the person by this division.

Comment. Section 612.160 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-105. It embodies the standard notion of waiver, which requires an intentional relinquishment of a known right. A right under this division is subject to waiver in the same way that a right under any other civil statute is normally subject to waiver. Although a right may be waived by inaction, a written waiver is ordinarily preferable. This section applies to all affected persons, whether or not parties.

CHAPTER 3. PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS

Article 1. Miscellaneous Provisions

§ 613.110. Voting by agency member

613.110. Agency members qualified to vote on a matter may vote by mail or otherwise, without being present at a meeting of the agency.

Comment. Section 613.110 restates and broadens former Section 11526 to allow telephonic or other appropriate means of voting. An agency member is not qualified to vote as a presiding officer in an adjudicative proceeding if the agency member did not hear the evidence. Section 643.110(d)(3). This section is subject to a contrary statute applicable to an

agency or proceeding. Section 612.140 (contrary express statute controls). See, e.g., Water Code § 183 (State Water Board in-person vote).

It should be noted that under the Open Meeting Law deliberations on a decision to be reached based on evidence introduced in an adjudicative proceeding may be made in closed session. Section 11126(d). See also Section 610.280 ("agency member" defined).

§ 613.120. Oaths, affirmations, and certification of official acts

613.120. In a proceeding under this division an agency, agency member, chief executive officer of an agency, hearing reporter, or presiding officer has power to administer oaths and affirmations and to certify to official acts.

Comment. Section 613.120 restates former Section 11528.

Article 2. Notice

§ 613.210. Service

613.210. (a) If this division requires that a decision or other writing be served on or notice given to a person, the writing or notice shall be delivered personally or sent by mail or other means pursuant to Section 613.220 to the person at the person's last known address or, if the person is a party with an attorney or other authorized representative of record in the proceeding, to the party's attorney or other authorized representative.

(b) For the purpose of this section, if a party is required by statute or regulation to maintain an address with the agency serving the decision or other writing, the party's last known address is the address maintained with the agency.

Comment. Section 613.210 is intended for drafting convenience. It supersedes a provision of former Section 11517(b). For provisions applicable to authorized representatives, see Sections 613.310-613.340 (representation of parties).

§ 613.220. Mail or other delivery

613.220. Unless a provision specifies the form of mail, service or notice by mail under this division may be by first class mail, registered mail, or certified mail, by mail delivery service, by facsimile transmission if complete and without error, or by other electronic means as provided by regulation, in the discretion of the sender.

Comment. Section 613.220 supersedes various provisions of former law. See, e.g., former Section 11518 (decision sent by registered mail). Failure of a person to receive notice of a hearing sent under this section is prima facie evidence of good cause for failure to attend the hearing under the formal hearing procedure. Section 648.130(c) (default).

Proof of service may be made by any appropriate method, including proof in the manner provided for civil actions and proceedings. See Code Civ. Proc. § 1013a; Cal. R. Ct. 2008(e) (proof of service by facsimile transmission).

§ 613.230. Extension of time

613.230. (a) Service or notice pursuant to Section 613.220 extends any prescribed period of notice, and any right or duty to do an act or make a response within a prescribed period after service or notice, by the following times:

(1) Five days, if service or notice is by mail or mail delivery service,

(2) Two days, if service or notice is by facsimile transmission or other electronic means.

(b) This section applies to a period prescribed by this division or by regulation under this division.

Comment. Section 613.230 is drawn from the statutes relating to service of notice by mail within California and from parallel provisions of the California Rules of Court. See Cal. Rules Ct. 2008(b); Code Civ. Proc. § 1013(e). This reverses existing law as to some administrative procedures. See, e.g., *Camper v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board*, 12 Cal. Rptr. 2d 101 (1992); *Southwest Airlines v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board*, 234 Cal. App. 3d 1421 (1991).

Article 3. Representation of Parties

§ 613.310. Self representation

613.310. A party may represent itself without an attorney. In the case of a party that is an entity, the entity may select any of its members to represent it and is bound by the acts of its authorized representative.

Comment. Section 613.310 generalizes a provision of former Section 11509.

§ 613.320. Representation by attorney

613.320. A party may be represented by an attorney at the party's own expense. A party is not entitled to appointment of an attorney to represent the party at public expense.

Comment. Section 613.320 generalizes provisions of former Sections 11500(f)(3) and 11509. Qualification and discipline of attorneys that practice before administrative agencies is governed by the State Bar of California and not by the agencies. It should be noted, however, that an agency may seek the contempt sanction for misconduct by a participant in a hearing and may impose monetary sanctions on a party or attorney for bad faith actions or tactics that are frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay. Sections 648.510–648.530 (enforcement of orders and sanctions).

§ 613.330. Lay representation

613.330. (a) An agency may permit a party to be represented by a person not otherwise authorized under this article.

(b) An agency may adopt regulations that impose qualification and disciplinary standards for representation under this section.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 613.330 recognizes the practice of some agencies to permit lay representation. See, e.g., Lab. Code § 5700 (Workers Compensation Appeals Board); Unemp. Ins. Code § 1957 (Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board); 18 Cal. Code Regs. tit. 18, § 5056 (State Board of Equalization).

Under subdivision (b) an agency may regulate such matters as standards of competency and character for lay representatives, standards of conduct (including confidentiality) and disciplinary control, and may provide procedures to bar representatives guilty of violating the standards from future representation before the agency.

1 **§ 613.340. Authority of attorney or other representative of party**

2 613.340. Unless the provision or context requires otherwise, any act required or
3 permitted by this division to be performed by, and any notice required or
4 permitted by this division to be given to, a party may be performed by, or given
5 to, the attorney or other authorized representative of the party.

6 **Comment.** Section 613.340 is intended for drafting convenience. Cf. Code Civ. Proc. §§
7 283, 446, 465, 1010, 1014 (authority of party or attorney in civil actions and proceedings).
8 The section recognizes that an administrative proceeding may involve a non-attorney
9 authorized representative of a party. Section 613.330.

10 **CHAPTER 4. CONVERSION OF PROCEEDING**

11 **§ 614.010. Conversion authorized**

12 614.010. (a) Subject to any applicable regulation adopted under Section
13 614.050, at any point in an agency proceeding the presiding officer or other
14 agency official responsible for the proceeding:

15 (1) May convert the proceeding to another type of agency proceeding
16 provided for by the Administrative Procedure Act if the conversion is appropriate,
17 is in the public interest, and does not substantially prejudice the rights of a party.

18 (2) Shall convert the proceeding to another type of agency proceeding
19 provided for by the Administrative Procedure Act, if required by regulation or
20 statute.

21 (b) A proceeding of one type may be converted to a proceeding of another
22 type only on notice to all parties to the original proceeding.

23 **Comment.** Section 614.010 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-107(a)-(b). A
24 reference in this section to a "party," in the case of an adjudicative proceeding means
25 "party" as defined in Section 610.460, and in the case of a rulemaking proceeding means an
26 active participant in the proceeding or one primarily interested in its outcome. A reference to
27 a proceeding provided by the Administrative Procedure Act includes a rulemaking
28 proceeding as well as an adjudicative proceeding. Section 600. The conversion provisions
29 may be irrelevant to some types of proceedings by some agencies, and in that case this
30 chapter would be inapplicable.

31 Under subdivision (a)(1), a proceeding may not be converted to another type that would be
32 inappropriate for the action being taken. For example, if an agency elects to conduct a formal
33 hearing in a case where it could have elected an informal hearing initially, a subsequent
34 decision to convert to an informal hearing would be appropriate under subdivision (a)(1).

35 The further limitation in subdivision (a)(1) — that the conversion may not substantially
36 prejudice the rights of a party — must also be satisfied. The courts will have to decide on a
37 case-by-case basis what constitutes substantial prejudice. The concept includes both the right
38 to an appropriate procedure that enables a party to protect its interests, and freedom of the
39 party from great inconvenience caused by the conversion in terms of time, cost, availability of
40 witnesses, necessity of continuances and other delays, and other practical consequences of the
41 conversion. Of course, even if the rights of a party are substantially prejudiced by a
42 conversion, the party may voluntarily waive them.

43 It should be noted that the substantial prejudice to the rights of a party limitation on
44 discretionary conversion of an agency proceeding from one type to another is not intended
45 to disturb an existing body of law. In certain situations an agency may lawfully deny an
46 individual an adjudicative proceeding to which the individual otherwise would be entitled by

conducting a rulemaking proceeding that determines for an entire class an issue that otherwise would be the subject of a necessary adjudicative proceeding. See Note, *The Use of Agency Rule-Making To Deny Adjudications Apparently Required by Statute*, 54 Iowa L. Rev. 1086 (1969). Similarly, the substantial prejudice limitation is not intended to disturb the existing body of law allowing an agency, in certain situations, to make a determination through an adjudicative proceeding that has the effect of denying a person an opportunity the person might otherwise be afforded if a rulemaking proceeding were used instead.

Subdivision (a)(2) makes clear that an agency must convert a proceeding of one type to a proceeding of another type when required by regulation or statute, even if a nonconsenting party is prejudiced thereby. Under subdivision (b), however, both a discretionary and a mandatory conversion must be accompanied by notice to all parties to the original proceeding so that they will have a fully adequate opportunity to protect their interests.

Within the limits of this section, an agency should be authorized to use procedures in a proceeding that are most likely to be effective and efficient under the particular circumstances. Subdivision (a) allows an agency this flexibility. For example, an agency that wants to convert a formal hearing into an informal hearing, or an informal hearing into a formal hearing, may do so under this provision if the conversion is appropriate and in the public interest, if adequate notice is given, and if the rights of the parties are not substantially prejudiced.

Similarly, an agency called on to explore a new area of law in a declaratory decision proceeding may prefer to do so by rulemaking. That is, the agency may decide to have full public participation in developing its policy in the area and to declare law of general applicability instead of issuing a determination of only particular applicability at the request of a specific party in a more limited proceeding. So long as all of the standards in this section are met, this section would authorize such a conversion from one type of agency proceeding to another.

While it is unlikely that a conversion consistent with all of the statutory standards could occur more than once in the course of a proceeding, the possibility of multiple conversions in the course of a particular proceeding is left open by the statutory language. In an adjudication, the prehearing conference could be used to choose the most appropriate form of proceeding at the outset, thereby diminishing the likelihood of a later conversion.

See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

§ 614.020. Presiding officer

614.020. If the presiding officer or other agency official responsible for the original proceeding would not have authority over the new proceeding to which it is to be converted, the agency head shall appoint a successor to preside over or be responsible for the new proceeding.

Comment. Section 614.020 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-107(c). It deals with the mechanics of transition from one type of proceeding to another.

§ 614.030. Agency record

614.030. To the extent practicable and consistent with the rights of parties and the requirements of this division relating to the new proceeding, the record of the original agency proceeding shall be used in the new agency proceeding.

Comment. Section 614.030 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-107(d). It seeks to avoid unnecessary duplication of proceedings by requiring the use of as much of the agency record in the first proceeding as is possible in the second proceeding, consistent with the rights of the parties and the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act.

§ 614.040. Procedure after conversion

614.040. After a proceeding is converted from one type to another, the presiding officer or other agency official responsible for the new proceeding shall do all of the following:

(a) Give additional notice to parties or other persons necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act relating to the new proceeding.

(b) Dispose of the matters involved without further proceedings if sufficient proceedings have already been held to satisfy the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act relating to the new proceeding.

(c) Conduct or cause to be conducted any additional proceedings necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act relating to the new proceeding, and allow the parties a reasonable time to prepare for the new proceeding.

Comment. Section 614.040 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-107(e). See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

§ 614.050. Agency regulations

614.050. An agency may adopt regulations to govern the conversion of one type of proceeding to another. The regulations may include an enumeration of the factors to be considered in determining whether and under what circumstances one type of proceeding will be converted to another.

Comment. Section 614.050 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 1-107(f). Adoption of regulations is permissive, rather than mandatory.

PART 2. [RESERVED FOR RULEMAKING]

PART 3. ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDINGS

CHAPTER 1. APPLICABLE HEARING PROCEDURE

§ 631.010 Application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings

631.010 This division governs a decision by an agency if, under the federal or state constitution or a statute, an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is required for formulation and issuance of the decision.

Comment. Section 631.010 limits application of the adjudicative proceedings provisions of this division to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings of state agencies. See Section 612.110 (application of division to state). It does not govern local agency hearings except to the extent expressly made applicable by another statute. Section 612.120 (application of division to local agencies).

Section 631.010 states the general principle that an agency must conduct an appropriate adjudicative proceeding before issuing a decision where a statute or the due process clause of the federal or state constitutions necessitates an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts. Such a hearing is a process in which a neutral decision maker makes a decision based exclusively on evidence contained in a record made at the hearing or on matters officially noticed. The hearing must at least permit a party to introduce evidence, make an argument to the presiding officer, and rebut opposing evidence.

1 The coverage of this division is the same as coverage by the existing provision for
 2 administrative mandamus under Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5(a). That section
 3 applies only where an agency has issued a final decision "as the result of a proceeding in
 4 which by law a hearing is required to be given, evidence is required to be taken, and
 5 discretion in the determination of facts is vested in the inferior tribunal, corporation, board, or
 6 officer." Numerous cases have applied Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5(a) broadly to
 7 administrative proceedings in which a statute requires an "administrative appeal" or some
 8 other functional equivalent of an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts — an on-the-
 9 record or trial-type hearing. See, e.g., *Eureka Teachers Ass'n v. Board of Educ.*, 199 Cal.
 10 App. 3d 353, 244 Cal. Rptr. 240 (1988) (teacher's right to appeal grade change was right to
 11 hearing — Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 applies); *Chavez v. Civil Serv. Comm'n*, 86 Cal. App. 3d
 12 324, 150 Cal. Rptr. 197 (right of "appeal" means required hearing — Code Civ. Proc. §
 13 1094.5 available).

14 In many cases, statutes or the constitution call for administrative proceedings that do not
 15 rise to the level of an evidentiary hearing as defined in this section. For example, the
 16 constitution or a statute might require only a consultation or a decision that is not based on an
 17 exclusive record or a purely written procedure or an opportunity for the general public to
 18 make statements. In some cases, the agency has discretion to provide or not provide the
 19 procedure. This division does not apply in such cases. Examples of cases in which the
 20 required procedure does not meet the standard of an evidentiary hearing for determination of
 21 facts are: *Goss v. Lopez*, 419 U.S. 565 (1975) (informal consultation between student and
 22 disciplinarian before brief suspension from school); *Hewitt v. Helms*, 459 U.S. 460 (1983)
 23 (informal nonadversary review of decision to place prisoner in administrative segregation —
 24 prisoner has right to file written statement); *Skelly v. State Personnel Bd.*, 15 Cal. 3d 194, 124
 25 Cal. Rptr. 14 (1975) (informal opportunity for employee to respond orally or in writing to
 26 charges of misconduct prior to removal from government job); *Wasko v. Department of*
 27 *Corrections*, 211 Cal. App. 3d 996, 1001-02, 259 Cal. Rptr. 764 (1989) (prisoner's right to
 28 appeal decision does not require a hearing — Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 inapplicable); *Marina*
 29 *County Water Dist. v. State Water Resources Control Bd.*, 163 Cal. App. 3d 132, 209 Cal.
 30 Rptr. 212 (1984) (hearing discretionary, not mandatory — Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5
 31 inapplicable).

32 Agency action pursuant to statutes that do not require evidentiary hearings are not subject
 33 to this division. Such statutes include the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Res.
 34 Code §§ 21000-21781), the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act (Gov't Code §§ 11120-
 35 11132), and the California Public Records Act (Gov't Code §§ 6250-6268).

36 This section applies only to proceedings for issuing a "decision." A decision is an agency
 37 action of specific application that determines a legal right, duty, privilege, immunity or other
 38 legal interest of a particular person. Section 610.310(a) ("decision" defined). Therefore this
 39 section does not apply to agency actions that do not determine a person's legal interests nor
 40 to rulemaking which is agency action of general applicability.

41 This division does not apply where agency regulations, rather than a statute or the
 42 Constitution, call for a hearing. Agencies are encouraged to provide procedural protections
 43 by regulation even though not required to do so by statute or the Constitution. An agency
 44 may elect to have the hearing governed by this division. See Section 612.130 (election to
 45 apply division).

46 This section does not specify what type of adjudicative proceeding should be conducted. If
 47 an adjudicative proceeding is required by this section, the proceeding may be a formal
 48 hearing, an informal hearing, a special hearing, an emergency decision, or a declaratory
 49 decision in accordance with other provisions of this division. Under this division, the formal
 50 hearing procedure is standard unless circumstances permit the informal hearing or
 51 emergency decision, or the agency has adopted a special hearing procedure. The formal
 52 hearing is analogous to the "adjudicatory hearing" under the former Administrative
 53 Procedure Act. Former Section 11500(f). The other procedures are new.

1 This section does not preclude the waiver of any procedure, or the settlement of any case
 2 without use of all available proceedings, under the general waiver and settlement provisions of
 3 Sections 612.160 (waiver of provisions) and 631.030(b) (when adjudicative proceeding not
 4 required).

5 **§ 631.020. Applicable procedure**

6 631.020. (a) An agency shall conduct an adjudicative proceeding for
 7 formulation and issuance of a decision governed by this division pursuant to one
 8 of the following procedures:

9 (1) The formal hearing procedure. The formal hearing procedure is provided in
 10 Part 4 (commencing with Section 640.010).

11 (2) The informal hearing procedure. The informal hearing procedure is provided
 12 in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 632.010).

13 (3) A special hearing procedure. Special hearing procedures are authorized in
 14 Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 633.010).

15 (4) The emergency decision procedure. The emergency decision procedure is
 16 provided in Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 634.020).

17 (5) The declaratory decision procedure. The declaratory decision procedure is
 18 provided in Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 635.020).

19 (b) The agency may select the procedure that governs the adjudicative
 20 proceeding, subject to any limitations applicable to that procedure.

21 (c) Nothing in this section limits the authority to convert an adjudicative
 22 proceeding under this division to another type of proceeding under this division
 23 pursuant to Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 614.010) of Part 1.

24 **Comment.** Section 631.020 lists the types of hearing procedures available to an agency in a
 25 case where an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is required for formulation and
 26 issuance of the decision. The agency may use any of the available procedures, provided the
 27 requirements for use of the particular procedure are satisfied.

28 The formal hearing procedure is always available. It is elaborated in Part 4 (commencing
 29 with Section 640.010). The formal hearing procedure is the default hearing procedure under
 30 this division, unless one of the alternatives provided for in this part is applicable. Section
 31 641.110 (application of part).

32 The informal hearing procedure is available in smaller cases and in cases where there is no
 33 disputed issue of material fact. An agency may make the informal hearing procedure
 34 applicable in other cases as well, to the extent constitutional and other statutory limitations do
 35 not preclude it. Section 632.020 (when informal hearing may be used).

36 A special hearing procedure is available in an adjudicative proceeding except in an
 37 adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law
 38 judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. Section 633.020 (decisions for
 39 which special hearing procedure authorized). The special hearing procedure is governed by
 40 agency regulation, but must satisfy basic due process and public policy requirements. Section
 41 633.030 (requirements of special hearing procedure).

42 The emergency decision procedure is available where there is an immediate danger to
 43 public health, safety, or welfare. Section 634.030 (when emergency decision available).

44 The declaratory decision procedure is an inexpensive means to provide reliable agency
 45 advice on stipulated facts in case of an actual controversy. Issuance of a declaratory decision
 46 is discretionary with the agency. Sections 635.070 (regulations governing declaratory
 47 decision), 635.020 (declaratory decision permissive).

The agency determines which procedure to follow. If the agency follows a special hearing procedure, it must provide a copy to the person to whom the decision is directed. Section 633.040(d) (regulations governing special hearing procedure).

§ 631.030. When adjudicative proceeding not required

631.030. (a) An agency may provide any appropriate procedure for a decision that is not required to be conducted under this division.

(b) A proceeding is not required under this division for informal factfinding or an informal investigatory hearing, or for a decision to initiate or not to initiate an investigation, prosecution, or other proceeding before the agency, another agency, or a court, whether in response to an application for an agency decision or otherwise.

(c) An agency may formulate and issue a decision by settlement, pursuant to an agreement of the parties, without conducting a proceeding under this division.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 631.030 is subject to statutory specification of the applicable procedure for decisions not governed by this division. Cf. Section 612.140 (contrary express statute controls).

Subdivision (b) is drawn in part from 1981 Model State APA § 4-101(a). The provision lists situations in which an agency may issue a decision without first conducting an adjudicative proceeding. For example, a law enforcement officer may, without first conducting an adjudicative proceeding, issue a "ticket" that will lead to a proceeding before an agency or court. Likewise, an agency may issue a notice of commencement of proceeding under this division without first conducting a proceeding to decide whether to issue the pleading. See, e.g., Sections 642.110 (initiation by agency), 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" defined). Nothing in this subdivision implies that this division applies in a proceeding in which a hearing is not statutorily or constitutionally required. Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings).

Subdivision (c) is subject to Section 646.210 (settlement) in the case of a formal or informal hearing.

§ 631.040. When adjudicative proceeding required to be conducted by administrative law judge employed by OAH

631.040. (a) An adjudicative proceeding is required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings in the following circumstances:

(1) A statute expressly so provides.

(2) The proceeding is conducted by an agency created after July 1, 1997, unless a statute provides that the proceeding need not be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

(b) If an adjudicative proceeding is required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, the requirement applies only to the formal hearing, informal hearing, and emergency decision procedures and does not apply to the declaratory decision procedure.

Comment. Subdivision (a)(1) of Section 631.040 and conforming revisions to other statutes continue existing law with respect to hearings that must be conducted by an administrative law judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings. See, e.g., former Section 11501.

Under subdivision (a)(2), this section applies to agencies created after the operative date of this division unless expressly exempted.

Subdivision (b) limits the effect of a statute that requires an adjudicative proceeding to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. It should be noted that a special hearing procedure is not available if the adjudicative proceeding is required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. Section 633.020 (decisions for which special hearing procedure not authorized).

CHAPTER 2. INFORMAL HEARING

§ 632.010. Purpose of informal hearing procedure

632.010. If an agency decision is required to be formulated and issued under this division, the agency may select the informal hearing procedure to govern the adjudicative proceeding, subject to the limitations in this chapter. The informal hearing procedure is intended as an adjudicative proceeding that satisfies due process and public policy requirements in a manner that is simpler and more expeditious than the formal hearing procedure, for use in appropriate circumstances. The procedure provides an informal forum in the nature of a conference in which a party has an opportunity to be heard by the presiding officer. The procedure also provides a forum that may accommodate a hearing where by regulation or statute a member of the public may participate without intervening as a party.

Comment. Section 632.010 states the policy that underlies the informal hearing procedure. The circumstances where the simplified procedure are appropriate are provided in Section 632.020 (when informal hearing may be used). The simplified procedures are outlined in Section 632.040 (procedure for informal hearing).

Basic due process and public policy protections of the formal hearing procedure are preserved in the informal hearing. Section 632.040(a). Thus, for example, the presiding officer must be free of bias, prejudice, and interest; the adjudicatory function must be separated from the investigative, prosecutorial, and advocacy functions within the agency; the hearing must be open to public observation; the agency must make available language assistance; ex parte communications are restricted; the decision must be in writing, be based on the record, and include a statement of the factual and legal basis of the decision; and the agency must designate and index significant decisions as precedent.

Reference in this article to the "presiding officer" is not intended to imply unnecessary formality in the proceeding. The presiding officer may be the agency head, an agency member, an administrative law judge, or another person who presides over the hearing. Section 610.590 ("presiding officer" defined).

§ 632.020. When informal hearing may be used

632.020. An informal hearing procedure may be used in any of the following proceedings, if in the circumstances its use does not violate a statute or the federal or state constitution:

(a) A proceeding where there is no disputed issue of material fact.

(b) A proceeding where there is a disputed issue of material fact, if the matter involves only:

(1) A monetary amount of not more than \$1,000.

(2) A disciplinary sanction against a prisoner.

(3) A disciplinary sanction against a student that does not involve expulsion from an academic institution or suspension for more than 10 days.

(4) A disciplinary sanction against an employee that does not involve discharge from employment, demotion, or suspension for more than 5 days.

(5) A disciplinary sanction against a licensee that does not involve revocation, suspension, annulment, withdrawal, or amendment of a license.

(c) An adjudicative proceeding of the California Coastal Commission, Division of Oil and Gas, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, or State Water Resources Control Board, that involves land use planning or environmental matters.

(d) A proceeding where, by regulation, the agency has authorized use of an informal hearing.

(e) A proceeding where an evidentiary hearing for determination of facts is not required by statute but where the agency determines the federal or state constitution may require a hearing.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 632.020 permits the informal hearing to be used, regardless of the type or amount at issue, if no disputed issue of material fact has appeared. E.g., a power plant siting proceeding in which the power company and the Energy Commission have agreed on all material facts. However, if consumers intervene and dispute material facts, the proceeding may be subject to conversion from the informal hearing to the formal hearing in accordance with Sections 614.010-614.050.

Subdivision (b) permits the informal hearing to be used, even if a disputed issue of material fact has appeared, if the amount or other stake involved is relatively minor, or if the matter involves a disciplinary sanction against a prisoner. The reference to a "licensee" in subdivision (b)(5) includes a certificate holder. Section 610.360 ("license" defined).

Subdivision (d) imposes no limits on the authority of the agency to adopt the informal hearing by regulation, other than the general limitation that use of the informal hearing procedure is subject to statutory and constitutional due process requirements.

Nothing in this section implies that this procedure is required in a proceeding in which a hearing is not statutorily or constitutionally required, including an agency's authority in minor disciplinary matters to make an investigation with or without a hearing as it deems necessary. Sections 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings); 631.030 (when adjudicative proceeding not required).

§ 632.030. Selection of informal hearing

632.030. (a) The notice of commencement of proceeding shall state the agency's selection of the informal hearing procedure.

(b) Any objection of a party to use of the informal hearing procedure shall be made in the party's response.

(c) An objection to use of the informal hearing procedure shall be resolved by the presiding officer before the hearing on the basis of the pleadings and any written submissions in support of the pleadings.

Comment. Section 632.030 provides a procedure for resolving objections to use of the informal hearing procedure in advance of the hearing. However, conversion to a formal hearing may be appropriate if during the course of the hearing circumstances indicate the need for it. See Sections 632.050 (cross-examination), 632.060 (proposed proof).

1 **§ 632.040. Procedure for informal hearing**

2 632.040. (a) Except as provided in this chapter, the provisions of Part 4
3 (commencing with Section 641.110) apply to an informal hearing.

4 (b) In an informal hearing the presiding officer shall regulate the course of the
5 proceeding. The presiding officer shall permit the parties and may permit others to
6 offer written or oral comments on the issues. The presiding officer may limit
7 witnesses, testimony, evidence, and argument, and may limit or entirely preclude
8 pleadings, intervention, discovery, prehearing conferences, and rebuttal.

9 **Comment.** Section 632.040 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-402. The section
10 indicates that the informal hearing is a simplified version of the formal hearing. The informal
11 hearing need not have a prehearing conference, discovery, or testimony of anyone other than
12 the parties. However, it is intended to permit agencies to allow public participation where
13 appropriate. Section 632.010 (purpose of informal hearing procedure).

14 **§ 632.050. Cross-examination**

15 632.050. (a) An agency may by regulation specify categories of cases in which
16 cross-examination is deemed not necessary for proper determination of the matter
17 under the informal hearing procedure.

18 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the presiding officer may allow cross-
19 examination of witnesses in an informal hearing if it appears to the presiding
20 officer that in the circumstances cross-examination is necessary for proper
21 determination of the matter.

22 (c) The presiding officer may preclude use of the informal hearing, or may
23 convert an informal hearing to a formal hearing after an informal hearing is
24 commenced, if it appears to the presiding officer that cross-examination is
25 necessary for proper determination of the matter and that the delay, burden, or
26 complication due to allowing cross-examination in the informal hearing will be
27 more than minimal.

28 (d) The actions of the presiding officer under this section are not subject to
29 judicial review.

30 **Comment.** Section 632.050 gives the presiding officer discretion to limit availability of the
31 informal hearing in situations where it appears that substantial cross-examination will be
32 necessary. For provisions on conversion, see Sections 614.010-614.050.

33 Subdivision (a) permits an agency to specify types of informal hearings in which cross-
34 examination will be precluded. In recognition of the possibility that on occasion a case may
35 demand cross-examination for proper determination of a matter, subdivision (b) gives the
36 presiding officer limited authority to depart from the general procedure for cases of that
37 type.

38 **§ 632.060. Proposed proof**

39 632.060. (a) If the presiding officer has reason to believe that material facts are
40 in dispute, the presiding officer may require a party to state the identity of the
41 witnesses or other sources through which the party would propose to present
42 proof if the proceeding were converted to a formal hearing. If disclosure of a fact,
43 allegation, or source is privileged or expressly prohibited by a regulation, statute,

1 or federal or state constitution, the presiding officer may require the party to
 2 indicate that confidential facts, allegations, or sources are involved, but not to
 3 disclose the confidential facts, allegations, or sources.

4 (b) If a party has reason to believe that essential facts must be obtained in order
 5 to permit an adequate presentation of the case, the party may inform the presiding
 6 officer regarding the general nature of the facts and the sources from which the
 7 party would propose to obtain the facts if the proceeding were converted to a
 8 formal hearing.

9 **Comment.** Section 632.060 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-403. For conversion
 10 of proceedings, see Sections 614.010-614.050.

11 CHAPTER 3. SPECIAL HEARING

12 § 633.010. Special hearing procedure authorized

13 633.010. If an agency decision is required to be formulated and issued under
 14 this division, the agency may provide a special hearing procedure to govern the
 15 adjudicative proceeding, subject to the limitations in this chapter. Part 4
 16 (commencing with Section 641.110) does not apply to a special hearing
 17 procedure except to the extent provided in this chapter or in the special hearing
 18 procedure.

19 **Comment.** Section 633.010 recognizes the authority of an agency to provide different
 20 procedures than those provided in this division to the extent authorized in this chapter. This
 21 authority does not apply in an adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be
 22 conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative
 23 Hearings. Section 633.020 (decisions for which special hearing procedure not authorized).
 24 The procedure must satisfy basic due process and public interest requirements. Section
 25 633.030 (requirements of special hearing procedure). The procedure must be adopted
 26 through the regulation process, and must be made available to persons who appear before the
 27 agency. Section 633.040 (regulations governing special hearing procedure). The agency
 28 procedure is subject to any statute applicable to the particular agency or proceeding. Section
 29 633.040 (regulations governing special hearing procedure); see also Section 612.140
 30 (contrary express statute controls).

31 For expedited adoption of special procedures, including incorporation or readoption of
 32 existing regulations, see Section 633.050 (transitional provision for adoption of regulations).

33 § 633.020. Decisions for which special hearing procedure not authorized

34 633.020. An agency may not require a special hearing procedure pursuant to
 35 this chapter in an adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be
 36 conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of
 37 Administrative Hearings.

38 **Comment.** Section 633.020 limits the matters for which a special hearing procedure may
 39 be provided to those in which there is no statutory requirement that the adjudicative
 40 proceeding be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of
 41 Administrative Hearings. Cf. Section 631.040 (when adjudicative proceeding required to be
 42 conducted by administrative law judge employed by OAH).

1 **§ 633.030. Requirements of special hearing procedure**

2 633.030. (a) A special hearing procedure shall provide an evidentiary hearing
3 for determination of facts and is subject to all of the following requirements:

4 (1) The presiding officer shall be free of bias, prejudice, and interest to the extent
5 provided in Section 643.210 (grounds for disqualification of presiding officer).

6 (2) The adjudicatory function shall be separated from the investigative,
7 prosecutorial, and advocacy functions within the agency to the extent provided
8 in Article 3 (commencing with Section 643.310) of Chapter 3 of Part 4
9 (separation of functions).

10 (3) Ex parte communications shall be restricted to the extent provided in Article
11 4 (commencing with Section 643.410) of Chapter 3 of Part 4 (ex parte
12 communications).

13 (4) The hearing shall be open to public observation to the extent provided in
14 Section 648.140 (open hearings).

15 (5) The agency shall make available language assistance to the extent provided
16 in Article 2 (commencing with Section 648.210) of Chapter 8 of Part 4 (language
17 assistance), if the agency is listed in Section 648.230.

18 (6) Each party shall have the right to present and rebut evidence.

19 (7) The decision shall be in writing, be based on the record, and include a
20 statement of the factual and legal basis of the decision to the extent provided in
21 Section 649.120 (form and contents of decision).

22 (8) A decision may not be relied on as precedent unless the agency designates
23 and indexes the decision as precedent to the extent provided in Article 3
24 (commencing with Section 649.310) of Chapter 9 of Part 4 (precedent decisions).

25 (b) The special hearing procedure may include provisions equivalent to, or more
26 protective of the rights of parties than, the requirements of subdivision (a).

27 **Comment.** Section 633.030 specifies the minimum due process and public interest
28 requirements that must be satisfied by a special hearing procedure elected by an agency.
29 Nothing in this section precludes the agency from adopting additional or more extensive
30 requirements than those prescribed by this section. Of course, there are other fundamental
31 due process elements inherent in a "hearing" that are not itemized in this section, such as
32 notice to the affected parties and an opportunity to be heard by the presiding officer.

33 The extent of the requirement of subdivision (a)(6) that each party shall have the right to
34 present and rebut evidence is determined by the agency as appropriate for the character of
35 the particular proceeding. Subdivision (a)(6) merely requires that the right be provided in
36 some form.

37 It should be noted that some of the requirements of this section have limited application.
38 See, e.g., Article 2 (commencing with Section 648.210) of Chapter 8 of Part 4 (language
39 assistance), which applies only to designated agencies.

40 It should also be noted that any special statutes expressly applicable to a hearing by an
41 agency prevail over contrary provisions of this section. Section 612.140 (contrary express
42 statute controls). See also Section 633.040 (regulations governing special hearing procedure).

1 **§ 633.040. Regulations governing special hearing procedure**

2 633.040. (a) An agency shall provide a special hearing procedure by regulation.
3 The regulation is subject to any other statute that governs the adjudicative
4 proceeding.

5 (b) A regulation that provides a special hearing procedure may do any of the
6 following:

7 (1) State the special hearing procedure in a complete and self-sufficient body.

8 (2) State some provisions of the special hearing procedure explicitly and state
9 other provisions of the special hearing procedure by incorporating by reference
10 provisions of Part 4 (commencing with Section 641.110) (formal hearing) or any
11 other statute that governs the adjudicative proceeding.

12 (3) Adopt Part 4 (commencing with Section 641.110) (formal hearing) as the
13 special hearing procedure, subject to appropriate exceptions.

14 (c) The agency shall provide a copy of the special hearing procedure, together
15 with a copy of or reference to any other statute that governs the adjudicative
16 proceeding, to the person to which the agency action is directed.

17 (d) Notwithstanding Section 11350, a regulation that provides a special hearing
18 procedure is not subject to judicial review on the basis of inconsistency with
19 statute except in an adjudicative proceeding on a showing of prejudice to a party
20 caused by the inconsistency.

21 **Comment.** Section 633.040 requires promulgation of a special hearing procedure under
22 the normal rulemaking process, including notice to affected persons and an opportunity to be
23 heard. Simplified transitional adoption procedures are provided in Section 633.040 (adoption
24 of existing regulations as special hearing procedure). The special procedure is subject to a
25 statute applicable to the particular agency or decision. Section 612.140 (contrary express
26 statute controls). This section is intended to make special hearing procedures accessible to
27 persons affected by them.

28 Under subdivision (d), declaratory relief is not available in a challenge to the consistency
29 with statute of a special hearing procedure. A properly promulgated agency regulation under
30 this chapter is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that the regulation is consistent and not in
31 conflict with statute, and reasonably necessary to effectuate the purpose of the statute.
32 Sections 11342.2, 11343.6. The presumption of validity imposes a substantial burden of
33 proof on a challenger. See discussion in Garcia, *Judicial Review of Rulemaking: Standards of*
34 *Judicial Review*, in 1 California Public Agency Practice § 22.06 (1993).

35 **§ 633.050. Adoption of existing regulations as special hearing procedure**

36 633.050. (a) As used in this section:

37 (1) "Existing regulation" means a regulation governing an agency adjudicative
38 proceeding properly adopted and in effect in compliance with Chapter 3.5
39 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 before July 1,
40 1997.

41 (2) "New regulation" means a regulation adopted under this chapter that
42 provides a special hearing procedure.

43 (b) An agency may provide a special hearing procedure by adoption of a new
44 regulation that specifies as the special hearing procedure an existing regulation or
45 part of an existing regulation, or an existing regulation as modified in the new

1 regulation. The following provisions govern adoption of a special hearing
2 procedure under this subdivision:

3 (1) The existing regulation or part is subject to review by the Office of
4 Administrative Law for consistency with Section 633.030 (requirements of
5 special hearing procedure) but is not subject to further action under Chapter 3.5
6 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2,

7 (2) The new regulation, including any modification of the existing regulation, is
8 subject to action under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1
9 of Division 3 of Title 2.

10 (3) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) and (2), the existing regulation and the new
11 regulation are not subject to action under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section
12 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 to the extent provided in Section 610.940
13 (adoption of regulations), if the special hearing procedure is adopted by an
14 interim or permanent regulation within the transitional period provided in Section
15 610.940.

16 **Comment.** Section 633.050 provides a simplified implementation process for adoption of
17 existing regulations as a special hearing procedure. For general provisions on adoption of
18 regulations governing administrative adjudication, see Section 610.940 (adoption of
19 regulations).

20 CHAPTER 4. EMERGENCY DECISION

21 § 634.010. Application of chapter

22 634.010. If an agency decision is required to be formulated and issued under
23 this division, the agency may select the emergency decision procedure to govern
24 the adjudicative proceeding, subject to the limitations in this chapter.

25 **Comment.** Section 634.010 makes clear that the emergency decision procedure provided
26 in this chapter applies only to decisions subject to this division. See Section 631.010
27 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings). It does not apply, for
28 example, to an agency decision to seek injunctive relief. Section 631.030 (when adjudicative
29 proceeding not required). The decision whether to use the emergency procedure, if available,
30 is in the discretion of the agency. Section 631.020.

31 § 634.020. Agency regulation required

32 634.020. (a) An agency may issue an emergency decision for temporary, interim
33 relief under this chapter if the agency has adopted a regulation that makes this
34 chapter applicable.

35 (b) The regulation shall do all of the following:

36 (1) Define the circumstances in which an emergency decision may be issued
37 under this chapter.

38 (2) State the nature of the temporary, interim relief that the agency may order.

39 (3) Prescribe the procedures that will be available before and after issuance of
40 an emergency decision under this chapter. The procedures may be more
41 protective of the person to which the agency action is directed than those
42 provided in this chapter.

(c) This chapter does not apply to an emergency decision, including a cease and desist order or temporary suspension order, issued pursuant to other express statutory authority.

Comment. Section 634.020 requires specificity in agency regulations that adopt an emergency decision procedure. Notwithstanding this chapter, a statute on emergency decisions, including cease and desist orders and temporary suspension orders, applicable to a particular agency or proceeding prevails over the provisions of this chapter. Section 612.140 (contrary express statute controls).

§ 634.030. When emergency decision available

634.030. (a) An agency may issue an emergency decision under this chapter in a situation involving an immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare that requires immediate agency action.

(b) An agency may take only action under this chapter that is necessary to prevent or avoid the immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare that justifies issuance of an emergency decision.

(c) An emergency decision issued under this chapter is limited to temporary, interim relief. The temporary, interim relief is subject to judicial review under Section 634.080, and the underlying issue giving rise to the temporary, interim relief is subject to an adjudicative proceeding pursuant to Section 634.060.

Comment. Section 634.030 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-501(a)-(b). The emergency decision procedure is available only if the agency has adopted an authorizing regulation. Section 634.020.

The authority for an emergency decision to avoid immediate danger to the public health, safety, or welfare includes avoiding adverse effects on the environment, such as to fish and wildlife.

§ 634.040. Emergency decision procedure

634.040. (a) Before issuing an emergency decision under this chapter, the agency shall, if practicable, give the person to which the agency action is directed notice and an opportunity to be heard.

(b) Notice and hearing under this section may be oral or written, including notice and hearing by telephone, facsimile transmission, or other electronic means, as the circumstances permit. The hearing may be conducted in the same manner as an informal hearing.

Comment. Section 634.040 applies to the extent practicable in the circumstances of the particular emergency situation. The agency must use its discretion to determine the extent of the practicability, and give appropriate notice and opportunity to be heard accordingly. For the conduct of a hearing in the manner of an informal hearing, see Section 632.040 (procedure for informal hearing).

By regulation the agency may prescribe the emergency notice and hearing procedure. See, e.g., State Bar Rules 789-798 (proceedings re involuntary transfer to inactive status upon a finding that the attorney's conduct poses a substantial threat of harm to the public or the attorney's clients). The regulation may be more protective to the person to which the agency action is directed than the provisions of this chapter. Section 634.020 (agency regulation required).

See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

1 **§ 634.050. Emergency decision**

2 634.050. (a) The agency shall issue an emergency decision, including a brief
3 explanation of the factual and legal basis and reasons for the emergency decision,
4 to justify the determination of an immediate danger and the agency's emergency
5 decision to take the specific action.

6 (b) The agency shall give notice to the extent practicable to the person to
7 which the agency action is directed. The emergency decision is effective when
8 issued or as provided in the decision.

9 **Comment.** Section 634.050 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-501(c)-(d). Under
10 this section the agency has flexibility to issue its emergency decision orally, if necessary to
11 cope with the emergency. See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

12 **§ 634.060. Completion of proceedings**

13 634.060. (a) After issuing an emergency decision under this chapter for
14 temporary, interim relief, the agency shall conduct an adjudicative proceeding
15 under another procedure provided for in this division to resolve the underlying
16 issues giving rise to the temporary, interim relief.

17 (b) The agency shall commence an adjudicative proceeding under the formal,
18 informal, or special hearing procedure within 10 days after issuing an emergency
19 decision under this chapter, notwithstanding the pendency of proceedings for
20 judicial review of the emergency decision.

21 **Comment.** Section 634.060 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-501(e). If the
22 emergency proceedings have rendered the matter completely moot, this section does not
23 direct the agency to conduct useless follow-up proceedings, since these would not be required
24 in the circumstances.

25 Subdivision (b) requires an agency to commence an adjudicative proceeding within 10
26 days after the emergency decision. This is done, in the case of a formal hearing procedure, by
27 issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding. Section 642.210.

28 **§ 634.070. Agency record**

29 634.070. The agency record consists of any documents concerning the matter
30 that were considered or prepared by the agency. The agency shall maintain these
31 documents as its official record.

32 **Comment.** Section 634.070 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-501(f).

33 **§ 634.080. Judicial review**

34 634.080. (a) On issuance of an emergency decision under this chapter, the
35 person to which the agency action is directed may obtain judicial review of the
36 decision in the manner provided in this section without prior administrative
37 review.

38 (b) Judicial review under this section shall be pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the
39 Code of Civil Procedure, subject to the following provisions:

40 (1) The hearing shall be on the earliest day that the business of the court will
41 admit of, but not later than 15 days after service of the petition on the agency.

(2) Where it is claimed that the findings are not supported by the evidence, abuse of discretion is established if the court determines that the findings are not supported by substantial evidence in the light of the whole record.

(3) A party, on written request to another party, before the proceedings for review and within 10 days after issuance of the emergency decision, is entitled to discovery to the extent provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 645.210) of Chapter 5 of Part 4.

(4) The relief that may be ordered on judicial review is limited to a stay of the emergency decision.

Comment. Section 634.080 is drawn from Section 11529(h) (interim suspension of medical care professional), with the addition of paragraph (3) providing for discovery.

CHAPTER 5. DECLARATORY DECISION

§ 635.010. Application of chapter

635.010. If an agency decision is required to be formulated and issued under this division, the agency may select the declaratory decision procedure to govern the adjudicative proceeding, subject to the limitations in this chapter.

Comment. Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 635.010) creates, and establishes all of the requirements for, a special proceeding to be known as a "declaratory decision" proceeding. The purpose of the proceeding is to provide an inexpensive and generally available means by which a person may obtain fully reliable information as to the applicability of agency administered law to the person's particular circumstances.

It should be noted that an agency not governed by this chapter nonetheless has general power to issue a declaratory decision. This power is derived from the power to adjudicate. See, e.g., M. Asimow, *Advice to the Public from Federal Administrative Agencies* 121-22 (1973).

Section 635.010 makes clear that the declaratory decision procedure provided in this chapter applies only to decisions subject to this division. See Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings).

§ 635.020. Declaratory decision permissive

635.020. (a) In case of an actual controversy, a person may apply to an agency for a declaratory decision as to the applicability to specified circumstances of a statute, regulation, or decision within the primary jurisdiction of the agency.

(b) The agency in its discretion may issue a declaratory decision in response to the application. The agency shall not issue a declaratory decision if the agency determines that any of the following applies:

(1) Issuance of the decision would be contrary to a regulation adopted under this chapter.

(2) The decision would substantially prejudice the rights of a person who would be a necessary party and who does not consent in writing to the determination of the matter by a declaratory decision proceeding.

(c) An application for a declaratory decision is not required for exhaustion of the applicant's administrative remedies for purposes of judicial review.

Comment. Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 635.020 are drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(a). For the procedure by which an interested person may petition requesting adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation, see Gov't Code §§ 11347-11347.1. Unlike the model act, Section 635.020 is applicable only to cases involving an actual controversy, and issuance of a declaratory decision is discretionary with the agency, rather than mandatory.

Under subdivision (a), a declaratory decision may determine whether the subject of the proceeding is or is not within the agency's primary jurisdiction. See *Abelleira v. District Court of Appeal*, 17 Cal. 2d 280, 302-03, 109 P.2d 942 (1941); *United Ins. Co. v. Maloney*, 127 Cal. App. 2d 155, 157-58, 273 P.2d 579 (1954).

Subdivision (b) prohibits an agency from issuing a declaratory decision that would substantially prejudice the rights of a person who would be indispensable — that is a "necessary" — party, and who does not consent to the determination of the matter by a declaratory decision proceeding. A necessary party is one that is constitutionally entitled to notice and an opportunity to be heard — a flexible concept depending on the nature of the competing interests involved. *Horn v. County of Ventura*, 24 Cal. 3d 605, 612, 617, 596 P.2d 1134, 156 Cal. Rptr. 718 (1979). Such a person may refuse to give consent because in a declaratory decision proceeding the person might not have all of the same procedural rights the person would have in another type of adjudicative proceeding to which the person would be entitled.

Subdivision (c) makes clear that application for a declaratory decision is not a necessary part of the administrative process. A person may seek judicial review of an agency action after other administrative remedies have been exhausted; the person is not required to seek declaratory relief as well. Nothing in this subdivision authorizes judicial review without exhaustion of other applicable administrative remedies.

§ 635.030. Notice of application

635.030. Within 30 days after receipt of an application for a declaratory decision, an agency shall give notice of the application, and of the right to intervene, to all persons to which notice of an adjudicative proceeding is otherwise required, and may give notice to any other person.

Comment. Section 635.030 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(c). See also Section 613.230 (extension of time). For persons to whom notice of an adjudicative proceeding is otherwise required, see Section 642.240 (jurisdiction over person to which the agency action is directed). The right to intervene is provided by Section 635.040 (applicability of rules governing administrative adjudication).

§ 635.040. Applicability of rules governing administrative adjudication

635.040. The provisions of Part 4 (commencing with Section 641.110) do not apply to an agency proceeding for a declaratory decision except to the extent provided in this chapter or to the extent the agency so provides by regulation or order.

Comment. Section 635.040 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(d). It makes clear that the specific procedural requirements for adjudications imposed by the formal hearing procedure on an agency when it conducts an adjudicative proceeding are inapplicable to a proceeding for a declaratory decision unless the agency elects to make some or all of them applicable.

Regulations specifying precise procedures available in a declaratory proceeding may be adopted under Section 635.070. The reason for exempting a declaratory decision from usual procedural requirements for adjudications provided in this part is to encourage an agency to

1 issue a decision by eliminating requirements it might deem onerous. Moreover, many
2 adjudicative provisions have no applicability. For example, cross-examination is unnecessary
3 since the application establishes the facts on which the agency should rule. Oral argument
4 could also be dispensed with.

5 Note that there are no contested issues of fact in a declaratory decision proceeding because
6 its function is to declare the applicability of the law in question to unproven facts furnished
7 by the applicant. The actual existence of the facts on which the decision is based will usually
8 become an issue only in a later proceeding in which a party to the declaratory decision
9 proceeding seeks to use the decision as a justification of the party's conduct.

10 Note also that the party requesting a declaratory decision has the choice of refraining from
11 filing such an application and awaiting the ordinary agency adjudicative process governed by
12 this part.

13 A declaratory decision is, of course, subject to provisions governing judicial review of
14 agency decisions and for public inspection and indexing of agency decisions. See, e.g.,
15 Sections 6250-6268 (California Public Records Act). A declaratory decision may be given
16 precedential effect, subject to the provisions governing precedent decisions. See Sections
17 649.310-649.340 (precedent decisions).

18 § 635.050. Action of agency

19 635.050. (a) Within 60 days after receipt of an application for a declaratory
20 decision, an agency shall do one of the following, in writing:

21 (1) Issue a decision declaring the applicability of the statute, regulation, or
22 decision in question to the specified circumstances.

23 (2) Set the matter for specified proceedings.

24 (3) Agree to issue a declaratory decision by a specified time.

25 (4) Decline to issue a declaratory decision, stating in writing the reasons for its
26 action. Agency action under this paragraph is not subject to administrative or
27 judicial review.

28 (b) A copy of the agency's action under subdivision (a) shall be served
29 promptly on the applicant and any other party.

30 (c) If an agency has not taken action under subdivision (a) within 60 days after
31 receipt of an application for a declaratory decision, the agency is considered to
32 have declined to issue a declaratory decision on the matter.

33 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 635.050 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-
34 103(e). The requirement that an agency dispose of an application within 60 days ensures a
35 timely agency response to a declaratory decision application, thereby facilitating planning by
36 affected parties.

37 Subdivision (b) is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(f). It requires that the
38 agency communicate to the applicant and to any other parties any action it takes in response
39 to an application for a declaratory decision. This includes each of the types of actions listed
40 in paragraphs (1)-(4) of subdivision (a). Service is made by personal delivery or mail or other
41 means to the last known address of the person to which the agency action is directed. Sections
42 613.210 (service), 613.220 (mail).

43 The decision by an agency whether or not to issue a declaratory decision is within the
44 absolute discretion of the agency and is therefore not reviewable. Subdivision (a)(4).

1 **§ 635.060. Declaratory decision**

2 635.060. (a) A declaratory decision shall contain the names of all parties to the
3 proceeding, the particular facts on which it is based, and the reasons for its
4 conclusion.

5 (b) A declaratory decision has the same status and binding effect as any other
6 decision issued in an agency adjudicative proceeding.

7 **Comment.** Section 635.060 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(g). A
8 declaratory decision issued by an agency is judicially reviewable; is binding on the applicant,
9 other parties to that declaratory proceeding, and the agency, unless reversed or modified on
10 judicial review; and has the same precedential effect as other agency adjudications.

11 Note that a declaratory decision, like other decisions, only determines the legal rights of the
12 particular parties to the proceeding in which it was issued.

13 Note also that the requirement in this section that each declaratory decision issued contain
14 the facts on which it is based and the reasons for its conclusion will facilitate any subsequent
15 judicial review of the decision's legality. It also ensures a clear record of what occurred for
16 the parties and other persons interested in the decision because of its possible precedential
17 effect.

18 **§ 635.070. Regulations governing declaratory decision**

19 635.070. (a) The Office of Administrative Hearings shall adopt and promulgate
20 model regulations under this chapter that are consistent with the public interest
21 and with the general policy of this chapter to facilitate and encourage agency
22 issuance of reliable advice. The model regulations shall provide for all of the
23 following:

24 (1) A description of the classes of circumstances in which an agency will not
25 issue a declaratory decision.

26 (2) The form, contents, and filing of an application for a declaratory decision.

27 (3) The procedural rights of a person in relation to an application.

28 (4) The disposition of an application.

29 (b) The regulations adopted by the Office of Administrative Hearings under this
30 chapter apply in an adjudicative proceeding unless an agency adopts its own
31 regulations to govern declaratory decisions of the agency.

32 (c) By regulation an agency may modify the provisions of this chapter or make
33 the provisions of this chapter inapplicable.

34 **Comment.** Section 635.070 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 2-103(b). An agency
35 may choose to preclude declaratory decisions altogether.

36 Regulations should specify all of the details surrounding the declaratory decision process
37 including a specification of the precise form and contents of the application; when, how, and
38 where an application is to be filed; whether an applicant has the right to an oral argument; the
39 circumstances in which the agency will not issue a decision; and the like.

40 Regulations also should require a clear and precise presentation of facts, so that an agency
41 will not be required to rule on the application of law to unclear or excessively general facts.
42 The regulations should make clear that, if the facts are not sufficiently precise, the agency can
43 require additional facts or a narrowing of the application.

44 Agency regulations on this subject will be valid so long as the requirements they impose are
45 reasonable and are within the scope of agency discretion. To be valid these rules must also be
46 consistent with the public interest — which includes the efficient and effective
47 accomplishment of the agency's mission — and the express general policy of this chapter to

1 facilitate and encourage the issuance of reliable agency advice. Within these general limits,
2 therefore, an agency may include in its rules reasonable standing, ripeness, and other
3 requirements for obtaining a declaratory decision.

4 CHAPTER 6. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

5 Article 1. General Provisions

6 § 636.110. Definitions

7 636.110. Unless the provision or context requires otherwise, the following
8 definitions govern the construction of this chapter:

9 (a) "Director" means the executive officer of the Office of Administrative
10 Hearings.

11 (b) "Office" means the Office of Administrative Hearings.

12 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 636.110 restates former Section 11370.1.
13 Subdivision (b) is new.

14 § 636.120. Office of Administrative Hearings

15 636.120. (a) There is in the Department of General Services the Office of
16 Administrative Hearings which is under the direction and control of an executive
17 officer who shall be known as the director.

18 (b) The director shall have the same qualifications as an administrative law
19 judge employed by the office, and shall be appointed by the Governor subject to
20 confirmation of the Senate.

21 (c) A reference in a statute or regulation to the Office of Administrative
22 Procedure means the Office of Administrative Hearings.

23 **Comment.** Section 636.120 restates former Section 11370.2.

24 § 636.130. Administrative law judges

25 636.130. (a) The director shall appoint and maintain a staff of full-time, and may
26 appoint pro tempore part-time, administrative law judges sufficient to fill the
27 needs of the various state agencies.

28 (b) An administrative law judge employed by the office shall have been
29 admitted to practice law in this state for at least five years immediately preceding
30 the appointment and shall possess any additional qualifications established by the
31 State Personnel Board for the particular class of position involved.

32 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 636.130 restates the first sentence of former Section
33 11370.3 and the second sentence of former Section 11502.

34 Subdivision (b) restates the third sentence of former Section 11502.

35 § 636.140. Hearing personnel

36 636.140. The director shall appoint hearing reporters and such other technical
37 and clerical personnel as may be required to perform the duties of the office.

Comment. Section 636.140 restates the second sentence of former Section 11370.3, deleting the reference to "hearing officers" and the "shorthand" hearing reporter limitation.

§ 636.150. Assignment of administrative law judges

636.150. (a) The director shall assign an administrative law judge employed by the office for an adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the office.

(b) On request from an agency, the director may assign an administrative law judge employed by the office for an adjudicative proceeding that is not required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the office.

(c) The director shall assign a hearing reporter as required.

(d) An administrative law judge employed by the office or other employee assigned under this section is considered an employee of the office and not of the agency to which the administrative law judge or other employee is assigned.

(e) When not engaged in conducting an adjudicative proceeding, an administrative law judge employed by the office may be assigned by the director to perform other duties vested in or required of the office, including those provided in Section 636.180.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 636.150 supersedes the first part of the third sentence of former Section 11370.3. This provision applies to the informal hearing procedure as well as to the formal hearing procedure. Section 632.040(a) (procedure for informal hearing). Cf. Section 631.040 (when adjudicative proceeding required to be conducted by administrative law judge employed by OAH).

Subdivision (b) restates the second part of the third sentence of former Section 11370.3.

Subdivision (c) restates the third part of the third sentence of former Section 11370.3.

Subdivision (d) restates the fifth sentence of former Section 11370.3.

Subdivision (e) restates the sixth sentence of former Section 11370.3.

§ 636.160. Regulations

636.160. The office may adopt regulations for all of the following purposes:

(a) To establish further qualifications of administrative law judges employed by the office.

(b) To establish procedures for agencies to request and for the director to assign administrative law judges employed by the office.

(c) To establish procedures and adopt forms, consistent with this division and other law, to govern administrative law judges employed by the office and to govern adjudicative proceedings under this division to the extent expressly provided by statute.

(d) To establish standards and procedures for the evaluation, training, promotion, and discipline of administrative law judges employed by the office.

(e) To facilitate the performance of the responsibilities conferred on the office by this part.

Comment. Section 636.160 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-301(e).

§ 636.170. Cost of operation

636.170. The total cost to the state of maintaining and operating the office shall be determined and collected by the Department of General Services in advance or on such other basis as it may determine from the state or other public agencies for which services are provided by the office.

Comment. Section 636.170 restates former Section 11370.4.

§ 636.180. Study of administrative adjudication

636.180. (a) The office is authorized and directed to:

(1) Study the subject of administrative adjudication in all its aspects.

(2) Submit its suggestions to the various agencies in the interests of fairness, uniformity, and the expedition of business.

(3) Report its recommendations to the Governor and Legislature at the commencement of each general session.

(b) All agencies of the state shall give the office ready access to their records and full information and reasonable assistance in any matter of research requiring recourse to them or to data within their knowledge or control. Nothing in this subdivision authorizes an agency to give access to records required by statute to be kept confidential.

Comment. Section 636.180 restates former Section 11370.5 to the extent it related to the subject of administrative adjudication, with the addition of language protecting confidentiality of records. See also Section 610.190 ("agency" defined). For authority of the Office of Administrative Law to study administrative rulemaking, see Section 11340.4.

Article 2. Medical Quality Hearing Panel

§ 636.210. Establishment and qualifications of panel

636.210. (a) There is within the office a Medical Quality Hearing Panel, consisting of no fewer than five full-time administrative law judges. The administrative law judges shall have medical training as recommended by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California and approved by the director.

(b) The director shall determine the qualifications of panel members, supervise their training, and coordinate the publication of a reporter of decisions pursuant to this section. The panel shall include only those persons specifically qualified and shall at no time constitute more than 25 percent of the total number of administrative law judges within the office. If the members of the panel do not have a full workload, they may be assigned work by the director. When the medically related case workload exceeds the capacity of the members of the panel, additional judges shall be requested to be added to the panels as appropriate. When this workload overflow occurs on a temporary basis, the director shall supply judges from the office to adjudicate the cases.

(c) The decisions of the administrative law judges of the panel, together with any court decisions reviewing those decisions, or any court decisions relevant to

1 medical quality adjudications shall be published in a quarterly "Medical
2 Discipline Report," to be funded from the Contingent Fund of the Medical Board
3 of California.

4 (d) The administrative law judges of the panel shall have panels of experts
5 available. The panels of experts shall be appointed by the director, with the
6 advice of the Medical Board of California. These panels of experts may be called
7 as witnesses by the administrative law judges of the panel to testify on the record
8 about any matter relevant to a proceeding and subject to cross examination by all
9 parties. The administrative law judge may award reasonable expert witness fees to
10 any person or persons serving on a panel of experts, which shall be paid from the
11 Contingent Fund of the Medical Board of California.

12 (e) On or before April 1, 1997, the Medical Board of California shall prepare, in
13 consultation with the office, an analysis and report that evaluates the
14 effectiveness of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel since its creation. Among
15 other things, the report shall analyze whether administrative adjudications against
16 physicians have been expedited, the aging of cases at the office, whether
17 administrative decisions and penalties ordered in the discipline of physicians have
18 become more consistent, and whether the panels of the Division of Medical
19 Quality have adopted more proposed decisions than prior to the creation of the
20 panel. The board shall send a copy of its report to the Chairpersons of the Senate
21 Committee on Business and Professions and the Assembly Committee on Health,
22 to the Office of Administrative Hearings, and to the Director of Consumer Affairs.

23 (f) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 1997, and as of that
24 date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, which is enacted before January 1,
25 1997, deletes or extends that date.

26 **Comment.** Section 636.210 continues former Section 11371 without substantive change.
27 See Section 636.110 (definitions).

28 **§ 636.220. Conduct of hearing by administrative law judge**

29 636.220. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), all adjudicative hearings and
30 proceedings relating to the discipline or reinstatement of licensees of the Medical
31 Board of California, including licensees of allied health agencies within the
32 jurisdiction of the Medical Board of California, that are heard pursuant to this
33 division, shall be conducted by an administrative law judge as designated in
34 Section 636.210, sitting alone if the case is so assigned by the agency filing the
35 charging pleading.

36 (b) Proceedings relating to interim orders shall be heard in accordance with
37 Section 494.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

38 **Comment.** Section 636.220 continues former Section 11372 without substantive change.

39 **§ 636.230. Conduct of proceedings under Administrative Procedure Act**

40 636.230. All adjudicative hearings and proceedings conducted by an
41 administrative law judge as designated in Section 636.210 shall be conducted

under the terms and conditions set forth in this division, except as provided in the Medical Practice Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code).

Comment. Section 636.230 continues former Section 11373 without substantive change.

§ 636.240. Facilities and support personnel for review committee panel

636.240. The office shall provide facilities and support personnel for the review committee panel and shall assess the Medical Board of California for facilities and personnel, where used to adjudicate cases involving the Medical Board of California.

Comment. Section 636.240 continues former Section 11373.3 without change. See Section 636.110 (definitions).

PART 4. FORMAL HEARING

CHAPTER 1. APPLICABLE LAW AND REGULATIONS

§ 641.110. Application of part

641.110. (a) This part provides the formal hearing procedure.

(b) The formal hearing procedure governs conduct of an adjudicative proceeding for formulation and issuance of a decision under this division unless a different procedure is authorized and selected by the agency under Section 631.020 (applicable procedure)

Comment. Section 641.110 makes clear that the formal hearing procedure is the default procedure where a hearing is constitutionally or statutorily required. Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings). Unless another hearing procedure is authorized and selected by the agency, this part governs the hearing.

Other hearing procedures are available in some circumstances. Section 631.020 (applicable procedure). The informal hearing procedure is available in small cases and cases where there is no disputed issue of fact, and in other cases designated by the agency where due process will allow it. Section 632.020 (when informal hearing may be used). A special hearing procedure may be available in cases where use of Office of Administrative Hearings personnel is not statutorily required. Section 633.020 (decisions for which special hearing procedure not authorized). The emergency decision procedure may be available in cases of immediate need. Section 634.030 (when emergency decision available). The declaratory decision procedure may be available in a case of stipulated facts. Section 635.020 (declaratory decision permissive).

§ 641.120. Modification or inapplicability of statute by regulation

641.120. (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, if this part authorizes an agency to modify a provision of this part or make a provision of this part inapplicable by regulation, the agency may, to that extent, adopt a regulation pursuant to Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, that modifies a provision of this part or makes a provision of this part inapplicable, and the regulation so adopted, and not this part, governs the matter.

(b) A provision of this part that authorizes an agency to modify a provision of this part or make a provision of this part inapplicable by regulation is subject to a statute that governs the matter expressly.

(c) An agency that adopts a regulation that modifies a provision of this part or makes a provision of this part inapplicable shall provide a copy of the regulation to any person to which the agency action is directed.

Comment. Section 641.120 recognizes that a number of the provisions of this part may be modified or made inapplicable by an agency to suit the circumstances of the particular type of adjudication administered by it. See, e.g., Sections 647.010 (regulations making alternative dispute resolution inapplicable), 645.150 (limitation of intervention provisions). The modification or inapplicability may occur only by regulation duly adopted and promulgated under the Administrative Procedure Act. The modification may alter, or make inapplicable to the agency's adjudicative proceedings, the particular provision as to which modification or inapplicability is permitted. For transitional provisions governing interim adoption of regulations, see Section 610.940 (adoption of regulations).

§ 641.130. Compilation of regulations governing adjudicative proceeding

641.130. (a) Regulations adopted pursuant to Section 641.120 shall be compiled in a title of the California Code of Regulations that includes other regulations of the adopting agency.

(b) Regulations adopted by the Office of Administrative Hearings under this division to govern an adjudicative proceeding may be duplicated with regulations compiled pursuant to subdivision (a) or cross-referenced by them.

Comment. Section 641.130 is intended to facilitate access by the public to the law governing administrative procedure.

CHAPTER 2. COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDING

Article 1. Initiation

§ 642.110. Initiation by agency

642.110. An agency may commence and conduct an adjudicative proceeding with respect to a matter within the agency's jurisdiction.

Comment. Section 642.110 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-102(a). It prevents any implication that Section 642.120 (agency action on application) sets forth the exclusive circumstances under which an agency may initiate an adjudicative proceeding. The authority in Section 642.110 for the agency to initiate an adjudicative proceeding with respect to a matter within its jurisdiction includes authority for the agency to initiate a proceeding to determine whether it has jurisdiction in the matter.

§ 642.120. Agency action on application

642.120. (a) An agency shall commence an adjudicative proceeding on application of a person for an agency decision if a hearing is required by Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings) and the applicant is a person entitled to a hearing, unless any of the following provisions applies:

(1) The agency lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter.

(2) Resolution of the matter requires the agency to exercise discretion within the scope of subdivision (b) of Section 631.030 (when adjudicative proceeding not required).

(3) A statute vests the agency with discretion to conduct or not to conduct an adjudicative proceeding and, in the exercise of discretion, the agency has determined not to conduct an adjudicative proceeding.

(4) Resolution of the matter does not require the agency to issue a decision that determines the applicant's legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other legal interests.

(5) The matter is not timely submitted to the agency.

(6) The matter is not submitted in a form substantially complying with an applicable statute or regulation.

(b) A person who makes an application for an agency decision without expressly requesting an adjudicative proceeding does not thereby waive the right to an adjudicative proceeding.

Comment. Section 642.120 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-102(b). It supersedes any implication that may have been found under former Sections 11503 and 11504 that a third party has a right to demand that an agency conduct a proceeding. There may, however, be other specific statutes that provide initiation rights to third parties. See, e.g., Bus. & Prof. Code § 24203 (accusations against liquor licensees filed by various public officials).

Section 642.120 requires an agency to conduct an adjudicative proceeding on application of any person for an agency decision within the scope of this division. If the agency determines that any of the exceptions provided in this section is applicable, the agency may deny the application without commencing an adjudicative proceeding, or the agency may, in its discretion under Section 642.110, commence an adjudicative proceeding although under no compulsion to do so. For the time within which an agency must act with respect to an application, see Section 642.130 (time for agency action). In situations where none of the exceptions is applicable, this section establishes the right of a person to require an agency to conduct an adjudicative proceeding.

The introductory clause of subdivision (a) reinforces the point that this part only applies where a hearing is statutorily or constitutionally required. See Section 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings).

Subdivision (a)(1) relieves the agency from an obligation to conduct an adjudicative proceeding if resolution of the matter requires the agency to exercise discretion to initiate or not to initiate an investigation, prosecution, adjudicative proceeding, or other proceeding before the agency or another agency or a court. For example, a person who submits a complaint about a licensee cannot compel the licensing agency to conduct an adjudicative proceeding against the licensee; the agency may exercise prosecutorial discretion to determine whether to commence or not to commence an adjudicative proceeding in each case. The agency's decision whether or not to commence an adjudicative proceeding need not itself be preceded by an adjudicative proceeding. Section 631.030(b) (adjudicative proceeding not required for initiation decision).

Subdivision (a)(3) does not and could not authorize an agency to deprive any person of procedural rights guaranteed by the constitution. If a statute purporting to authorize an agency to dispense with an adjudicative proceeding conflicts with constitutional guarantees, the agency may exercise its discretion under Section 642.110 to conduct an adjudicative proceeding even though the statute does not require it or, if the agency fails to conduct a

1 constitutionally required adjudicative proceeding, a reviewing court may give appropriate
2 relief.

3 Subdivision (a)(4) closely relates to the definition of "decision" in Section 610.310 as
4 "agency action of specific application that determines a legal right, duty, privilege, immunity,
5 or other legal interest of a particular person." If the applicant does not request agency action
6 that would fit within the definition of a "decision," the agency need not commence an
7 adjudicative proceeding. For example, if a person asks the agency to commence an
8 adjudicative proceeding for the purpose of adopting a rule, or of carrying out a
9 housekeeping function that affects nobody's legal rights, the request would be subject to
10 denial because the requested agency action would not be a "decision." Subdivision (a)(4)
11 provides that an agency need not commence an adjudicative proceeding unless *the*
12 *applicant's* legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other legal interests are to be
13 determined by the requested decision. Interpretation of these terms, ultimately a matter for
14 the courts, will clarify the range of situations in which this part entitles a person to require an
15 agency to conduct an adjudicative proceeding. The availability of informal adjudicative
16 proceedings may persuade courts to develop a more hospitable approach toward applicants
17 than would have been feasible or practicable if the only available type of adjudicative
18 proceeding were a trial-type, formal hearing.

19 **§ 642.130. Time for agency action**

20 642.130. (a) The time limits in this section apply except to the extent they are
21 inconsistent with limits established by another statute for any stage of the
22 proceeding.

23 (b) Within 30 days after receipt of an application for an agency decision, the
24 agency shall examine the application, notify the applicant of any apparent error
25 or omission, request any additional information from the applicant or another
26 source that the agency wishes to obtain and is permitted by law to require, and
27 notify the applicant of the name, official title, mailing address, and telephone
28 number of an agency member or employee who may be contacted regarding the
29 application. Nothing in this subdivision limits the authority of the agency to
30 request additional information more than 30 days after receipt of an application
31 for an agency decision, but such a request and any response to the request do not
32 extend the time provided in subdivision (c).

33 (c) Within 90 days after the later of (i) receipt of an application for an agency
34 decision or (ii) receipt of the response to a timely request made by the agency
35 under subdivision (b), the agency shall do one of the following:

36 (1) Approve or deny the application, in whole or in part. The agency shall serve
37 on the applicant a written notice of any denial, which shall include a brief
38 statement of the agency's reasons and of any administrative review available to
39 the applicant.

40 (2) Commence an adjudicative proceeding.

41 **Comment.** Section 642.130 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-104(a). See also
42 Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 485, 487 (procedure on denial of license application). It establishes
43 time limits and notification requirements for agency action on an application for a decision.
44 Cf. Section 633.040 (action of agency in declaratory decision procedure).

45 The effect of this section, when combined with Section 631.030, is that this part imposes no
46 procedures on the agency when it decides not to conduct an adjudicative proceeding in
47 response to an application for an agency decision, except to give a written notice of denial,

1 with a brief statement of reasons and of any available administrative review. Agency decisions
2 of this type, while not governed by the adjudicative procedures of this part, are subject to
3 judicial review as a final agency action. An agency commences an adjudicative proceeding
4 under subdivision (c)(2) by issuing a notice of commencement of proceeding. Section
5 642.210.

6 Failure of an agency to meet the time limits provided in this section does not entitle the
7 applicant to issuance of a license or other action sought in the application. The applicant's
8 remedy for the agency's failure is judicial action by writ of mandate to compel appropriate
9 agency action.

10 It should be noted that the time limits provided in this section are subject to contrary
11 statutes that govern particular proceedings. See, e.g., Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 10086 (hearing
12 must commence within 30 days after request to Real Estate Commissioner), 11019 (hearing
13 must commence within 15 days after request to Real Estate Commissioner).

14 See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

15 Article 2. Pleadings

16 § 642.210. Proceeding commenced by notice of commencement

17 642.210. An adjudicative proceeding is commenced by issuance of a notice of
18 commencement of proceeding.

19 **Comment.** Section 642.210 supersedes portions of the first sentences of former Sections
20 11503 and 11504. See also Section 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding"
21 includes accusation and statement of issues). Included among the issues that may be
22 adjudicated are whether a right, authority, license, or privilege should be granted, issued, or
23 renewed on application of a person, or revoked, suspended, limited, or conditioned on
24 initiation of an agency. Sections 642.110-642.130 (initiation of proceeding).

25 By regulation an agency may require preparation of the notice of commencement of
26 proceeding by another party or may permit a denied application to serve as the notice of
27 commencement of proceeding. In such a case, verification is required unless by regulation
28 the agency provides otherwise. Section 642.220 (contents of notice of commencement of
29 proceeding).

30 § 642.220. Contents of notice of commencement of proceeding

31 642.220. (a) The notice of commencement of proceeding shall be in writing and
32 shall include all of the following:

33 (1) A statement that sets forth in ordinary and concise language the issues to be
34 determined in the adjudicative proceeding, including any particular matters that
35 have come to the attention of the agency and that would justify a decision
36 against the person to which the agency action is directed and any acts or
37 omissions with which the person is charged. The statement shall be sufficient to
38 enable the person to prepare a case.

39 (2) A specification of the statutes and regulations that are at issue in the
40 adjudicative proceeding, including any with which the person to which the
41 agency action is directed must show compliance by producing proof at the
42 hearing and any the person is alleged to have violated. The specification shall not
43 consist merely of issues or charges phrased in the language of the statutes and
44 regulations.

45 (3) The remedy sought.

(b) The notice of commencement of proceeding shall be verified unless made by a public officer acting in an official capacity or by an employee of the agency before which the proceeding is to be held. The verification may be on information and belief.

Comment. Section 642.220 supersedes portions of former Sections 11503 and 11504. The verification requirement would apply where an agency permits preparation of the notice of commencement of proceeding by another party. Cf. Section 642.210 Comment (proceeding commenced by notice of commencement).

In the case of an informal hearing procedure, the notice must indicate the agency's selection of the procedure. Section 632.030 (selection of informal hearing procedure).

§ 642.230. Service of notice of commencement of proceeding and other information

642.230. (a) On issuance of the notice of commencement of proceeding, the issuing agency shall serve on the person to which the agency action is directed all of the following:

- (1) A copy of the notice of commencement of proceeding.
- (2) A statement to the person in the form provided in subdivision (b).
- (3) A form of response that acknowledges service of the notice of commencement of proceeding and constitutes a response under Section 642.250.
- (4) A copy of Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 645.110) (discovery).
- (5) Any other information the agency determines is appropriate.

(b) The statement to the person to which the agency action is directed shall be substantially in the following form:

You may request a hearing on this matter. If you do not request a hearing, [here insert name of agency] may proceed on the notice of commencement of proceeding without a hearing. Your failure to request a hearing does not preclude you from serving on [here insert name of agency] a statement by way of mitigation.

In order to request a hearing, you or a person acting on your behalf must sign either the enclosed form entitled Response or your own form of response as provided in Section 642.250 of the Government Code, and deliver or send it to: [here insert name and address of agency]. You must deliver or send the response within 15 days after the notice of commencement of proceeding was personally served on you, or within 20 days after the notice of commencement of proceeding was sent to you.

You may, but need not, be represented by an attorney or other authorized representative at any or all stages of this proceeding.

To request the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect and copy the items mentioned in Government Code Section 645.230 in the possession, custody, or control of the agency, you may contact: [here insert name and address of appropriate person].

(c) Notwithstanding Sections 613.210 (service) and 613.220 (mail), service under this section shall be by certified or registered mail or by personal delivery. Service may be by first class mail or other means pursuant to Section 613.220 to initiate an adjudicative proceeding before an independent appeals board or other

independent agency if the person to which the agency action is directed has previously appeared in the same or a related proceeding.

Comment. Section 642.230 is drawn from former Sections 11504 and 11505. Service under this section is limited to personal service or registered or certified mail; first class mail is not permissible except in cases before an appeals board such as the Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board, where the person to which the agency action is directed has previous involvement in the controversy and initial service provisions are therefore unnecessary.

Service is made by personal delivery or by other appropriate means to the last known address of the person to which the agency action is directed. Sections 613.210 (service) and 613.220 (mail). For this purpose, the person's last known address is the address maintained with the agency, if the person is required to maintain an address with the agency. Section 613.210(b).

An agency that fails properly to serve the person to which the agency action is directed does not acquire jurisdiction unless the person makes a general appearance. Section 642.240 (jurisdiction over person to which the agency action is directed).

The form of response may be a post card or other form provided by the agency. Signing and returning the form by the person to which the agency action is directed acknowledges service of the notice of commencement of proceeding and constitutes a response under Section 642.250.

The person to which the agency action is directed may be represented by an attorney or, in some circumstances, another authorized representative. See Sections 613.310-613.330 (representation of parties).

§ 642.240. Jurisdiction over person to which the agency action is directed

642.240. The agency shall make no decision that adversely affects a legal right, duty, privilege, immunity, or other legal interest of the person to which the agency action is directed unless the person has been served as provided in this article or has responded or otherwise appeared.

Comment. Section 642.240 restates a portion of former Section 11505(c).

§ 642.250. Response

642.250. (a) Within 15 days after service of the notice of commencement of proceeding, or a later time that the agency in its discretion permits, the person to which the agency action is directed may serve a response on the agency.

(b) A response shall be in writing signed by the person to which the agency action is directed and shall state the person's mailing address. It need not be verified or follow any particular form.

(c) A response may do one or more of the following:

(1) Request a hearing or a place of hearing.

(2) Object to the notice of commencement of proceeding on the ground that it does not state an act or omission or other ground on which the agency may proceed.

(3) Object to the form of the notice of commencement of proceeding on the ground that it is so indefinite or uncertain that the person to which the agency action is directed cannot identify the particular matters in issue or prepare a case.

Unless objection is taken under this paragraph, all further objections to the form of the notice of commencement of proceeding are considered waived.

(4) Admit in whole or in part the notice of commencement of proceeding.

(5) Present new matter by way of defense.

(6) Object to the notice of commencement of proceeding on the ground that, under the circumstances, compliance with the requirements of a regulation would result in a material violation of another regulation adopted by another agency affecting substantive rights.

(7) Raise such other matter as may be appropriate.

(c) The person to which the agency action is directed is entitled to a hearing on the merits if the person serves a response on the agency under subdivision (a). A response constitutes a specific denial of all parts of the notice of commencement of proceeding not expressly admitted.

(d) Failure to serve a response on the agency under subdivision (a) is a default subject to the right of the person to which the agency action is directed to serve a statement by way of mitigation under Section 648.130 (default).

Comment. Section 642.250 is drawn from former Section 11506. See also Sections 613.340 (authority of attorney or other representative of party), 613.210 (service), 642.260 (amended and supplemental pleadings). If service is by mail or other means of delivery, the person to which the agency action is directed has 20 days after the date of sending in which to respond. Section 613.230 (extension of time).

If the response objects to the notice of commencement of proceeding on the ground that it does not state an act or omission or other ground on which the agency may proceed, or that it is indefinite or uncertain, the agency must rule on the objection before going forward with the hearing. *Byment v. Board of Medical Examiners*, 57 Cal. App. 260, 264, 207 P. 409, 411 (1922).

The authority to request a place of hearing is new. See also Section 642.340 (venue).

The references to a "hearing" include an informal hearing where appropriate.

§ 642.260. Amended and supplemental pleadings

642.260. (a) At any time before commencement of the hearing a party may amend or supplement a pleading. After commencement of the hearing a party may amend or supplement a pleading in the discretion of the presiding officer, including an amendment to conform to proof at the hearing.

(b) An amended or supplemental pleading shall be served on all parties.

(c) If an amended or supplemental pleading presents a new issue, the opposing party shall be given a reasonable opportunity to prepare a case. Any new matter is considered controverted without further pleading, and any objection to the amended or supplemental pleading may be made orally and shall be noted in the record.

(d) A reference in this part to a notice of commencement of proceeding or response includes an amended or supplemental notice or response.

Comment. Section 642.260 supersedes former Sections 11507 and 11516. It is broadened to permit amendment of responses as well as notices of commencement of proceeding, but is narrowed so that an amendment is subject to the presiding officer's discretion after

commencement of the hearing. *Cf.* Code Civ. Proc. § 464 (supplemental pleading alleges facts material to case occurring after former pleading).

Article 3. Setting Matter for Hearing

§ 642.310. Time and place of hearing

642.310. (a) The agency initiating the adjudicative proceeding shall determine the time and place of the hearing. The hearing shall not be held before expiration of the time within which the person to which the agency action is directed is entitled to respond.

(b) In an adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, the agency shall consult the Office of Administrative Hearings and the time and place of hearing are subject to the availability of its staff.

Comment. Section 642.310 is drawn from former Sections 11508 and 11509. For limitations on the place of hearings required to be conducted by the Office of Administrative Hearings, see Section 642.340 (venue).

§ 642.320. Continuances

642.320. The presiding officer may grant a continuance for good cause if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) The party seeking the continuance applied for it within 15 days after the party discovered or reasonably should have discovered the event or occurrence that establishes good cause for the continuance.

(2) The party seeking the continuance is not responsible for and has made a good faith effort to prevent the condition or event that establishes good cause for the continuance.

Comment. Section 642.320 supersedes former Section 11524(a)-(b). The section vests continuance decisions in the presiding officer, whether or not employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, and revises the times from 10 working days to 15 calendar days.

§ 642.330. Judicial review of denial of continuance

642.330. (a) If an application for a continuance by a party is denied by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, within 10 calendar days after the denial that party shall apply to the superior court for appropriate judicial relief or be barred from judicial relief from the denial as a matter of jurisdiction.

(b) A party applying for judicial relief from the denial shall give notice to the agency and other parties. Notwithstanding Section 1010 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the notice may either be oral at the time of the denial or written at the same time application is made in court for judicial relief.

(c) This section does not apply to the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

Comment. Section 642.330 continues the substance of former Section 11524(c).

1 **§ 642.340. Venue**

2 642.340. (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the hearing shall be
3 held at a place designated by the agency in the notice of hearing.

4 (b) A hearing conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office
5 of Administrative hearings shall be held in the following location:

6 (1) City and County of San Francisco, if the transaction occurred or the person
7 to which the agency action is directed resides or is located within the First or
8 Sixth Appellate District.

9 (2) County of Los Angeles, if the transaction occurred or the person to which
10 the agency action is directed resides or is located within the Second Appellate
11 District or within the Fourth Appellate District other than the County of Imperial
12 or San Diego.

13 (3) County of Sacramento, if the transaction occurred or the person to which
14 the agency action is directed resides or is located within the Third or Fifth
15 Appellate District.

16 (4) County of San Diego, if the transaction occurred or the person to which the
17 agency action is directed resides or is located within the Fourth Appellate District
18 in the County of Imperial or San Diego.

19 (c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b):

20 (1) If the transaction occurred in a district other than that of residence or
21 location of the person to which the agency action is directed, the agency may
22 select the county appropriate for either district.

23 (2) The agency may select a different place nearer the place where the
24 transaction occurred or the person to which the agency action is directed resides
25 or is located.

26 (3) The parties may select any place within the state by agreement.

27 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 642.330 is consistent with the rule that in a hearing
28 not required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of
29 Administrative Hearings, the agency may designate the place of hearing. Section 642.310
30 (time and place of hearing). For the notice of hearing, see Section 642.360.

31 Subdivision (b) is drawn from former Section 11508. Subdivision (b)(4) recognizes
32 creation of a branch of the Office of Administrative Hearings in San Diego.

33 **§ 642.350. Change of venue**

34 642.350. The person to which the agency action is directed may move for, and
35 the presiding officer in its discretion may grant or deny, a change in the place of
36 the hearing. A motion for a change in the place of the hearing shall be made with
37 10 days after service of the notice of hearing on the person.

38 **Comment.** Section 642.350 codifies practice authorizing a motion for change of venue.
39 See 1 Ogden, California Public Agency Practice § 33.02[4][d] (1991). Grounds for change
40 of venue include selection of an improper county and promotion of convenience of witness
41 and ends of justice. Cf. Code Civ. Proc. § 397. Failure to move for a change in the place of
42 the hearing within the 10 day period waives the right to object to the place of the hearing.

1 **§ 642.360. Notice of hearing**

2 642.360. (a) The agency shall serve a notice of hearing on all parties at least 15
3 days before the hearing.

4 (b) The notice of hearing shall be substantially in the following form and may
5 include other information:

6 A hearing will be held before [here insert name of agency] at [here insert
7 place of hearing] on [here insert date of hearing], at the hour of, on the
8 issues stated in the notice of commencement of proceeding served on you.

9 If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding
10 officer within 10 days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify
11 the presiding officer within 10 days will deprive you of a change in the
12 place of hearing.

13 The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause,
14 you are obliged to notify the presiding officer within 15 days after you
15 discover the good cause. Failure to notify the presiding officer within 15
16 days will deprive you of a postponement.

17 You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented
18 by an attorney or other authorized representative at your own expense.
19 You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney or other authorized
20 representative to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to
21 represent yourself without an attorney.

22 Unless the hearing is an informal hearing:

23 You may present any relevant evidence, and will be given full
24 opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are
25 entitled to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of
26 witnesses and the production of books, documents, or other things by
27 applying to [here insert appropriate office of agency] or the presiding
28 officer, or by your attorney of record.

29 **Comment.** Section 642.360 is drawn from former Sections 11509 and 11505, with an
30 increase in time from 10 to 15 days and notification of the right to seek a change of venue.
31 See Section 642.350 (change of venue). If notice of hearing is sent by mail or other means, it
32 must be sent at least 20 days before the hearing date. Section 613.230 (extension of time).
33 Proof of service by mail may be made by any appropriate method, including proof in the
34 manner provided for civil actions and proceedings. See Code Civ. Proc. § 1013a.

35 The person to which the agency action is directed may be represented by an attorney or, in
36 some circumstances, another authorized representative. See Sections 613.310-613.330
37 (representation of parties).

38 For limitations on procedures in an informal hearing, see Section 632.040 (procedure for
39 informal hearing).

CHAPTER 3. PRESIDING OFFICER

Article 1. Designation of Presiding Officer

§ 643.110. OAH administrative law judge as presiding officer

643.110. The following provisions apply in an adjudicative proceeding that is required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings:

(a) The presiding officer shall be an administrative law judge assigned by the director of the Office of Administrative Hearings.

(b) In the discretion of the agency head, the administrative law judge may hear the case alone or the agency head may hear the case with the administrative law judge.

(c) If the administrative law judge hears the case alone, the administrative law judge shall exercise all powers relating to the conduct of the hearing.

(d) If the agency head hears the case with the administrative law judge:

(1) The administrative law judge shall preside at the hearing, rule on the admission and exclusion of evidence, and advise the agency head on matters of law.

(2) The agency head shall exercise all other powers relating to the conduct of the hearing but may delegate any or all of them to the administrative law judge.

(3) The agency head shall issue a decision as provided in Section 649.110. The administrative law judge who presided at the hearing shall be present during the consideration of the case and, if requested, shall assist and advise the agency head. No agency member who did not hear the evidence shall vote.

(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subdivision, if after the hearing has commenced a quorum no longer exists, the administrative law judge who is presiding shall complete the hearing as if sitting alone and shall deliver a proposed decision to the agency head as provided in Section 649.110.

Comment. Section 643.110 restates the substance of the first sentence of former Section 11512(a). Statutes apart from this division may require specific adjudicative proceedings to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. In addition, hearings by agencies created after the operative date of this division are required to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings unless expressly exempted by statute. Cf. Section 631.040 (when adjudicative proceeding required to be conducted by administrative law judge employed by OAH).

Assignment of an administrative law judge under subdivision (a) is governed by Section 636.150 (Office of Administrative Hearings).

Subdivision (b) restates the second sentence of former Section 11512(a).

Subdivision (c) restates the second sentence of former Section 11512(b).

Subdivisions (d)(1) and (2) restate the first sentence of former Section 11512(b). Subdivision (d)(3) restates former Section 11517(a) with the addition of a sentence that makes clear the agency head may issue a decision in the proceeding. Subdivision (d)(4) restates former Section 11512(e).

§ 643.120. Designation of presiding officer by agency head where exempt from OAH

643.120. If an adjudicative proceeding is not required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, any one or more of the following persons may, in the discretion of the agency head, be the presiding officer:

(a) The agency head.

(b) An agency member.

(c) An administrative law judge assigned by the director of the Office of Administrative Hearings.

(d) Another person designated by the agency head.

Comment. Section 643.120 is drawn from 1981 Model State Act § 4-202(a). It uses the term "presiding officer" to refer to the one or more persons who preside over a hearing. If the presiding officer is more than one person, as for example when a multi-member agency sits en banc, one of the persons may serve as spokesperson, but all persons collectively are regarded as the presiding officer. See also Section 13 (singular includes plural).

Assignment of an administrative law judge under subdivision (c) is pursuant to Section 636.150 (assignment of administrative law judges). Discretion of the agency head to designate "another person" to serve as presiding officer under subdivision (d) is subject to Section 643.310 (separation of functions).

One consequence of determining who shall preside is provided in Sections 649.110 and 649.210. Under Section 649.110 (decision), if the agency head presides, the agency head shall issue the decision; if any other presiding officer presides, a proposed decision must be issued. Section 649.210 (availability and scope of review) establishes the general appealability of decisions and proposed decisions to the agency head.

For a statutory exception to the right of the agency head to designate the presiding officer, see Section 643.110 (OAH administrative law judge as presiding officer).

§ 643.130. Substitution of presiding officer

643.130. (a) If a substitute is required for a presiding officer who is disqualified or is unavailable for any other reason, the substitute shall be appointed by the appointing authority.

(b) A substitute appointed under this section is subject to the same qualifications as an original presiding officer.

(c) An action taken by a substitute appointed under this section is as effective as if taken by an original presiding officer.

(d) The reviewing authority is subject to the provisions of this section governing substitution to the same extent as the presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Section 643.130 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-202(e)-(f). This provision also applies on administrative review. See Section 649.230 Comment (review procedure). The section only applies where a substitute is "required," i.e., is necessary because the presiding officer is otherwise unable to act, for example because of lack of a quorum.

In cases where there is no appointing authority, e.g., the presiding officer is an elected official, this section provides for no appointment of a substitute, and the "rule of necessity" applies. *Cf.* former Section 11512(c) (no agency member subject to disqualification if disqualification would prevent existence of quorum qualified to act).

Article 2. Disqualification

§ 643.210. Grounds for disqualification of presiding officer

643.210. (a) The presiding officer is subject to disqualification for bias, prejudice, interest, or any other cause provided by law.

(b) It is not alone or in itself grounds for disqualification, without further evidence of bias, prejudice, or interest, that the presiding officer:

(1) Is or is not a member of a racial, ethnic, religious, sexual, or similar group and the proceeding involves the rights of that group.

(2) Has experience, technical competence, or specialized knowledge of or has in any capacity expressed a view on a legal, factual, or policy issue presented in the proceeding.

(3) Has as a lawyer or public official participated in the drafting of laws or regulations or in the effort to pass or defeat laws or regulations, the meaning, effect, or application of which is in issue in the proceeding.

(4) Is subject to the authority, direction, or discretion of a person who has served as, investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the proceeding, to the extent those circumstances are not prohibited by Article 3 (commencing with Section 643.310) (separation of functions).

Comment. Section 643.210 supersedes former Section 11512(c). Section 643.210 applies whether the presiding officer serves alone or with others. Other causes of disqualification provided by law include receipt of ex parte communications. Section 643.460 (disqualification of presiding officer). For separation of functions requirements, see Section 643.310. This provision also applies to the reviewing authority. Section 643.240 (provisions applicable to reviewing authority).

Subdivision (a) specifies grounds for disqualification drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-202(b).

Subdivision (b) is drawn from Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.2 (disqualification of judges). Although subdivision (b)(2) provides that expression of a view on a legal, factual, or policy issue in the proceeding does not in itself disqualify the presiding officer under Section 643.210, disqualification in such a situation might occur under Section 643.310 (separation of functions).

§ 643.220. Self disqualification

643.220. (a) The presiding officer shall disqualify himself or herself and withdraw from a proceeding in which there are grounds for disqualification.

(b) The parties may waive disqualification under subdivision (a) by a writing that recites the basis for disqualification. The waiver is effective only when signed by all parties, accepted by the presiding officer, and included in the record.

Comment. Section 643.220 is drawn from the first sentence of former Section 11512(c) and from Code of Civil Procedure Section 170.3(b)(1). This provision also applies to the reviewing authority. Section 643.240 (provisions applicable to reviewing authority).

A waiver of disqualification under subdivision (b) is a voluntary relinquishment of rights by the parties. It should be noted that the waiver may be signed by the attorney or other authorized representative of a party. Section 613.340 (authority of attorney or other representative of party). The presiding officer need not accept a waiver; the waiver is effective only if accepted by the presiding officer.

§ 643.230. Procedure for disqualification of presiding officer

643.230. (a) A party may request disqualification of the presiding officer by filing an affidavit within 10 days after receipt of notice of the presiding officer's identity or within 10 days after discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification, whichever is later. The affidavit shall state with particularity the grounds of the request for disqualification of the presiding officer.

(b) The presiding officer whose disqualification is requested shall determine whether to grant the request. If the presiding officer is more than one person, the person whose disqualification is requested shall not participate in the determination.

(c) A determination not to grant the disqualification request shall state facts and reasons for the determination.

(d) The determination of the disqualification request is subject to administrative and judicial review at the same time, in the same manner, and to the same extent as other determinations of the presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Section 643.230 supersedes former Section 11512(c). It is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-202(c)-(d). This provision also applies to the reviewing authority. Section 643.240 (provisions applicable to reviewing authority).

§ 643.240. Provisions applicable to reviewing authority

643.240. The reviewing authority is subject to the provisions of this article governing qualifications to the same extent as the presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Under Section 643.240, this article is applicable on administrative review. See also Section 649.230 Comment (review procedure).

Article 3. Separation of Functions

§ 643.310. Limitation on service as presiding officer

643.310. (a) A person may not serve as presiding officer in an adjudicative proceeding in any of the following circumstances:

(1) The person has served as investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the proceeding or its pre-adjudicative stage.

(2) The person is subject to the authority, direction, or discretion of a person who has served as investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the proceeding or its pre-adjudicative stage.

(b) This section does not apply to a proceeding for issuance, denial, revocation, or suspension of a driver's license pursuant to Division 6 (commencing with Section 12500) of the Vehicle Code. The Department of Motor Vehicles shall study the effect of this section on proceedings involving vehicle operation certificates and shall report to the Legislature by December 31, 1999, with recommendations concerning experience with its application in those proceedings.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 643.310 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-214(a)-(b). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.330 (application of provisions to reviewing authority). For exceptions to this provision, see Section 643.320 (when separation not required).

Under this provision, a person has "served" in any of the capacities mentioned if the person has personally carried out the function, and not merely supervised or been organizationally connected with a person who has personally carried out the function. The separation of functions requirements are intended to apply to substantial involvement in a case by a person, and not merely marginal or trivial participation. The sort of participation intended to be disqualifying is meaningful participation that is likely to affect an individual with a commitment to a particular result in the case.

Subdivision (b) recognizes the personnel problem faced by the Department of Motor Vehicles due to the large volume of drivers' licensing cases. Subdivision (b) makes separation of powers requirements inapplicable in drivers' licensing cases, including license classifications and endorsements. However, the separation of functions requirements remains applicable in other Department of Motor Vehicle hearings, including schoolbus and ambulance operation certificate hearings, on which the department is required to report.

§ 643.320. When separation not required

643.320. Notwithstanding Section 643.310:

(a) A person may serve as presiding officer at successive stages of an adjudicative proceeding.

(b) A person who has participated as decisionmaker in a determination of probable cause or other equivalent preliminary determination in an adjudicative proceeding or its pre-adjudicative stage may serve as presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Section 643.320 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-214(c)-(d). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.330 (application of provisions to reviewing authority).

This section, dealing with the extent to which a person may serve as presiding officer at different stages of the same proceeding, should be distinguished from Section 643.410, which prohibits certain ex parte communications. The policy issues in Section 643.410 regarding ex parte communication between two persons differ from the policy issues in subdivisions (a) and (b) regarding the participation by one individual in two stages of the same proceeding. There may be other grounds for disqualification, however, in the event of improper ex parte communications. Section 643.460 (disqualification of presiding officer). See also Section 643.210 (grounds for disqualification of presiding officer).

§ 643.330. Application of provisions to reviewing authority

643.330. The reviewing authority is subject to the provisions of this article governing separation of functions to the same extent as the presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Under Section 643.330, this article is applicable on administrative review. See also Section 649.230 Comment (review procedure).

Article 4. Ex Parte Communications

§ 643.410. Ex parte communications prohibited

643.410. (a) While the proceeding is pending there shall be no communication, direct or indirect, regarding any issue in the proceeding, to the presiding officer from an employee or representative of an agency that is a party or an interested person outside the agency, without notice and opportunity for all parties to participate in the communication.

(b) Nothing in this section precludes a communication, including a communication from an employee or representative of an agency that is a party, made on the record at the hearing.

(c) For the purpose of this section, a proceeding is pending from the issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding, or from the application for an agency decision, whichever is earlier.

Comment. Section 643.410 is drawn from former Section 11513.5(a) and (b). See also 1981 Model State APA § 4-213(a), (c). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority). For exceptions to this section, see Sections 643.420 (permissible ex parte communications generally) and 643.430 (permissible ex parte communications from agency personnel).

The reference to a representative of a party is consistent with Section 613.340 (authority of attorney or other representative of party). The reference to an "interested person outside the agency" replaces the former reference to a "person who has a direct or indirect interest in the outcome of the proceeding," and is drawn from federal law. See Federal APA § 557(d)(1)(A); see also *PATCO v. Federal Labor Relations Authority*, 685 F.2d 547 (D.C. Cir. 1982) (construing the federal standard to include person with an interest beyond that of a member of the general public).

While this section precludes an adversary from communicating with the presiding officer, it does not preclude the presiding officer from communicating with an adversary. Thus it would not prohibit an agency head from communicating to an adversary that a particular case should be settled or dismissed. However, a presiding officer should give assistance or advice with caution, since there may be an appearance of unfairness if assistance or advice is given to some parties but not others.

This section does not preclude ex parte communications between the agency head making a decision and any person who presided at a previous stage of the proceeding. This reverses a provision of former Section 11513.5(a).

Nothing in this section limits the authority of the presiding officer to conduct an in camera examination of proffered evidence. Cf. Section 645.320 (lodging discovery matters with court).

NOTE. Under this draft a party may not communicate to the presiding officer but the presiding officer may communicate to a party. See Comment. The Commission solicits comments on whether the presiding officer should be precluded from communicating to a party, as under the ex parte communication provision of the existing Administrative Procedure Act.

§ 643.420. Permissible ex parte communications generally

643.420. A communication otherwise prohibited by Section 643.410 is permissible in any of the following circumstances:

(a) The communication is required for disposition of an ex parte matter specifically authorized by statute.

(b) The communication concerns a matter of procedure or practice that is not in controversy.

(c) The proceeding is nonprosecutorial in character, provided the content of the communication is disclosed on the record and all parties are given an opportunity to comment on it in the manner provided in Section 643.450 (disclosure of ex parte communication).

Comment. Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 643.420 are drawn from former Section 11513.5(a) and (b). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority).

This article is not intended to preclude communications made to a presiding officer or staff assistant regarding noncontroversial matters of procedure and practice, such as the format of pleadings, number of copies required, or manner of service. Subdivision (b). Such topics are not part of the merits of the matter, provided they appear to be noncontroversial in context of the specific case. However, it should be noted that a staff assistant who receives substantive ex parte communications may not aid the presiding officer. Section 643.430(a) (permissible ex parte communications from agency personnel).

§ 643.430. Permissible ex parte communications from agency personnel

643.430. A communication otherwise prohibited by Section 643.410 from an employee or representative of an agency that is a party to the presiding officer is permissible in any of the following circumstances:

(a) The communication is for the purpose of assistance and advice to the presiding officer from a person who has not served as investigator, prosecutor, or advocate in the proceeding or its pre-adjudicative stage. An assistant or advisor shall not receive ex parte communications of a type the presiding officer would be prohibited from receiving, or furnish, augment, diminish, or modify the evidence in the record.

(b) The communication is for the purpose of advising the presiding officer concerning a settlement proposal advocated by the advisor.

(c) The communication is for the purpose of advising the presiding officer concerning the following matters in an adjudicative proceeding that is nonprosecutorial in character, provided the content of the advice is disclosed on the record and all parties are given an opportunity to comment on it in the manner provided in Section 643.450 (disclosure of ex parte communication):

(1) The advice involves a technical issue in the proceeding and the advice is necessary for, and is not otherwise reasonably available to, the presiding officer.

(2) The advice involves an issue in a proceeding of the California Coastal Commission, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Delta Protection Commission, Water Resources Control Board, or a regional water quality control board.

Comment. Section 643.430 is most useful in hearings where the presiding officer is not an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings. This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority). It should be noted that this article does not limit on-the-record communications

1 between agency personnel and the presiding officer. Section 643.410(b) (ex parte
2 communications prohibited). Only advice or assistance given outside the hearing is
3 prohibited.

4 The first sentence of subdivision (a) of is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-214(a)-
5 (b). The second sentence is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-213(b). Under this
6 provision, a person has "served" in any of the capacities mentioned if the person has
7 personally carried out the function, and not merely supervised or been organizationally
8 connected with a person who has personally carried out the function. The limitation is
9 intended to apply to substantial involvement in a case by a person, and not merely marginal
10 or trivial participation. The sort of participation intended to be disqualifying is meaningful
11 participation that is likely to affect an individual with a commitment to a particular result in
12 the case. Thus a person who merely participated in a preliminary determination in an
13 adjudicative proceeding or its pre-adjudicative stage would ordinarily be able to assist or
14 advise presiding officer in the proceeding. Cf. Section 643.320 (when separation of functions
15 not required). For this reason also, a staff member who plays a meaningful but neutral role
16 without becoming an adversary would not be barred by this section.

17 This provision is not limited to agency personnel, but includes participants in the
18 proceeding not employed by the agency. A deputy attorney general who prosecuted the case
19 at the administrative trial level, for example, would be precluded from advising the reviewing
20 authority at the administrative review level, except with respect to settlement matters.
21 Subdivision (b).

22 Subdivision (b), permitting an investigator, prosecutor, or advocate to advise the presiding
23 officer regarding a settlement proposal, is limited to advice in support of the proposed
24 settlement; the insider may not use the opportunity to argue against a previously agreed-to
25 settlement. Cf. Alhambra City and High School Districts (1986) PERB Decision No. 560 [10
26 PERC ¶ 17046]. Insider access is permitted here in support of public policy favoring
27 settlement, and because of the consonance of interest of the parties in this situation.

28 Subdivision (c) applies to nonprosecutorial types of administrative adjudications, such as
29 power plant siting and land use decisions. The provision recognizes that the length and
30 complexity of many cases of this type may as a practical matter make it impossible for an
31 agency to adhere to the restrictions of this article, given limited staffing and personnel.
32 Subdivision (c)(1) recognizes such an adjudication may require advice from a person with
33 special technical knowledge whose advice would not otherwise be available to the presiding
34 officer under standard doctrine. Subdivision (c)(2) recognizes the need for policy advice
35 from planning staff in proceedings such as land use and environmental matters.

36 This section is augmented by special statutes applicable to individual agencies. See, e.g.,
37 Pub. Res. Code § 30322(b)(1) (communication between a Coastal Commission staff member
38 acting in official capacity and commission member or interested person not prohibited).

39 **NOTE.** The exception provided in subdivision (c) for communication with agency
40 personnel in a nonprosecutorial proceeding is narrower than the general exception provided
41 in Section 643.420(c) for communications in nonprosecutorial proceedings generally. The
42 Law Revision Commission particularly solicits comparative comments on these two
43 approaches.

44 § 643.440. Prior ex parte communication

45 643.440. If, while the proceeding is pending but before serving as presiding
46 officer, a person receives a communication of a type that would be in violation of
47 this article if received while serving as presiding officer, the person, promptly after
48 starting to serve, shall disclose the content of the communication on the record
49 and give all parties an opportunity to comment on it in the manner provided in
50 Section 643.450 (disclosure of ex parte communication).

Comment. Section 643.440 is drawn from former Section 11513.5(c), but is limited to communications received during pendency of the proceeding. See also 1981 Model State APA § 4-213(d). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority). For the purpose of this section, a proceeding is pending on the earlier of issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding or an application for an agency decision. Section 643.410(c) (ex parte communications prohibited).

§ 643.450. Disclosure of ex parte communication

643.450. (a) If a presiding officer receives a communication in violation of this article, the presiding officer shall make all of the following a part of the record of the proceeding:

(1) If the communication is written, the writing and any written response to the communication.

(2) If the communication is oral, a memorandum stating the substance of the communication, any response made, and the identity of each person from which the presiding officer received the communication.

(b) The presiding officer shall notify all parties that a communication described in this section has been made a part of the record. A party that requests an opportunity to comment on the communication within ten (10) days after notice of the communication shall be allowed to comment.

Comment. Section 643.450 is drawn from former Section 11513.5(d). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority). It should be noted that a staff assistant who receives substantive ex parte communications may not aid the presiding officer. Section 643.430(a) (staff assistance for presiding officer).

See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

§ 643.460. Disqualification of presiding officer

643.460. Receipt by the presiding officer of a communication in violation of this article may provide a basis for disqualification of the presiding officer. If the presiding officer is disqualified, the portion of the record pertaining to the ex parte communication may be sealed by protective order of the disqualified presiding officer.

Comment. Section 643.460 is drawn from former Section 11513.5(e). This provision also applies on administrative review. Section 643.470 (application of provisions to reviewing authority).

Section 643.460 permits the disqualification of a presiding officer if necessary to eliminate the effect of an ex parte communication. For the disqualification procedure, see Section 643.230.

In addition, this section permits the pertinent portions of the record to be sealed by protective order. The intent of this provision is to remove the improper communication from the view of the successor presiding officer, while preserving it as a sealed part of the record, for purposes of subsequent administrative or judicial review. Issuance of a protective order under this section is permissive, not mandatory, and is therefore within the discretion of a presiding officer who has knowledge of the improper communication.

§ 643.470. Application of provisions to reviewing authority

643.470. The reviewing authority is subject to the provisions of this article governing ex parte communications to the same extent as the presiding officer in the proceeding.

Comment. Under Section 643.470, this article is applicable on administrative review. See also Section 649.230 Comment (review procedure).

CHAPTER 4. INTERVENTION

§ 644.110. Intervention

644.110. The presiding officer shall grant a motion for intervention if all of the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) The motion is submitted in writing to the presiding officer, with copies served on all parties named in the notice of the hearing.

(b) The motion is made as early as practicable in advance of the hearing. If there is a prehearing conference, the motion shall be made in advance of the prehearing conference and shall be resolved at the prehearing conference.

(c) The motion states facts demonstrating that the applicant's legal rights, duties, privileges, or immunities will be substantially affected by the proceeding or that the applicant qualifies as an intervenor under a statute or regulation.

(d) The presiding officer determines that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceeding will not be impaired by allowing the intervention.

Comment. Section 644.110 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-209(a). It provides that the presiding officer must grant the motion to intervene if a party satisfies the standards of the section. Subdivision (c) confers standing on an applicant to intervene on demonstrating that the applicant's "legal rights, duties, privileges, or immunities will be substantially affected by the proceeding." Cf. *Horn v. County of Ventura*, 24 Cal. 3d 605, 596 P.2d 1134, 156 Cal. Rptr. 718 (1979) (right to notice and hearing if agency action will constitute substantial deprivation of property rights). However, subdivision (d) imposes the further limitation that the presiding officer may grant the motion for intervention only on determining that "the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings will not be impaired by allowing the intervention." The presiding officer is thus required to weigh the impact of the proceedings on the legal rights, etc., of the applicant for intervention (subdivision (c)) against the interests of justice and the need for orderly and prompt proceedings (subdivision (d)).

§ 644.120. Conditions on intervention

644.120. If an applicant qualifies for intervention, the presiding officer may impose conditions on the intervenor's participation in the proceedings, either at the time that intervention is granted or at a subsequent time. Conditions may include the following:

(a) Limiting the intervenor's participation to designated issues in which the intervenor has a particular interest demonstrated by the motion.

(b) Limiting or excluding the use of discovery, cross-examination, and other procedures involving the intervenor so as to promote the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceeding.

(c) Requiring two or more intervenors to combine their presentations of evidence and argument, cross-examination, discovery, and other participation in the proceeding.

(d) Limiting or excluding the intervenor's participation in settlement negotiations.

Comment. Section 644.120 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-209(c). This section, authorizing the presiding officer to impose conditions on the intervenor's participation in the proceeding, is intended to permit the presiding officer to facilitate reasonable involvement of intervenors without subjecting the proceeding to unreasonably burdensome or repetitious presentations.

§ 644.130. Order granting, denying, or modifying intervention

644.130. (a) As early as practicable in advance of the hearing the presiding officer shall issue an order granting or denying each motion for intervention, specifying any conditions, and briefly stating the reasons for the order.

(b) The presiding officer may modify the order at any time, stating the reasons for the modification.

(c) The presiding officer shall promptly give notice of an order granting, denying, or modifying intervention to the applicant and to all parties.

Comment. Section 644.130 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-209(d). By requiring advance notice of the presiding officer's order granting, denying, or modifying intervention, this section is intended to give the parties and the applicants for intervention an opportunity to prepare for the adjudicative proceeding.

§ 644.140. Intervention determination nonreviewable

644.140. Whether the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings will be impaired by allowing intervention is a determination to be made under this chapter by the presiding officer in the presiding officer's sole discretion based on the knowledge and judgment of the presiding officer at that time, and the presiding officer's determination is not subject to administrative or judicial review.

Comment. Section 644.140 is new.

§ 644.150. Limitation of intervention provisions

644.150. (a) Nothing in this chapter precludes an agency from adopting a regulation that permits participation by a person short of intervention as a party, subject to Article 4 (commencing with Section 643.410) of Chapter 3 (ex parte communications).

(b) By regulation an agency may modify the provisions of this chapter or make the provisions of this chapter inapplicable.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 644.150 recognizes that there are ways whereby an interested person can have an impact on an ongoing adjudication without assuming the

substantial litigation costs of becoming a party and without unnecessarily complicating the proceeding through the addition of more parties. Agency regulations may provide, for example, for filing of amicus briefs, testifying as a witness, or contributing to the fees of a party.

Subdivision (b) makes clear that an agency may limit or preclude intervention in a proceeding.

CHAPTER 5. DISCOVERY

Article 1. General provisions

§ 645.110. Application of chapter

645.110. This chapter provides the exclusive right to and method of discovery in a proceeding governed by this part.

Comment. Section 645.110 supersedes former Section 11507.5. The civil discovery provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure are inapplicable to this part except to the extent a provision of this part incorporates them.

§ 645.120. Discovery of evidence of sexual conduct

645.120. (a) This section is intended only to limit the scope of discovery. It is not intended to affect the methods of discovery allowed under this chapter.

(b) In a proceeding under subdivision (i) or (j) of Section 12940 or under Section 19572 or 19702, alleging conduct that constitutes sexual harassment, sexual assault, or sexual battery, evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is not discoverable unless it is to be offered at a hearing to attack the credibility of the complainant as provided in Section 648.470 (evidence of sexual conduct).

Comment. Section 645.120 supersedes former Section 11507.6(g).

§ 645.130. Preservation of testimony by deposition

645.130. (a) A party may, by petition as provided in this section, request an order that the testimony of a material witness residing within or without the state be taken by deposition in the manner prescribed by law for depositions in civil actions.

(b) The petition shall be verified, shall request an order that the witness appear and testify before an officer named in the petition for that purpose, and shall state all of the following:

(1) The nature of the pending proceeding.

(2) The name and address of the witness whose testimony is requested.

(3) A showing of the materiality of the testimony of the witness.

(4) A showing that the witness will be unable or cannot be compelled to attend the hearing.

(c) The applicant shall serve notice of hearing and a copy of the petition on the other parties to the proceeding at least 10 days before the hearing.

(d) If the witness resides within the state, the petition shall be made to, and an order may be issued by, the presiding officer or, if a presiding officer has not been appointed, the agency. If the witness resides without the state, the petition shall be made to, and an order may be issued by, the agency, which shall obtain an order of the superior court to that effect either in the county where the proceeding is conducted or the County of Sacramento.

Comment. Section 645.130 supersedes former Section 11511. The section authorizes the presiding officer, if one has been appointed, to order a deposition where the witness resides within the state. The section also requires notice to the other parties of the hearing on the petition. See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

Article 2. Discovery

§ 645.210. Time and manner of discovery

645.210. (a) After commencement of a proceeding, a party, on written request to another party, before the hearing and within 30 days after service on the party of the notice of commencement of proceeding or within 15 days after service on the party of an additional or supplemental pleading, is entitled to discovery to the extent provided in this article.

(b) A party shall respond to a request for discovery within 20 days after service of the request, or within another time provided by stipulation.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 645.210 supersedes the introductory portion of the first paragraph of former Section 11507.6. It should be noted that an exchange of witness lists and of exhibits or documents to be offered in evidence at the hearing may be required at a prehearing conference. Section 646.120 (subject of prehearing conference).

Subdivision (b) is new. If the request is served by mail or other means, the party has 25 days after the date of sending in which to respond. Section 613.230 (extension of time). The reference to another time provided by stipulation is a specific instance of the general principle that time periods under this division may be waived by agreement of the parties. An express provision is included here because under Section 645.310 (motion to compel discovery) the time within which a motion must be made commences to run from expiration of the time provided in this section.

§ 645.220. Discovery of witness list

645.220. A party requesting discovery under this article is entitled to obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing.

Comment. Section 645.220 supersedes clause (1) of the first paragraph of former Section 11507.6. For the time within which a party must respond to a discovery request, see Section 645.210 (time and manner of discovery).

§ 645.230. Discovery of statements, writings, and reports

645.230. (a) As used in this section, "statement" includes all of the following:

(1) A written statement by a person signed or otherwise authenticated by the person.

(2) A stenographic, mechanical, electrical, or other recording or transcript of an oral statement by a person.

(3) A written report or summary of an oral statement by a person.

(b) A party requesting discovery under this article is entitled to inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of another party:

(1) A statement of a witness then proposed to be called by the party or of any other person, including a party or the complainant, having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions, or events that are the basis for the proceeding.

(2) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical, and blood examinations, and things that the party then proposes to offer in evidence.

(3) Any other writing or thing that is relevant.

(4) An investigative report made by or on behalf of the party pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that the report (i) contains the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions, or events that are the basis for the proceeding, or (ii) reflects matters perceived by the investigator in the course of the investigation, or (iii) contains or includes by attachment any statement or writing or summary of a statement or writing described in this section.

(c) Nothing in this section authorizes the inspection or copying of any writing or thing that is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made confidential or protected as an attorney's work product.

Comment. Section 645.230 supersedes clause (2) of the first paragraph of, subdivisions (a)-(f) of, and the second and third paragraphs of, former Section 11507.6. See also Section 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" defined).

Subdivision (b)(1) generalizes specific provisions of former law that allowed discovery of both (1) a statement of a person, other than the person to which the agency action is directed, named in the notice of commencement of proceeding, when it is alleged that an act or omission as to the person is the basis for the adjudicative proceeding, and (2) a statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by a party to another party or person. This generalization is for drafting convenience and is not intended to repeal any authority for discovery that existed under former law; that authority is continued in the new provision.

Subdivision (b)(3) does not continue the provision in former Section 11507.6 that, to be discoverable, the writing or thing must be admissible in evidence. This is because all relevant evidence is admissible in administrative proceedings. Section 648.410(b). Although subdivision (b)(3) permits discovery of anything that is relevant, it should be noted that Section 648.420 provides the presiding officer discretion to exclude evidence.

For the time within which a party must respond to a discovery request, see Section 645.210 (time and manner of discovery).

Article 3. Compelling Discovery

§ 645.310. Motion to compel discovery

645.310. (a) If a party fails to respond to a request for discovery within the time provided in Section 645.210, the party making the request may make a motion to the presiding officer to compel discovery.

(b) A motion to compel discovery shall be made and notice of motion served on the party within 15 days after expiration of the time provided in Section 645.210, or if the party evidences refusal to respond before expiration of the time provided in Section 645.210, within 15 days after the evidence of refusal.

(c) The motion shall state facts showing the party's failure or refusal to comply with the request for discovery, a description of the matter sought to be discovered, the reason the matter is discoverable under this chapter, that a reasonable and good faith attempt to contact the party for an informal resolution of the issue has been made, and the ground of the party's refusal so far as known to party making the request.

Comment. Section 645.310 supersedes subdivision (a) and a portion of subdivision (b) of former Section 11507.7. Under this article proceedings to compel discovery are before the presiding officer rather than the superior court.

§ 645.320. Lodging matters with presiding officer

645.320. Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of the opposing party and the opposing party asserts that the matter is not discoverable or is privileged against disclosure under this chapter, the presiding officer may order lodged with it matters provided in, and examine the matters in accordance with the provisions of, subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code.

Comment. Section 645.320 supersedes former Section 11507.7(e). Under this article proceedings to compel discovery are before the presiding officer rather than the superior court.

§ 645.330. Hearing

645.330. (a) The hearing on the motion to compel discovery shall be within 15 days after the motion is made, or a later time that the presiding officer may on its own motion for good cause determine. The party against which the motion is made may file an opposition to the motion before or at the time of the hearing.

(b) The presiding officer shall decide the case on the matters examined by the presiding officer in camera, the papers filed by the parties, and oral argument and additional evidence that the presiding officer allows.

(c) The presiding officer shall consider the necessity and reasons for the discovery, the diligence or lack of diligence of the party requesting discovery, whether the granting of the motion will delay the commencement of the hearing on the date set, and the possible prejudice to any party.

Comment. Section 645.330 supersedes a portion of subdivision (b) and subdivision (f) of former Section 11507.7. Under this article proceedings to compel discovery are before the presiding officer rather than the superior court.

§ 645.340. Order compelling discovery

645.340. (a) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the presiding officer shall no later than 15 days after the hearing make its order denying or granting the motion.

(b) The order of the presiding officer shall be in writing setting forth the matters the party requesting discovery is entitled to discover under this chapter.

(c) The presiding officer shall serve the order on the parties. Where the order grants the motion in whole or in part, the order does not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served on the party. Where the order denies relief to the party requesting discovery, the order is effective on the date it is served on the party.

Comment. Section 645.340 supersedes former Section 11507.7(g). Under this article proceedings to compel discovery are before the presiding officer rather than the superior court.

An order of the presiding officer compelling discovery is enforceable by certification to the superior court of facts to justify the contempt sanction. Sections 648.510-648.520. A court judgment of contempt is not appealable. Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1222, 904.1(a). The presiding officer may also impose monetary sanctions for bad faith tactics, which is reviewable in the same manner as the decision in the proceeding. Section 648.530.

Article 4. Subpoenas

§ 645.410. Subpoena authority

645.410. (a) Subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum may be issued under this article for attendance at the hearing and for production of documents at any reasonable time and place or at the hearing.

(b) The custodian of documents that are the subject of a subpoena duces tecum may satisfy the subpoena by delivery of the documents or a copy of the documents, or by making the documents available for inspection or copying, together with an affidavit of authenticity.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 645.410 supersedes a portion of former Section 11510. This article gives subpoena power to all adjudicating agencies and attorneys for parties. See Section 645.420 (issuance of subpoena). The Coastal Commission previously lacked statutory subpoena power. This section also broadens former law to allow a subpoena duces tecum to provide documents at any reasonable time and place rather than only at the hearing.

Subdivision (b) provides an alternative means of satisfying a subpoena duces tecum without the custodian's appearance. This is analogous to the procedure available in court proceedings. See Code Civ. Proc. § 2020. A custodian of subpoenaed documents who fails to comply with the subpoena may be compelled to appear and produce the documents. See Section 648.510 (misconduct in proceeding).

This article incorporates privacy protections from civil practice. Section 645.420(a).

1 **§ 645.420. Issuance of subpoena**

2 645.420. (a) Subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum may be issued by the
3 agency, presiding officer, or attorney of record for a party, in accordance with
4 Sections 1985 to 1985.4, inclusive, of the Code of Civil Procedure.

5 (b) The process extends to all parts of the state and shall be served in
6 accordance with Sections 1987 and 1988 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

7 (c) No witness is obliged to attend unless the witness is a resident of the state at
8 the time of service.

9 **Comment.** Section 645.420 restates a portion of former Section 11510, and expands it to
10 include issuance by an attorney and to incorporate civil practice privacy protections. See
11 Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1985-1985.4. See also *Sehlmeyer v. Department of Gen. Serv.*, 21 Cal.
12 Rptr. 2d 840 (1993). For enforcement of a subpoena, see Section 648.510.

13 Subdivision (a) requires a subpoena or subpoena duces tecum to be issued in accordance
14 with Sections 1985-1985.4 of the Code of Civil Procedure. For a subpoena duces tecum, this
15 includes the requirement of an affidavit showing good cause for production of the matters
16 and things described in the subpoena. Code Civ. Proc. § 1985.

17 **§ 645.430. Motion to quash**

18 645.430. (a) An objection to the terms of a subpoena or a subpoena duces
19 tecum, including a motion to quash, may be reasonably made by a party.

20 (b) The objection shall be resolved by the presiding officer on terms and
21 conditions that the presiding officer declares. The presiding officer may make
22 another order that is appropriate to protect the parties or the witness from
23 unreasonable or oppressive demands including violations of the right to privacy.

24 (c) A subpoena or a subpoena duces tecum issued by the agency on its own
25 motion may be quashed by the agency.

26 **Comment.** Section 645.430 addresses matters not previously covered by statute but covered
27 by regulation in some agencies. See, e.g., Cal. Code Regs. tit. 20, § 61 (Public Utilities
28 Commission).

29 **§ 645.440. Witness fees**

30 645.440. A witness appearing pursuant to a subpoena or a subpoena duces
31 tecum, other than a party, shall receive for the appearance the following mileage
32 and fees, to be paid by the party on whose motion the witness is subpoenaed:

33 (a) The same mileage allowed by law to a witness in a civil case.

34 (b) The same fees allowed by law to a witness in a civil case. This subdivision
35 does not apply to an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision of
36 the state.

37 **Comment.** Section 645.440 restates a portion of former Section 11510. Its coverage is
38 extended to a subpoena duces tecum and is conformed to the mileage and fees applicable in
39 civil cases. See Sections 68093-68098 (mileage and fees in civil cases).

CHAPTER 6. PREHEARING AND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

Article 1. Prehearing Conference

§ 646.110. Conduct of prehearing conference

646.110. (a) On motion of a party or by order of the presiding officer, the presiding officer or a different presiding officer designated by the agency head may conduct a prehearing conference.

(b) The presiding officer shall set the time and place for the prehearing conference, and the agency shall give reasonable written notice to all parties. The notice shall inform the parties that at the prehearing conference the proceeding may be converted into an informal hearing for disposition of the matter.

(c) The presiding officer may conduct all or part of the prehearing conference by telephone, television, or other electronic means if each participant in the conference has an opportunity to participate in and to hear the entire proceeding while it is taking place.

(d) At the prehearing conference the proceeding may be converted into either of the following:

(1) An informal hearing for disposition of the matter as provided in this division. The notice of the informal hearing shall state the date of the hearing.

(2) A proceeding for dispute resolution provided in Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 647.010).

Comment. Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 646.110 supersede former Section 11511.5(a). See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

Subdivision (c) is a procedural innovation drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-205(a) that allows the presiding officer to conduct all or part of the prehearing conference by telephone, television, or other electronic means, such as a conference telephone call. While subdivision (c) permits the conduct of proceedings by telephone, television, or other electronic means, the presiding officer may of course conduct the proceedings in the physical presence of all participants.

Subdivision (d) is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-204(3)(vii), expanded to include alternative dispute resolution.

§ 646.120. Subject of prehearing conference

646.120. A prehearing conference may deal with one or more of the following matters:

- (a) Exploration of settlement possibilities.
- (b) Objections to use of informal hearing procedure.
- (c) Preparation of stipulations.
- (d) Clarification of issues.
- (e) Rulings on identity and limitation of the number of witnesses.
- (f) Objections to proffers of evidence.
- (g) Order of presentation of evidence and cross-examination.
- (h) Rulings regarding issuance of subpoenas and protective orders.

(i) Schedules for the submission of written briefs and schedules for the commencement and conduct of the hearing.

(j) Exchange of witness lists and of exhibits or documents to be offered in evidence at the hearing.

(k) Motions for intervention.

(l) Exploration of the possibility of using dispute resolution provided in Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 647.010).

(m) Any other matters that promote the orderly and prompt conduct of the hearing.

Comment. Section 646.120 supersedes former Section 11511.5(b).

Subdivision (j) is new. If a party has not availed itself of discovery within the time periods provided by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 645.110), it should not be permitted to use the prehearing conference as a substitute for statutory discovery. The prehearing conference is limited to an exchange of witness lists and of exhibits or documents to be offered in evidence at the hearing.

Subdivision (k) implements Section 644.110 (intervention).

§ 646.130. Prehearing order

646.130. The presiding officer shall issue a prehearing order incorporating the matters determined at the prehearing conference. The presiding officer may direct one or more of the parties to prepare the prehearing order.

Comment. Section 646.130 supersedes former Section 11511.5(c).

Article 2. Settlement Conference

§ 646.210. Settlement

646.210. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), the parties to an adjudicative proceeding may settle the matter on any terms the parties determine are appropriate. This subdivision applies:

(1) After issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding in an adjudicative proceeding to determine whether an occupational license should be revoked, suspended, limited, or conditioned.

(2) Before or after issuance of a notice of commencement of proceeding in a case other than a case described in paragraph (1).

(b) The terms of a settlement may not be contrary to statute or regulation, except that the settlement may include sanctions the agency would otherwise lack power to impose.

(c) This section is subject to any necessary agency approval. An agency head may delegate the power to approve a settlement.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 646.210 codifies the rule in *Rich Vision Centers, Inc. v. Board of Medical Examiners*, 144 Cal. App. 3d 110, 192 Cal. Rptr. 455 (1983). It also makes clear that an agency can settle a case without filing a notice of commencement of proceeding, except in a licensing disciplinary case. See also Section 610.430 ("occupational license" defined).

This provision is subject to a specific statute to the contrary governing the matter. See, e.g., Gov't Code § 18681 (authority of State Personnel Board to approve settlements), Lab. Code

1 § 5001 (workers' compensation settlement must be approved by board or workers'
2 compensation judge).

3 **§ 646.220. Mandatory settlement conference**

4 646.220. (a) The presiding officer may order the parties to attend and
5 participate in a settlement conference.

6 (b) If the adjudicative proceeding is required by statute to be conducted by an
7 administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings, the
8 presiding officer at the settlement conference shall be different from the presiding
9 officer at the hearing. In other cases, the presiding officer at the settlement
10 conference may, but need not, be different from the presiding officer at the
11 hearing.

12 (c) The presiding officer shall set the time and place for the settlement
13 conference, and the agency shall give reasonable written notice to all parties.

14 (d) The presiding officer may conduct all or part of the settlement conference by
15 telephone, television, or other electronic means if each participant in the
16 conference has an opportunity to participate in and to hear the entire proceeding
17 while it is taking place.

18 **Comment.** Under Section 646.220 a settlement conference may, but need not, be separate
19 from the prehearing conference (at which exploration of settlement issues may occur); the
20 conduct of the settlement conference parallels that of the prehearing conference. See Sections
21 646.110, 646.120 & Comments (prehearing conference).

22 Attendance and participation in the settlement conference is mandatory.

23 An agency may, but is not required to, put in place a system of settlement judges, whereby
24 a judge of comparable status to the presiding officer who will hear the case is assigned to help
25 mediate a settlement. Separate settlement judges are required in settlement conferences before
26 the Office of Administrative Hearings.

27 See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

28 **§ 646.230. Confidentiality of settlement communications**

29 646.230. Notwithstanding any other statute, no evidence of an offer of
30 compromise or settlement made in settlement negotiations under this article is
31 admissible in an adjudicative proceeding or civil action, whether as affirmative
32 evidence, by way of impeachment, or for any other purpose.

33 **Comment.** Section 646.230 applies notwithstanding Section 648.410 (technical rules of
34 evidence inapplicable). It is drawn from Evidence Code Section 1152 (compromise and
35 settlement offers). See also Section 647.040 & Comment (confidentiality of communications
36 in alternative dispute resolution). The parties are, of course, free to make a stipulation
37 concerning confidentiality of offers of compromise or settlement that goes beyond or
38 otherwise varies the protection of this section.

39 **CHAPTER 7. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

40 **§ 647.010. Application of chapter**

41 647.010. (a) This chapter is subject to a statute that requires mediation or
42 arbitration in an adjudicative proceeding.

(b) By regulation an agency may make this chapter inapplicable.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 647.010 recognizes that some statutes require alternative dispute resolution techniques.

If there is no statute requiring the agency to use mediation or arbitration, this chapter applies unless the agency makes it inapplicable by regulation under subdivision (b). Subdivision (b) only permits an agency to make this chapter inapplicable, not to modify it. But, under Section 647.030, an agency that does not make this chapter inapplicable may modify model regulations promulgated by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

§ 647.020. ADR authorized

647.020. (a) An agency may, with the consent of all the parties, refer a dispute that is the subject of an adjudicative proceeding for resolution by any of the following means:

(a) Mediation by a neutral mediator.

(b) Binding arbitration by a neutral arbitrator. An award in a binding arbitration is subject to judicial review in the manner provided in Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 1285) of Title 9 of Part 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(c) Nonbinding arbitration by a neutral arbitrator. The arbitrator's decision in a nonbinding arbitration is final unless within 30 days after the arbitrator delivers the award to the agency head a party requests the agency for a de novo adjudicative proceeding. If the decision in the de novo proceeding is not more favorable to the party electing the de novo proceeding, the party shall pay the costs and fees specified in Section 1141.21 of the Code of Civil Procedure (judicial arbitration) insofar as applicable in the adjudicative proceeding.

Comment. Section 647.020 is new. Under subdivision (a), the mediator may use any mediation technique.

Subdivision (c) parallels the procedure applicable in judicial arbitration. See Code Civ. Proc. §§ 1141.20-1141.21. The costs and fees specified in Section 1141.21 for a civil proceeding may not all be applicable in an adjudicative proceeding, but subdivision (c) requires such costs and fees to be assessed to the extent they are applicable.

§ 647.030. Regulations governing ADR

647.030. (a) The Office of Administrative Hearings shall adopt and promulgate model regulations for dispute resolution under this chapter. The model regulations govern dispute resolution by an agency under this chapter, unless by regulation the agency modifies the model regulations or makes the model regulations inapplicable.

(b) The model regulations shall include provisions for selection and compensation of a mediator or arbitrator, qualifications of a mediator or arbitrator, and confidentiality of the mediation or arbitration proceeding.

Comment. Section 647.030 does not require each agency to adopt regulations. The model regulations developed by the Office of Administrative Hearings will automatically govern mediation or arbitration for an agency, unless the agency provides otherwise. The agency may choose to preclude mediation or arbitration altogether. Section 647.010 (application of chapter).

The Office of Administrative Hearings could maintain a roster of neutral mediators and arbitrators who are available for dispute settlement in all administrative agencies.

1 **§ 647.040. Confidentiality and admissibility of ADR communications**

2 647.040. Notwithstanding any other statute, a communication made in dispute
3 resolution under this chapter is protected to the following extent:

4 (a) Anything said, any admission made, and any document prepared in the
5 course of or pursuant to mediation under this division is a confidential
6 communication, and a party to the mediation has a privilege to refuse to disclose
7 and to prevent another from disclosing the communication, whether in an
8 adjudicative proceeding, civil action, or otherwise. This subdivision does not limit
9 the admissibility of evidence if all parties to the proceedings consent.

10 (b) No reference to nonbinding arbitration proceedings or an award under this
11 division or the evidence produced or any other aspect of the arbitration may be
12 made in an adjudicative proceeding or civil action, whether as affirmative
13 evidence, by way of impeachment, or for any other purpose.

14 (c) No presiding officer, arbitrator, or mediator is competent to testify in a
15 subsequent administrative or civil proceeding as to a statement, conduct, decision,
16 or order occurring at or in conjunction with the dispute resolution.

17 **Comment.** Section 647.040 applies notwithstanding Section 648.410 (technical rules of
18 evidence inapplicable).

19 Subdivision (a) is analogous to Evidence Code Section 1152.5(a)-(b) (mediation).
20 Subdivision (b) is drawn from Code of Civil Procedure Section 1141.25 (arbitration) and
21 California Rules of Court 1616(c) (arbitration). Subdivision (c) is drawn from Evidence Code
22 Section 703.5.

23 **CHAPTER 8. CONDUCT OF HEARING**

24 **Article 1. General Provisions**

25 **§ 648.110. Presiding officer controls conduct of hearing**

26 648.110. The presiding officer shall exercise all powers relating to the conduct
27 of the hearing.

28 **Comment.** Section 648.110 supplements Section 643.110 (OAH administrative law judge
29 as presiding officer). This section gives the presiding officer broad discretion over the
30 conduct of the hearing, including regulating the order of proof and personally questioning
31 witnesses. See California Administrative Hearing Practice § 3.20, at 168, §3.48, 193 (Cal.
32 Cont. Ed. Bar 1984). See also Section 648.420 (discretion of presiding officer to exclude
33 evidence).

34 **§ 648.120. Consolidation and severance**

35 648.120. (a) When proceedings that involve a common question of law or fact
36 are pending, the agency or presiding officer on its own motion or on motion of a
37 party may order a joint hearing of any or all the matters at issue in the
38 proceedings. The agency or presiding officer may order all the proceedings
39 consolidated and may make orders concerning the procedure that may tend to
40 avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

(b) The agency or presiding officer on its own motion or on motion of a party, in furtherance of convenience or to avoid prejudice or when separate hearings will be conducive to expedition and economy, may order a separate hearing of any issue, including an issue raised in the response, or of any number of issues.

(c) If the agency and presiding officer make conflicting orders under this section, the agency's order controls.

Comment. Section 648.120 is drawn from Code of Civil Procedure Section 1048. Subdivision (a) is sufficiently broad to enable related cases brought before several agencies to be consolidated in a single proceeding. See also Section 13 (singular includes plural).

§ 648.130. Default

648.130. (a) Failure of the person to which the agency action is directed to serve a response or to appear at the hearing is a default.

(b) If the person to which the agency action is directed defaults:

(1) The default is a waiver of the person's right to a hearing.

(2) The agency may take action based on the person's express admissions or on other evidence. Affidavits may be used as evidence without notice to the person to which the agency action is directed.

(3) Where the burden of proof is on the person to establish that the person is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.

(c) Notwithstanding the default of the person to which the agency action is directed, the agency or the presiding officer in its discretion may, before a proposed decision is issued, grant a hearing on reasonable notice to the parties. The presiding officer may order the defaulting party, or the party's attorney or other authorized representative, or both, to pay reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by another party as a result of the defaulting party's failure to appear at the hearing.

(d) Within 7 days after service on the person to which the agency action is directed of a decision based on the person's default, the person may serve a written motion requesting that the decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on. The agency in its discretion may vacate the decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, including a hearing on the remedy based on a showing by way of mitigation. As used in this subdivision, good cause includes but is not limited to:

(1) Failure of the person to receive notice sent pursuant to Section 613.220. If the person is required by statute or regulation to maintain an address with the agency and failure to receive the notice is because the person did not comply with that requirement, the agency may consider that fact in determining whether there has been a showing of good cause under this subdivision.

(2) Mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect.

Comment. Subdivisions (a)-(c) of Section 648.130 are drawn from former Section 11506(b) and (d), with the addition of the provision enabling the presiding officer to waive a

1 default and impose costs, and requiring reasonable notice, and from former Section 11520.
2 See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

3 Subdivision (d) is drawn in part from procedures used by the Unemployment Insurance
4 Appeals Board.

5 **§ 648.140. Open hearings**

6 648.140. (a) The hearing is open to public observation except to the extent:

7 (1) A closed hearing is required in whole or in part by statute or by federal or
8 state constitution.

9 (2) The presiding officer determines it is necessary to close the hearing in whole
10 or in part to ensure a fair hearing in the circumstances of the particular case.

11 (b) To the extent a hearing is conducted by telephone, television, or other
12 electronic means, subdivision (a) is satisfied if members of the public have an
13 opportunity (1) at reasonable times, to hear or inspect the agency's record, and to
14 inspect any transcript obtained by the agency, and (2) to be physically present at
15 the place where the presiding officer is conducting the hearing.

16 **Comment.** Section 648.140 supplements the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act,
17 Government Code §§ 11120-11132. Closure of a hearing should be done only to the extent
18 necessary under this section, taking into account the substantial public interest in open
19 proceedings. It should be noted that under the Open Meeting Law deliberations on a decision
20 to be reached based on evidence introduced in an adjudicative proceeding may be made in
21 closed session. Section 11126(d). See also Section 610.280 ("agency member" defined).
22 And under the Open Meeting Law, a settlement proposal may be considered by the agency in
23 closed session if it sustains its substantial burden of showing the prejudice to be suffered from
24 conducting an open meeting. Section 11126(d), (q).

25 Subdivision (a) codifies existing practice. See discussion in 1 G. Ogden, California Public
26 Agency Practice § 37.03 (1991). Statutory protection of trade secrets and other confidential
27 or privileged information is covered by subdivision (a)(1). See, e.g., Evid. Code §§ 1060-
28 1063. Discretion of the presiding officer under subdivision (a)(2) could include such matters
29 as protection of a minor or developmentally disabled witness. Cf. Section 648.350 (protection
30 of minor or developmentally disabled witness).

31 Subdivision (b) is drawn in part from 1981 Model State APA § 4-211(6).

32 **§ 648.150. Hearing by electronic means**

33 648.150. (a) The presiding officer may conduct all or part of the hearing by
34 telephone, television, or other electronic means if each participant in the hearing
35 has an opportunity to participate in and to hear the entire proceeding while it is
36 taking place and to observe exhibits.

37 (b) The presiding officer may not conduct all or part of a hearing by telephone,
38 television, or other electronic means if a party shows that a determination in the
39 proceeding will be based substantially on the credibility of a witness and that a
40 hearing by telephone, television, or other electronic means will impair a proper
41 determination of credibility.

42 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 648.150 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-
43 211(4), allowing the presiding officer to conduct all or part of the hearing by telephone,
44 television, or other electronic means, such as a conference telephone call. While subdivision
45 (a) permits the conduct of proceedings by telephone, television, or other electronic means, the

presiding officer may of course conduct the proceeding in the physical presence of all participants.

§ 648.160. Report of proceedings

648.160. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), the proceedings at the hearing shall be reported by a stenographic reporter or electronically, in the discretion of the agency.

(b) Notwithstanding an agency's election of electronic reporting of proceedings:

(1) The presiding officer may, if the presiding officer determines electronic reporting will not provide an adequate record of the proceedings, require stenographic reporting.

(2) A party may at the party's own expense require stenographic recording.

Comment. Section 648.160 supersedes former Section 11512(d).

Article 2. Language Assistance

§ 648.210. "Language assistance"

648.210. As used in this article, "language assistance" means oral interpretation or written translation into English of a language other than English or of English into another language for a party or witness who cannot speak or understand English or who can do so only with difficulty.

Comment. Section 648.210 supersedes former Section 11500(g). It extends this article to language translation for witnesses.

§ 648.220. Interpretation for hearing-impaired person

648.220. Nothing in this article limits the application or effect of Section 754 of the Evidence Code to interpretation for a deaf or hard-of-hearing party or witness in an adjudicative proceeding.

Comment. Section 648.220 makes clear that the language assistance provisions of this article are not intended to limit the application to adjudicative proceedings of the provisions of Evidence Code Section 754.

§ 648.230. Application of article

(a) The following state agencies shall provide language assistance in adjudicative proceedings to the extent provided in this article:

Agricultural Labor Relations Board

State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse

Athletic Commission

California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board

Board of Prison Terms

Board of Cosmetology

State Department of Developmental Services

Public Employment Relations Board

1 Franchise Tax Board
 2 State Department of Health Services
 3 Department of Housing and Community Development
 4 Department of Industrial Relations
 5 State Department of Mental Health
 6 Department of Motor Vehicles
 7 Notary Public Section, Office of the Secretary of State
 8 Public Utilities Commission
 9 Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development
 10 State Department of Social Services
 11 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board
 12 Department of the Youth Authority
 13 Youthful Offender Parole Board
 14 Bureau of Employment Agencies
 15 Board of Barber Examiners
 16 Department of Insurance
 17 State Personnel Board

18 (b) Nothing in this section prevents an agency other than an agency listed in
 19 subdivision (a) from electing to adopt any of the procedures in this article,
 20 provided that any selection of an interpreter is subject to Section 648.250.

21 (c) Nothing in this section prohibits an agency from providing an interpreter
 22 during an informal factfinding or informal investigatory hearing.

23 (d) This article applies to an agency listed in subdivision (a) notwithstanding a
 24 general exemption of some or all of an agency's hearings from this division.

25 **Comment.** Subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 648.230 restate former Section 11501.5.
 26 Subdivision (c) restates a portion of former Section 11500(f). Subdivision (d) is added to
 27 make clear that exemption of an agency's hearings from the other provisions of the
 28 administrative procedure act does not exempt the hearings from the requirements of this
 29 article if the agency is listed in this section.

30 **§ 648.240. Provision for interpreter**

31 648.240. (a) The hearing, or any medical examination conducted for the
 32 purpose of determining compensation or monetary award, shall be conducted in
 33 the English language.

34 (b) If a party or the party's witness does not proficiently speak or understand
 35 the English language and before commencement of the hearing or medical
 36 examination requests language assistance, an agency subject to the language
 37 assistance requirement of this article shall provide the party or witness an
 38 interpreter.

39 (c) Except as provided in Section 648.275:

40 (1) An interpreter used in a hearing shall be certified pursuant to Section
 41 648.250.

42 (2) An interpreter used in a medical examination shall be certified pursuant to
 43 Section 648.255.

Comment. Section 648.240 continues the first three sentences of former Section 11513(d) and extends it to witnesses as well as parties. See Section 648.210 ("language assistance" defined).

§ 648.245. Cost of interpreter

648.245. The cost of providing an interpreter under this article shall be paid by the agency having jurisdiction over the matter if the presiding officer so directs, otherwise the party at whose request the interpreter is provided.

(b) The presiding officer's decision to direct payment shall be based upon an equitable consideration of all the circumstances in each case, such as the ability of the party in need of the interpreter to pay.

(c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, in a hearing before the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board or the Division of Workers' Compensation relating to worker's compensation claims, the payment of the costs of providing an interpreter shall be governed by the rules and regulations promulgated by the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board or the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers' Compensation, as appropriate.

Comment. Section 648.245 continues the fourth sentence and the second paragraph of former Section 11513(d) without substantive change.

§ 648.250. Certification of hearing interpreters

648.250. (a) The State Personnel Board shall establish, maintain, administer, and publish annually an updated list of certified administrative hearing interpreters it has determined meet the minimum standards in interpreting skills and linguistic abilities in languages designated pursuant to Section 648.260. Any interpreter so listed may be examined by each employing agency to determine the interpreter's knowledge of the employing agency's technical program terminology and procedures.

(b) Court interpreters certified pursuant to Section 68562, and interpreters listed on the State Personnel Board's recommended lists of court and administrative hearing interpreters before July 1, 1993, shall be deemed certified for purposes of this section.

Comment. Section 648.250 continues former Section 11513(e) without substantive change.

§ 648.255. Certification of medical examination interpreters

648.255. (a) The State Personnel Board shall establish, maintain, administer, and publish annually, an updated list of certified medical examination interpreters it has determined meet the minimum standards in interpreting skills and linguistic abilities in languages designated pursuant to Section 648.260.

(b) Court interpreters certified pursuant to Section 68562 and administrative hearing interpreters certified pursuant to Section 648.250 shall be deemed certified for purposes of this section.

Comment. Section 648.255 continues former Section 11513(f) without substantive change.

1 **§ 648.260. Designation of languages for certification**

2 648.260. (a) The State Personnel Board shall designate the languages for which
3 certification shall be established under Sections 648.250 and 648.255. The
4 languages designated shall include, but not be limited to, Spanish, Tagalog,
5 Arabic, Cantonese, Japanese, Korean, Portuguese, and Vietnamese until the State
6 Personnel Board finds that there is an insufficient need for interpreting assistance
7 in these languages.

8 (b) The language designations shall be based on the following:

9 (1) The language needs of non-English-speaking persons appearing before the
10 administrative agencies, as determined by consultation with the agencies.

11 (2) The cost of developing a language examination.

12 (3) The availability of experts needed to develop a language examination.

13 (4) Other information the board deems relevant.

14 **Comment.** Section 648.260 continues former Section 11513(g) without substantive
15 change.

16 **§ 648.265. Certification fees**

17 648.265. (a) The State Personnel Board shall establish and charge fees for
18 applications to take interpreter examinations and for renewal of certifications. The
19 purpose of these fees is to cover the annual projected costs of carrying out this
20 article. The fees may be adjusted each fiscal year by a percent that is equal to or
21 less than the percent change in the California Necessities Index prepared by the
22 Commission on State Finance.

23 (b) Each certified administrative hearing interpreter and each certified medical
24 examination interpreter shall pay a fee, due on July 1 of each year, for the renewal
25 of the certification. Court interpreters certified under Section 68562 shall not pay
26 any fees required by this section.

27 (c) If the amount of money collected in fees is not sufficient to cover the costs
28 of carrying out this article, the board shall charge and be reimbursed a pro rata
29 share of the additional costs by the state agencies that conduct administrative
30 hearings.

31 **Comment.** Section 648.265 continues former Section 11513(h) and (i) without substantive
32 change.

33 **§ 648.270. Decertification**

34 648.270. The State Personnel Board may remove the name of a person from the
35 list of certified interpreters if any of the following conditions occurs:

36 (a) The person is deceased.

37 (b) The person notifies the board that the person is unavailable for work.

38 (c) The person does not submit a renewal fee as required by Section 648.265.

39 **Comment.** Section 648.270 continues former Section 11513(j) without substantive change.

§ 648.275. Unavailability of certified interpreter

648.275. (a) In the event an interpreter certified pursuant to Section 648.250 cannot be present at the hearing, the hearing agency shall have discretionary authority to provisionally qualify and utilize another interpreter.

(b) In the event an interpreter certified pursuant to Section 648.255 cannot be present at the medical examination, the physician provisionally may utilize another interpreter if that fact is noted in the record of the medical evaluation.

Comment. Section 648.275 continues former Section 11513(k) without substantive change.

§ 648.280. Duty to advise party of right to interpreter

648.280. Every agency subject to the language assistance requirement of this article shall advise each party of the right to an interpreter at the same time that each party is advised of the hearing date or medical examination. Each party in need of an interpreter shall also be encouraged to give timely notice to the agency conducting the hearing or medical examination so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Comment. Section 648.280 continues former Section 11513(l) without substantive change.

§ 648.285. Confidentiality and impartiality of interpreter

648.285. (a) The rules of confidentiality of the agency, if any, that apply in an adjudicative proceeding shall apply to any interpreter in the hearing, whether or not the rules so state.

(b) The interpreter shall not have had any involvement in the issues of the case before the hearing.

Comment. Section 648.285 continues former Section 11513(m) and (n) without substantive change.

Article 3. Testimony and Witnesses

§ 648.310. Burden of proof

648.310. (a) The proponent of a matter has both the burden of producing evidence and the burden of proof on the matter. Except as provided in subdivision (b), the burden of proof is a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) In an adjudicative proceeding to determine whether an occupational license should be revoked or suspended, the burden of proof is clear and convincing proof.

Comment. Section 648.310 generally codifies case law concerning the burden of proof in adjudicative proceedings. See discussion in 1 G. Ogden, California Public Agency Practice § 39 (1991). "Occupational license" is defined in Section 610.430.

This section is also subject to specific statutes to the contrary. See Section 612.140 (contrary express statute controls).

If a party defaults in a case where the party has the burden of proof, the agency may act without taking evidence. Section 648.130 (default).

§ 648.320. Presentation of testimony

648.320. (a) Each party has the right to do all of the following:

(1) Call and examine witnesses.

(2) Introduce exhibits and examine exhibits introduced by the opposing party.

(3) Cross-examine and confront opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination.

(4) Impeach a witness regardless of which party first called the witness to testify.

(5) Rebut the evidence against the party.

(b) The person to which the agency action is directed may be called and examined as if under cross-examination by an adverse party if the person does not testify in the person's own behalf.

Comment. Section 648.320 supersedes former Sections 11500(f)(2) and 11513(b).

§ 648.330. Oral and written testimony

648.330. (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.

(b) Any part of the evidence may be received in written form if to do so will expedite the hearing without claim of prejudice to the interests of a party.

(c) Documentary evidence may be received in the form of a copy or excerpt. On request, parties shall be given an opportunity to compare the copy with the original if available, and to compare an excerpt with the complete text if available.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 648.330 restates former Sections 11500(f)(1) and 11513(a).

Subdivision (b) is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-212(d).

Subdivision (c) is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-212(e). It requires that parties be given an opportunity to compare a copy with the original and an excerpt with the complete text, "if available." The original or complete text is "available" if it is procurable by process, such as a subpoena duces tecum, or by any other available means. If the original is not available, the copy or excerpt may still be received in evidence, but its probative effect is likely to be weaker than if the original or complete text were available.

For general provisions on oaths, affirmations, and certification of official acts, see Section 613.120.

§ 648.340. Affidavits

648.340. (a) At any time 15 or more days before a hearing or a continued hearing, a party may serve on the opposing party a copy of an affidavit the party proposes to introduce in evidence, together with a notice substantially in the following form:

The accompanying affidavit of [here insert name of affiant] will be introduced as evidence at the hearing in [here insert title of proceeding]. [Here insert name of affiant] will not be called to testify orally and you will not be entitled to question the affiant unless you notify [here insert name of proponent or proponent's attorney or authorized representative] at [here insert address] that you wish to cross-examine the affiant.

To be effective your request must be sent or delivered to [here insert name of proponent or proponent's attorney or authorized representative]

on or before [here insert a date 10 days after the date of sending or delivery of the affidavit to the opposing party].

(b) Unless the opposing party, within ten days after service, serves on the proponent a request to cross-examine the affiant, the opposing party's right to cross-examine the affiant is waived and the affidavit, if introduced in evidence, shall be given the same effect as if the affiant had testified orally.

(c) If an opportunity to cross-examine an affiant is not given after request to cross-examine is made as provided in this section, the affidavit may be introduced in evidence, but shall be given only the same effect as other hearsay evidence.

Comment. Section 648.340 restates former Section 11514, except the notice must be served at least 15, rather than 10, days before the hearing, and the opposing party has 10, rather than seven, days to request cross-examination. See also Section 613.230 (extension of time). "Affidavit" includes declaration under penalty of perjury. Code of Civil Procedure Section 2015.5 (affidavit includes declaration under penalty of perjury "under any law of this state").

§ 648.350. Protection of minor or developmentally disabled witness

648.350. Notwithstanding any other provision of this part, the presiding officer may conduct the hearing, including the manner of examining witnesses and closing the hearing, in a way that is appropriate to protect a minor witness or a witness with a developmental disability as defined in Section 4512 of the Welfare and Institutions Code from intimidation or other harm, taking into account the rights of all persons.

Comment. Section 648.350 codifies and broadens an aspect of *Seering v. Department of Social Serv.*, 194 Cal. App. 3d 298, 239 Cal. Rptr. 422 (1987). See also Section 648.140(a)(2) (discretion of presiding officer to close hearing in appropriate circumstances). It should be noted that the rights of persons to be taken into account includes the right of the parties to observe the proceedings in an appropriate manner.

§ 648.360. Exclusion of witnesses from hearing

648.360. (a) Subject to subdivisions (b) and (c), the presiding officer may exclude from the hearing any witness not at the time under examination so that the witness cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses.

(b) A party to the proceeding cannot be excluded under this section.

(c) If a person other than a natural person is a party to the proceeding, an officer or employee designated by its attorney is entitled to be present.

Comment. Section 648.360 is drawn from Evidence Code Section 777. Subdivision (b) makes clear that a party cannot be excluded under this section; however, a party may be excluded under Section 648.350 (protection of minor or developmentally disabled witness).

§ 648.370. Official notice

648.370. (a) Official notice may be taken of any of the following:

(1) A generally accepted technical or scientific matter within the agency's special field.

(2) A fact that may be judicially noticed by the courts of this state.

(b) Official notice may be taken before or after submission of the case for decision. The matters of which official notice is taken shall be noted in, referred to in, or appended to, the record.

(c) All parties present at the hearing shall be notified at the hearing, or before issuance of the decision or a proposed decision, of the matters of which official notice is taken. A party shall have a reasonable opportunity on request to rebut the officially noticed matters by evidence or by written or oral presentation of authority, the manner of rebuttal to be determined by the agency.

Comment. Section 648.370 supersedes former Section 11515. For matters subject to judicial notice by the courts, see Evid. Code §§ 451-452.

Section 648.370 makes clear that all parties have an opportunity to rebut an officially noticed matter, including the agency that is a party to the adjudicative proceeding. Compare *Harris v. Alcoholic Beverage Cont. App. Bd.*, 62 Cal. 2d 589, 595-97, 43 Cal. Rptr. 633 (1965).

Article 4. Evidence

§ 648.410. Technical rules of evidence inapplicable

648.410. (a) Except as provided in this chapter, the hearing need not be conducted in accordance with technical rules relating to evidence and witnesses.

(b) Any relevant evidence shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of any common law or statutory rule that might make improper the admission of the evidence over objection in a civil action.

Comment. Section 648.410 restates the first two sentences of former Section 11513(c). The intent of Section 648.410 is to make available to the fact finder evidence that might not be admissible under evidentiary limitations of civil or criminal cases. Thus, for example, the Evidence Code rules relating to excludability of evidence about prior convictions should not apply automatically in the administrative setting. Contrast *Coburn v. State Personnel Bd.*, 83 Cal. App. 3d 801, 148 Cal. Rptr. 134 (1978).

§ 648.420. Discretion of presiding officer to exclude evidence

648.420. The presiding officer in its discretion may exclude evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will necessitate undue consumption of time or create substantial danger of confusing the issues.

Comment. Section 648.420 supersedes the last clause of the first paragraph of former Section 11513(c) (exclusion of irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence). It is drawn from Evidence Code Section 352.

§ 648.430. Review of presiding officer evidentiary rulings

648.430. A ruling of the presiding officer admitting or excluding evidence is subject to administrative review in the same manner and to the same extent as the presiding officer's proposed decision in the proceeding.

Comment. Section 648.430 is new. It overrules any contrary implication that might be drawn from former Section 11512(b).

1 **§ 648.440. Privilege**

2 648.440. The rules of privilege are effective to the extent that they are
3 otherwise required by statute to be recognized at the hearing.

4 **Comment.** Section 648.440 restates the first portion of the last sentence of the first
5 paragraph of former Section 11513(c). Under Division 8 (commencing with Section 900) of
6 the Evidence Code, the privileges applicable in some administrative proceedings are at times
7 different from those applicable in civil actions. See also Evid. Code §§ 901, 910.

8 **§ 648.450. Hearsay evidence and the residuum rule**

9 648.450. (a) Hearsay evidence may be used for the purpose of supplementing
10 or explaining other evidence but is not sufficient in itself to support a finding
11 unless it would be admissible over objection in a civil action.

12 (b) On judicial review of the decision in the proceeding, a party may object to a
13 finding supported only by hearsay evidence in violation of subdivision (a),
14 whether or not the objection was previously raised in the adjudicative
15 proceeding.

16 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 648.450 restates the third sentence of former Section
17 11513(c). As used in subdivision (a), "other evidence" refers to non-hearsay evidence.
18 Subdivision (b) provides an exception to the general requirement of exhaustion of
19 administrative remedies on judicial review.

20 **§ 648.460. Admissibility of scientific evidence**

21 648.460. Evidence based on a scientific method of proof is admissible if it
22 would be admissible in a proceeding in state or federal court.

23 **Comment.** Section 648.460 incorporates judicial rules for admissibility of scientific
24 evidence. Under this provision, scientific evidence is admissible if it would be admissible
25 either in state court or in federal court. For a recent state court standard, see *Seering v.*
26 *Department of Social Services*, 194 Cal. App. 3d 298, 239 Cal. Rptr. 422 (1987). For a recent
27 federal court standard, see *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm.*, 113 S. Ct. 2786 (1993).

28 **§ 648.470. Evidence of sexual conduct**

29 648.470. (a) As used in this section "complainant" means a person claiming to
30 have been subjected to conduct that constitutes sexual harassment, sexual
31 assault, or sexual battery.

32 (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter:

33 (1) In any proceeding under subdivision (i) or (j) of Section 12940, or Section
34 19572 or 19702, alleging conduct that constitutes sexual harassment, sexual
35 assault, or sexual battery, evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual
36 conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is not admissible at
37 the hearing unless offered to attack the credibility of the complainant, as provided
38 for under paragraph (2). Reputation or opinion evidence regarding the sexual
39 behavior of the complainant is not admissible for any purpose.

40 (2) Evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual conduct with
41 individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is presumed inadmissible absent an
42 offer of proof establishing its relevance and reliability and that its probative value

is not substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will create substantial danger of undue prejudice or confuse the issue.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 648.470 restates former Section 11513(p). Paragraph (b)(1) restates the second paragraph of former Section 11513(c). Paragraph (b)(2) restates former Section 11513(o). This section applies notwithstanding agency rules to the contrary.

Article 5. Enforcement of Orders and Sanctions

§ 648.510. Misconduct in proceeding

648.510. A person is subject to the contempt sanction for any of the following in a proceeding before an agency under this part:

(a) Disobedience of or resistance to a lawful order.

(b) Refusal to take the oath or affirmation as a witness or thereafter refusal to be examined.

(c) Obstruction or interruption of the due course of the proceeding during a hearing or near the place of the hearing by any of the following:

(1) Disorderly, contemptuous, or insolent behavior toward the presiding officer while conducting the proceeding.

(2) Breach of the peace, boisterous conduct, or violent disturbance.

(3) Other unlawful interference with the process or proceedings of the agency.

(d) Violation of the prohibition of ex parte communications under Article 4 (commencing with Section 643.410) of Chapter 3.

(e) Failure or refusal, without substantial justification, to comply with a deposition order, discovery request, subpoena, or other order of the presiding officer under Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 645.110), or moving, without substantial justification, to compel discovery.

Comment. Section 648.510 restates the substance of a portion of former Section 11525. Subdivision (c) is a clarifying provision drawn from Code of Civil Procedure Section 1209 (contempt of court). Subdivision (d) is new. Subdivision (e) supersedes former Section 11507.7(i).

§ 648.520. Contempt

648.520. (a) The presiding officer or reviewing authority may certify the facts that justify the contempt sanction against a person to the superior court in and for the county where the proceeding is conducted. The court shall thereupon issue an order directing the person to appear before the court at a specified time and place, and then and there to show cause why the person should not be punished for contempt. The order and a copy of the certified statement shall be served on the person. Thereafter the court has jurisdiction of the matter.

(b) The same proceedings shall be had, the same penalties may be imposed, and the person charged may purge the contempt in the same way, as in the case of a person who has committed a contempt in the trial of a civil action before a superior court.

Comment. Section 648.520 restates a portion of former Section 11525, but vests certification authority in the presiding officer or reviewing authority. For monetary sanctions for bad faith tactics, see Section 648.530. For enforcement of discovery orders, see Sections 645.310-645.360.

§ 648.530. Monetary sanctions for bad faith actions or tactics

648.530. (a) The presiding officer may order a party, the party's attorney or other authorized representative, or both, to pay reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by another party as a result of bad faith actions or tactics that are frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay as defined in Section 128.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

(b) The order, or denial of an order, is subject to administrative and judicial review in the same manner as a decision in the proceeding, and is enforceable by writ of execution, by the contempt sanction, or by other proper process.

Comment. Section 648.530 permits monetary sanctions against a party (including the agency) for bad faith actions or tactics. Bad faith actions or tactics could include failure or refusal to comply with a deposition order, discovery request, subpoena, or other order of the presiding officer in discovery, or moving to compel discovery, frivolously or solely intended to cause delay. A person who requests a hearing without legal grounds would not be subject to sanctions under this section unless the request was made in bad faith and frivolous or solely intended to cause unnecessary delay. An order imposing sanctions (or denial of such an order) is reviewable in the same manner as administrative decisions generally.

For authority to seek the contempt sanction, see Section 648.520. For enforcement of discovery orders, see Sections 645.310-645.360.

CHAPTER 9. DECISION

Article 1. Issuance of Decision

§ 649.110. Decision

649.110. (a) If the presiding officer is the agency head, the presiding officer shall issue a decision within 100 days after the case is submitted.

(b) If the presiding officer is not the agency head, the presiding officer shall deliver a proposed decision to the agency head within 30 days after the case is submitted, and make proof of delivery. Failure of the presiding officer to deliver a proposed decision within the time required does not prejudice any rights of the agency in the case.

(c) A proposed decision becomes the decision at the time provided in Section 649.150.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 649.110 restates the second sentence of former Section 11517(d), with the addition of authority for an agency to provide a different decision period in an exempt proceeding. See also 1981 Model State APA § 4-215(a).

The first sentence of subdivision (b) restates the first sentence of former Section 11517(b). The second sentence makes clear that the agency is not accountable for the presiding officer's failure to meet required deadlines. Nothing in subdivision (b) is intended to limit the authority of an agency to use its own internal procedures, including internal review processes, in the development of a proposed decision.

1 A case is submitted for purposes of this section when the hearing record is closed, in the
2 sense that evidence has been taken and briefs submitted.

3 The time limits in this section may be modified by another statute. See Section 612.140
4 (contrary express statute controls).

5 For the form and contents of a decision, see Section 649.120.

6 Either the decision or a proposed decision may be subject to administrative review. Section
7 649.210 (availability and scope of review). See also Section 610.310 ("decision" defined).
8 Errors in a decision may be corrected under Section 649.170 (correction of mistakes in
9 decision). A proposed decision becomes the decision unless it is subjected to administrative
10 review under Article 2 (commencing with Section 649.210).

11 § 649.120. Form and contents of decision

12 649.120. (a) The decision or a proposed decision shall be in writing and shall
13 include a statement of the factual and legal basis for the decision as to each of the
14 principal controverted issues.

15 (b) The statement of the factual basis for the decision or a proposed decision
16 may be in the language of, or by reference to, the pleadings. If the statement is no
17 more than mere repetition or paraphrase of the relevant statute or regulation, the
18 statement shall be accompanied by a concise and explicit statement of the
19 underlying facts of record that support the decision or a proposed decision. If the
20 factual basis for the decision or a proposed decision includes a determination
21 based substantially on the credibility of a witness, the statement shall identify any
22 specific evidence of the observed demeanor, manner, or attitude of the witness
23 that supports the determination.

24 (c) The statement of the factual basis for the decision or a proposed decision
25 shall be based exclusively on the evidence of record in the proceeding and on
26 matters officially noticed in the proceeding. Evidence of record may include
27 supplements to the record that are made after the hearing, provided that all parties
28 are given an opportunity to comment on it. The presiding officer's experience,
29 technical competence, and specialized knowledge may be used in evaluating
30 evidence.

31 (d) Nothing in this section limits the information that may be contained in the
32 decision or a proposed decision, including a summary of evidence relied on.

33 **Comment.** Section 649.120 supersedes the first two sentences of former Sections
34 11500(f)(4) and 11518. Under Section 649.120, the form and contents of a decision or
35 proposed decision are the same. Cf. former Section 11517(b) (proposed decision in form that
36 it may be adopted as decision in case).

37 Subdivision (a) is drawn from the first sentence of 1981 Model State APA § 4-215(c). The
38 decision must be supported by findings that link the evidence in the proceeding to the
39 ultimate decision. *Topanga Ass'n for Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles*, 11 Cal.
40 3d 506, 113 Cal. Rptr. 836 (1974). The requirement that the decision must include a
41 statement of the basis for the decision is particularly significant when an agency develops new
42 policy through the adjudication of specific cases rather than through rulemaking.
43 Articulation of the basis for the agency's decision facilitates administrative and judicial
44 review, helps clarify the effect of any precedential decision, see Article 3 (commencing with
45 Section 649.310), and focuses attention on questions that the agency should address in
46 subsequent rulemaking to supersede the policy that has been developed through adjudicative
47 proceedings. The decision must only explain its actual basis. It need not eliminate other

possible bases that could have been, but were not, relied upon as the basis for the decision. Thus, for example, if the decision imposes terms and conditions, it need not explain why other terms and conditions were not imposed.

Subdivision (a) requires the decision to contain a statement of the "factual . . . basis for the decision," while former Section 11518 required the decision to contain "findings of fact." The new language more accurately reflects case law, and is not a substantive change. See *Topanga Ass'n for a Scenic Community v. County of Los Angeles*, *supra*; *Swars v. Council of Vallejo*, 33 Cal. 2d 867, 872-73, 206 P.2d 355 (1949).

The requirement in subdivision (b) that a mere repetition or paraphrase of the relevant statute or regulation be accompanied by a statement of the underlying facts is drawn from the second sentence of 1981 Model APA § 4-215(c).

The requirement in subdivision (b) that a determination based on credibility be identified is derived from Washington law. See Wash. Rev. Code Ann. §§ 34.05.461(3), 34.05.464(4). A determination of this type is entitled to great weight on judicial review to the extent the statement of decision identifies the observed demeanor, manner, or attitude of the witness that supports the determination. Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 (administrative mandamus). The observed manner of a witness includes observed actions of the witness.

The first sentence of subdivision (c) codifies existing California case law. See, e.g., *Vollstedt v. City of Stockton*, 220 Cal. App. 3d 265, 269 Cal. Rptr. 404 (1990). It is drawn from the first sentence of 1981 Model State APA § 4-215(d). The second sentence codifies existing practice in some agencies. Official notice of some matters may be taken by the presiding officer. See Section 648.370 (official notice). The third sentence is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-215(d).

§ 649.130. Issuance of proposed decision

649.130. (a) Within 30 days after delivery of a proposed decision to the agency head, the agency head shall issue the proposed decision as a public record and serve a copy of the proposed decision on each party.

(b) Issuance and service under this section is not an adoption of a proposed decision by the agency head. Nothing in this section limits the time within which a proposed decision becomes the decision under Section 649.150.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 649.130 restates the second paragraph of former Section 11517(b) and extends it to an exempt hearing, along with the authority of the agency to vary the time allowed for issuance. Service on a party is accomplished by service on the party's attorney or authorized representative if the party has an attorney or authorized representative of record in the proceeding. Section 613.210 (service).

Subdivision (b) makes clear the distinction between the issuance requirement for a proposed decision (this section) and the time within which the agency must act before a proposed decision becomes the decision (Section 649.150). The time within which a proposed decision must be issued does not affect the time the agency has for acting on the proposed decision.

§ 649.140. Adoption of proposed decision

649.140. (a) Within 100 days after delivery of the proposed decision to the agency head, the agency head may summarily do any of the following:

- (1) Adopt the proposed decision in its entirety as the decision.
- (2) Make technical or other minor changes in the proposed decision and adopt it as the decision. Action by the agency head under this paragraph is limited to a

clarifying change or a change of a similar nature that does not affect the factual or legal basis of the proposed decision.

(3) Reduce or otherwise mitigate a proposed remedy and adopt the balance of the proposed decision as the decision.

(4) Change the legal basis of the proposed decision and adopt the proposed decision with that change as the decision. Before acting under this paragraph the agency head shall provide the parties an opportunity to comment on the proposed change in legal basis.

(b) In proceedings under this section the agency head shall consider the proposed decision but need not review the record in the case.

Comment. Section 649.140 is drawn from the second paragraph of former Section 11517(b). The authority in subdivision (a)(2) to adopt "with changes" supplements the general authority of the agency head under Section 649.170 (correction of mistakes and clerical errors in the decision). The authority in subdivision (a)(4) to adopt with change of the legal basis is subject to the proviso that the parties be afforded an opportunity to comment on the proposed change. The agency head may specify the time and manner of comment, e.g. written comment within 10 days.

Mitigation of a proposed remedy under subdivision (a)(3) includes adoption of a different sanction, as well as reduction in amount, so long as the sanction adopted is not of increased severity.

It should be noted that the adoption procedure is available to an agency as an alternative to review procedures under Article 2 (commencing with Section 649.210) (administrative review of proposed decision).

§ 649.150. Time proposed decision becomes the decision

649.150. Unless adopted as the decision under Section 649.140 or reviewed under Article 2 (commencing with Section 649.210), a proposed decision becomes the decision at the earliest of the following times:

(a) If pursuant to Section 649.210 by regulation the agency precludes administrative review, at the time the proposed decision is issued by the presiding officer.

(b) If pursuant to Section 649.210 by regulation the agency limits administrative review, at the time limited in the regulation.

(c) If the agency head in the exercise of discretion denies administrative review, at the time administrative review is denied.

(d) One hundred days after delivery of the proposed decision to the agency head.

Comment. Section 649.150 supersedes the first sentence of subdivision (d) of former Section 11517. See also 1981 Model State APA § 4-220(b). The time within which a proposed decision becomes the decision is not affected by the time within which a copy of the proposed decision must be issued by the agency as a public record. See Section 649.130 & Comment (issuance of proposed decision).

An agency that wishes to reject a proposed decision must do so through the administrative review procedure. Cf. Section 649.240 (decision or remand).

1 **§ 649.160. Service of decision on parties**

2 649.160. (a) The agency shall serve a copy of the decision in the proceeding on
3 each party within 10 days after the decision is issued. The decision shall state its
4 effective date and shall be accompanied by a statement of the time within which
5 judicial review of the decision may be initiated. Failure to state the time within
6 which judicial review may be initiated extends the time to six months after service
7 of the decision.

8 (b) If a proposed decision is issued and served on the parties in the proceeding
9 and the agency head adopts the proposed decision as the decision under Section
10 649.140 or the proposed decision becomes the decision by operation of law
11 under Section 649.150, the agency may satisfy subdivision (a) by service of a
12 notice that states the effective date and judicial review period and that the
13 proposed decision is the decision or, if the decision makes technical or other
14 minor changes in the proposed decision, that the proposed decision is the
15 decision, with specified changes. A notice under this subdivision may be served
16 simultaneously with service of a copy of the proposed decision under Section
17 649.130.

18 (c) The decision shall be issued immediately by the agency as a public record.

19 **Comment.** Section 649.160 supersedes the third sentence of former Section 11517(b),
20 former Section 11517(e), and the third sentence of former Section 11518. For the manner of
21 service (including service on a party's attorney or authorized representative of record instead
22 of the party), see Section 613.210.

23 The California Public Records Act governs the accessibility of a decision to the public,
24 including exclusions from coverage, confidentiality, and agency regulations affecting access.
25 Gov't Code §§ 6250-6268.

26 **§ 649.170. Correction of mistakes and clerical errors in decision**

27 649.170. (a) Within 15 days after service of a copy of the decision on a party,
28 but not later than the effective date of the decision, the party may apply to the
29 agency head for correction of a mistake or clerical error in the decision, stating the
30 specific ground on which the application is made. Notice of the application shall
31 be given to the other parties to the proceeding. The application is not a
32 prerequisite for seeking administrative or judicial review.

33 (b) The agency head may refer the application to the presiding officer who
34 formulated the decision or proposed decision or may delegate its authority under
35 this section to one or more persons.

36 (c) The agency head may deny the application, grant the application and
37 modify the decision, or grant the application and set the matter for further
38 proceedings. The application is considered denied if the agency head does not
39 dispose of it within 15 days after it is made.

40 (d) Nothing in this section precludes the agency head, on its own motion or on
41 motion of the presiding officer, from modifying the decision to correct a mistake
42 or clerical error. A modification under this subdivision shall be made within 15
43 days after issuance of the decision.

(e) The agency head shall, within 15 days after correction of a mistake or clerical error in the decision, serve a copy of the correction on each party on which a copy of the decision was previously served.

Comment. Section 649.170 supersedes former Section 11521 (reconsideration). It is analogous to Code of Civil Procedure Section 473 and is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-218. "Party" includes the agency that is a party to the proceedings. Section 610.460 ("party" defined).

The section is intended to provide parties a limited right to remedy mistakes in the decision without the need for administrative or judicial review. Instances where this procedure is intended to apply include correction of factual or legal errors in the decision. This supplements the authority in Section 649.140(a)(2) of the agency head to adopt a proposed decision with technical or other minor changes.

For general provisions on notices to parties, see Sections 613.210 (service), 613.220 (mail). The times provided in this section are extended in the case of service by mail or other means. Section 613.230 (extension of time).

Article 2. Administrative Review of Decision

§ 649.210. Availability and scope of review

649.210. (a) Subject to subdivision (b), an agency may review a decision or proposed decision on its own motion or on petition of a party. In the exercise of discretion under this subdivision, the agency head may do any of the following with respect to administrative review of the decision or a proposed decision:

(1) Determine to review some but not all issues, or not to exercise any review.

(2) Delegate its review authority to one or more persons.

(3) Authorize review by one or more persons, subject to further review by the agency head.

(b) By regulation an agency may mandate administrative review, or may preclude or limit administrative review, of the decision or a proposed decision.

Comment. Section 649.210 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-216(a)(1)-(2). A proposed decision that is not reviewed becomes the decision at the time specified in Section 649.150.

This section is subject to a contrary statute that may, for example, require the agency head itself to hear and decide a specific issue. See, e.g., *Greer v. Board of Education*, 47 Cal. App. 3d 98, 121 Cal. Rptr. 542 (1975) (school board, rather than hearing officer, formerly required to determine issues under Educ. Code § 13443). See Section 641.120 (modification or inapplicability of statute by regulation).

§ 649.220. Initiation of review

649.220. On service of a copy of the decision or a proposed decision that is subject to review under Section 649.210, but not later than the effective date of the decision stated in the decision or, if the effective date is not stated in the decision, not later than 30 days after service:

(a) A party may petition the agency head for administrative review of the decision or proposed decision. The petition shall state the basis for review.

(b) The agency head on its own motion may give written notice of administrative review of the decision or proposed decision. The notice shall be

served on each party and, if review is limited to specified issues, shall identify the issues for review.

Comment. Section 649.220 supersedes a portion of the first sentence of former Section 11517(d). See also 1981 Model State APA § 4-216(b)-(c). For the manner of service, see Section 613.210. See also Section 613.230 (extension of time).

§ 649.230. Review procedure

649.230. (a) The reviewing authority shall decide the case on the record, including an agreed statement of the parties or a transcript, recording of proceedings, or other record used by the agency, of the portions of the proceeding under review that the reviewing authority considers necessary. A copy of the record shall be made available to the parties. The agency may require payment of fees covering direct costs of making the copy. The reviewing authority may take additional evidence.

(b) The reviewing authority shall allow each party an opportunity to present a written brief or an oral argument as determined by the reviewing authority.

(c) The reviewing authority may remand the matter for further proceedings. The remand shall be to the presiding officer who formulated the proposed decision, if reasonably available.

Comment. Section 649.230 restates the first, second, and fifth sentences of former Section 11517(c), with the addition of the provision for an agreed statement of the parties. See Cal. R. Ct. 6 (agreed statement). The reviewing authority is the agency head or person to which the authority to review is delegated. Section 610.680 ("reviewing authority" defined).

Subdivision (a) requires only that the record be made available to the parties. The cost of providing a copy of the record is a matter left to the discretion of each agency as appropriate for its situation.

The reviewing authority is subject to the provisions of this part governing qualifications (Sections 643.210-643.230), separation of functions (Sections 643.310-643.350), ex parte communications (Sections 643.410-643.470), and substitution (Section 643.130), that are applicable to the presiding officer.

If further proceedings are required, they may be obtained on remand under Section 649.240.

§ 649.240. Decision or remand

649.240. (a) Within 100 days after presentation of briefs and arguments, or if a transcript is ordered, after receipt of the transcript, the reviewing authority shall do one of the following:

(1) Issue a decision disposing of the proceeding.

(2) Remand the matter for further proceedings. The remand shall be to the presiding officer who formulated the decision or proposed decision, if reasonably available.

(3) Reject the decision or proposed decision, without remand. The reviewing authority shall dispose of the proceeding within a reasonable time after rejection.

(b) The time under subdivision (a) may be waived or extended with the written consent of all parties or for good cause. Disposition of the proceeding under

1 subdivision (a) shall only be pursuant to the procedure provided in Section
2 649.230 (review procedure).

3 (c) The decision or a remand for further proceedings shall be in writing and shall
4 include, or incorporate by express reference to the original decision or proposed
5 decision, all the matters required by Section 649.120 (form and contents of
6 decision). A remand for further proceedings shall specify the ground for remand
7 and shall include precise instructions to the presiding officer of the action
8 required.

9 (d) The reviewing authority shall cause a copy of the decision or remand for
10 further proceedings to be served on each party.

11 **Comment.** Section 649.240 supersedes former Section 11517(c)-(d). It is drawn in part
12 from 1981 Model State APA § 4-216(g)-(j). Disposition of the proceeding under this section
13 must be done pursuant to Section 649.230, which provides for either review on the record or
14 remand. The reviewing authority may not hear the matter de novo but may take additional
15 evidence.

16 Remand is required to the presiding officer who issued the proposed decision only if
17 "reasonably" available. Thus if workloads make remand to the same presiding officer
18 impractical, the officer would not be reasonably available, and remand need not be made to
19 that particular person.

20 Specification of the ground for remand must be precise, but need not include the same
21 details of explanation as the decision would contain. The specification may include such
22 matters as the need for additional proceedings resulting from newly discovered evidence.

23 The reviewing authority is the agency head or person to which the authority to review is
24 delegated. Section 610.680 ("reviewing authority" defined). For the manner of service, see
25 Section 613.210.

26 § 649.250. Procedure on remand

27 649.250. (a) On remand, the reviewing authority may order authorized and
28 appropriate temporary relief.

29 (b) The presiding officer shall prepare a revised decision or proposed decision
30 on remand based on the additional evidence, if any, and the record of the prior
31 hearing.

32 (c) The revised decision or proposed decision on remand shall be served on
33 each party and is subject to correction and review to the same extent and in the
34 same manner as an original decision or proposed decision.

35 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of Section 649.250 is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-
36 216(g). Subdivisions (b) and (c) restate the third and fourth sentences of former Section
37 11517(c). For the record in the proceeding, see Section 649.230 (review procedure). For the
38 manner of service, see Section 613.210.

39 § 649.260. Communications between presiding officer and reviewing authority

40 649.260. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part:

41 ALTERNATIVE A. There shall be no communication, direct or indirect,
42 regarding any issue in the proceeding, between the presiding officer and
43 reviewing authority.

44 ALTERNATIVE B. The provisions of this part restricting ex parte
45 communications between the presiding officer and an employee or representative

1 of an agency that is a party govern communications between the reviewing
2 authority and the presiding officer.

3 (b) This provision does not apply where the agency head serves as both
4 presiding officer and reviewing authority.

5 **Comment.** Section 649.260 is a special application of the provisions governing ex parte
6 communications in administrative adjudication. It expresses the general principle that the
7 presiding officer should not be an advocate for the proposed decision on administrative
8 review.

9 **NOTE.** The Law Revision Commission particularly solicits comments on the approaches of
10 Alternatives A and B. Alternative A provides an absolute prohibition. Alternative B is subject
11 to exceptions for procedural issues and communications in nonprosecutorial proceedings.
12 See Sections 643.410-430 (ex parte communications).

13 Article 3. Precedent Decisions

14 § 649.310. Precedential effect of decision

15 649.310. A decision may not be expressly relied on as precedent unless it is
16 designated as a precedent decision by the agency.

17 **Comment.** Section 649.310 is new.

18 § 649.320. Designation of precedent decision

19 649.320. (a) An agency may designate as a precedent decision a decision or
20 part of a decision that contains a significant legal or policy determination of
21 general application that is likely to recur.

22 (b) Designation of a decision or part of a decision as a precedent decision is not
23 rulemaking and need not be done under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section
24 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2, relating to rulemaking.

25 (c) An agency's designation of a decision or part of a decision, or failure to
26 designate a decision or part of a decision, as a precedent decision is not subject to
27 judicial review.

28 **Comment.** Section 649.320 recognizes the need of agencies to be able to make law and
29 policy through adjudication as well as through rulemaking. It codifies the practice of a
30 number of agencies to designate important decisions as precedential. See Section 12935(h)
31 (Fair Employment and Housing Commission); Unemp. Ins. Code § 409 (Unemployment
32 Insurance Appeals Board). Section 649.320 is intended to encourage agencies to articulate
33 what they are doing when they make new law or policy in an adjudicative decision.

34 Under subdivision (b), this section applies notwithstanding any contrary implication in
35 Section 11347.5 ("underground regulations"). Nonetheless, agencies are encouraged to
36 express precedent decisions in the form of regulations, to the extent practicable.

37 § 649.330. Index of precedent decisions

38 649.330. (a) An agency shall maintain an index of significant legal and policy
39 determinations made in precedent decisions. The index shall be updated not less
40 frequently than annually, unless no precedent decision has been designated since
41 the last preceding update.

(b) The index shall be made available to the public by subscription, and its availability shall be publicized annually in the California Regulatory Notice Register.

Comment. The index required by Section 649.330 is a public record, available for public inspection and copying.

§ 649.340. Article not retroactive

649.340. (a) This article applies to decisions issued on or after July 1, 1997.

(b) Nothing in this article precludes an agency from designating as a precedent decision a decision issued before July 1, 1997.

Comment. Section 649.340 minimizes the potential burden on agencies by making the precedent decision requirements prospective only.

Article 4. Implementation Of Decision

§ 649.410. Effective date of decision

649.410. (a) The decision is effective on the date stated in the decision or, if the effective date is not stated in the decision, 30 days after it is served on the person to which the agency action is directed, unless:

(1) The agency head orders that the decision becomes effective sooner.

(2) The agency head orders that enforcement of the decision shall be stayed.

(b) The person to which the agency action is directed may not be required to comply with a decision unless the person has been served with or has actual knowledge of the decision.

(c) A nonparty may not be required to comply with a decision unless the agency has made the decision available for public inspection and copying or the nonparty has actual knowledge of the decision.

(d) This section does not preclude an agency from taking immediate action to protect the public interest in accordance with Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 634.010) of Part 3 (emergency decision).

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 649.410 restates subdivision (a) and a portion of the first sentence of subdivision (b) of former Section 11519, with the addition of the provision for statement of the effective date in the decision. The remainder of the section is drawn from 1981 Model State APA § 4-220(c)-(d). The section distinguishes between the effective date of a decision and the time when it can be enforced. For provisions on stays, see Section 649.420.

The requirement of "actual knowledge" in subdivisions (b) and (c) is intended to include not only knowledge that a decision has been issued, but also knowledge of the general contents of the decision insofar as it pertains to the person who is required to comply with it. If a question arises whether a particular person had actual knowledge of a decision, this must be resolved in the manner that other fact questions are resolved.

The binding effect of a decision on nonparties who have actual knowledge may be illustrated by a state law that prohibits wholesalers from delivering alcoholic beverages to liquor dealers unless the dealers hold valid licenses from the state beverage agency. If the agency issues a decision revoking the license of a particular dealer, this decision is binding on any wholesaler who has actual knowledge of it, even before the decision is made available for

public inspection and copying; the decision binds all wholesalers, including those without actual knowledge, after it has been made available for public inspection and copying.

§ 649.420. Stay

649.420. A stay of enforcement may be included in the decision or may be ordered at any time before the decision becomes effective.

Comment. Section 649.420 restates the first sentence of former Section 11519(b).

§ 649.430. Probation

649.430. (a) A stay of enforcement may be accompanied by an express condition that the person to which the agency action is directed comply with specified terms of probation. Specified terms of probation shall be just and reasonable in the light of the findings and decision.

(b) Specified terms of probation may include an order of restitution that requires the person to which the agency action is directed to compensate the other party to a contract damaged as a result of a breach of contract by the person to which the agency action is directed. In such a case, the decision shall include findings that a breach of contract has occurred and shall specify the amount of actual damages sustained as a result of the breach. If restitution is ordered and paid under this subdivision, the amount paid shall be credited to any subsequent judgment in a civil action based on the same breach of contract.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 649.430 restates the last sentence of former Section 11519(b). Subdivision (b) restates former Section 11519(d).

§ 649.440. Registration with public officer

649.440. If a person whose license has been revoked or suspended was required to register with a public officer, a notification of the suspension or revocation shall be sent to the officer after the decision has become effective.

Comment. Section 649.440 restates former Government Code Section 11519(c).

§ 649.450. Reinstatement of license or reduction of penalty

649.450. (a) A person whose license has been revoked or suspended may petition the agency for reinstatement or reduction of penalty after a period of not less than one year has elapsed from the effective date of the decision or from the date of the denial of a similar petition.

(b) The agency shall give notice to the Attorney General of the filing of the petition. The Attorney General and the petitioner shall be afforded an opportunity to present written argument, or if the agency permits, oral argument, before the agency head.

(c) The agency head shall decide the petition. The decision shall include the reasons therefor.

Comment. Section 649.450 supersedes the first three sentences of former Section 11522. The last sentence of former Section 11522 is continued in substance in Section 612.140 (contrary express statute controls).

PART 5. JUDICIAL REVIEW

§ 650. Judicial review

650. Judicial review in an adjudicative proceeding required by statute to be conducted by an administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings may be had by filing a petition for a writ of mandate in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, subject, however, to the statutes relating to the particular agency. Except as otherwise provided in this section, any such petition shall be filed within 30 days after the last day on which administrative review can be ordered. The right to petition shall not be affected by the failure to seek administrative review. The complete record of the proceedings, or such parts thereof as are designated by the petitioner, shall be prepared by the agency and shall be delivered to petitioner, within 30 days after a request therefor by the petitioner, upon the payment of the fee specified in Section 69950 as now or hereinafter amended for the transcript, the cost of preparation of other portions of the record and for certification thereof. Thereafter, the remaining balance of any costs or charges for the preparation of the record shall be assessed against the petitioner whenever the agency prevails on judicial review following trial of the cause. These costs or charges constitute a debt of the petitioner which is collectible by the agency in the same manner as in the case of an obligation under a contract, and no license shall be renewed or reinstated where the petitioner has failed to pay all of these costs or charges. The complete record includes the pleadings, all notices and orders issued by the agency, any proposed decision by an administrative law judge, the decision, a transcript of all proceedings, the exhibits admitted or rejected, the written evidence and any other papers in the case. Where petitioner, within 10 days after the last day on which administrative review can be ordered, requests the agency to prepare all or any part of the record the time within which a petition may be filed shall be extended until 30 days after its delivery to the petitioner. The agency may file with the court the original of any document in the record in lieu of a copy thereof. In the event that the petitioner prevails in overturning the administrative decision following judicial review, the agency shall reimburse the petitioner for all costs of transcript preparation, compilation of the record, and certification.

Comment. Section 650 continues former Section 11523 without substantive change.

1 SELECTED CONFORMING REVISIONS AND REPEALS

2 IMPORTANT NOTE

3 Only selected conforming revisions and repeals are set out here. The entire text
4 of conforming revisions and repeals is in preparation and will be available for
5 review and comment on request.

6 In general, the conforming revisions and repeals will do the following:

7 (1) A statute that refers to the Administrative Procedure Act or a provision of
8 the Administrative Procedure Act will be converted to a reference to the
9 proposed law.

10 (2) A statute that requires a hearing under the Administrative Procedure Act will
11 be converted to require a hearing conducted under the proposed law by an
12 administrative law judge employed by the Office of Administrative Hearings.

13 (3) A statute that provides a rule governing an administrative hearing that
14 differs from the proposed law will **not** be revised or repealed unless it appears to
15 differ arbitrarily. The principle embodied in the proposed law is that the proposed
16 statute provides default rules and express contrary statutes control.

17 If you wish to review the conforming revisions and repeals affecting a specific
18 agency or type of hearing, or if it is necessary to review all the conforming
19 revisions and repeals, please request a copy from the California Law Revision
20 Commission, 4000 Middlefield Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Phone: (415) 494-
21 1335. The material will be provided when it is available.

22 MEDICAL QUALITY HEARING PANEL INTERIM ORDERS

23 Bus. & Prof. Code § 494.1 (added). Interim orders

24 SEC. 2. Section 494.1 is added to the Business and Professions Code to read:

25 494.1. (a) The administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel
26 established pursuant to Section 636.210 may issue an interim order suspending a
27 license, or imposing drug testing, continuing education, supervision of
28 procedures, or other license restrictions. Interim orders may be issued only if the
29 affidavits in support of the petition show that the licensee has engaged in, or is
30 about to engage in, acts or omissions constituting a violation of the Medical
31 Practice Act or the appropriate practice act governing each allied health
32 profession, and that permitting the licensee to continue to engage in the
33 profession for which the license was issued will endanger the public health,
34 safety, or welfare.

35 (b) All orders authorized by this section shall be issued only after a hearing
36 conducted pursuant to subdivision (d), unless it appears from the facts shown by
37 affidavit that serious injury would result to the public before the matter can be
38 heard on notice. Except as provided in subdivision (c), the licensee shall receive

1 at least 15 days' prior notice of the hearing, which notice shall include affidavits
2 and all other information in support of the order.

3 (c) If an interim order is issued without notice, the administrative law judge who
4 issued the order without notice shall cause the licensee to be notified of the order,
5 including affidavits and all other information in support of the order by a 24-hour
6 delivery service. That notice shall also include the date of the hearing on the
7 order, which shall be conducted in accordance with the requirement of
8 subdivision (d), not later than 20 days from the date of issuance. The order shall
9 be dissolved unless the requirements of subdivision (a) are satisfied.

10 (d) For the purposes of the hearing conducted pursuant to this section, the
11 licentiate shall, at a minimum, have the following rights:

12 (1) To be represented by counsel.

13 (2) To have a record made of the proceedings, copies of which may be obtained
14 by the licentiate upon payment of any reasonable charges associated with the
15 record.

16 (3) To present written evidence in the form of relevant declarations, affidavits,
17 and documents.

18 The discretion of the administrative law judge to permit testimony at the hearing
19 conducted pursuant to this section shall be identical to the discretion of a
20 superior court judge to permit testimony at a hearing conducted pursuant to
21 Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

22 (4) To present oral argument.

23 (e) Consistent with the burden and standards of proof applicable to a
24 preliminary injunction entered under Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure,
25 the administrative law judge shall grant the interim order where, in the exercise of
26 its discretion, the administrative law judge concludes that:

27 (1) There is a reasonable probability that the petitioner will prevail in the
28 underlying action.

29 (2) The likelihood of injury to the public in not issuing the order outweighs the
30 likelihood of injury to the licensee in issuing the order.

31 (f) In all cases where an interim order is issued, and a notice of commencement
32 of proceeding is not filed and served pursuant to the Administrative Procedure
33 Act, Division 3.3 (commencing with Section 600) of Title 1 of the Government
34 Code, within 15 days of the date in which the parties to the hearing on the interim
35 order have submitted the matter, the order shall be dissolved.

36 Upon service of the notice of commencement of proceeding the licensee shall
37 have, in addition to the rights granted by this section, all of the rights and
38 privileges available as specified in the Administrative Procedure Act. If the
39 licensee requests a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, the
40 board shall provide the licensee with a hearing within 30 days of the request,
41 unless the licensee stipulates to a later hearing, and a decision within 15 days of
42 the date that matter is submitted, or the board shall nullify the interim order
43 previously issued, unless good cause can be shown by the division for a delay.

(g) Where an interim order is issued, a written decision shall be prepared within 15 days of the hearing, by the administrative law judge, including findings of fact and a conclusion articulating the connection between the evidence produced at the hearing and the decision reached.

(h) Notwithstanding the fact that interim orders issued pursuant to this section are not issued after a hearing as otherwise required by the Administrative Procedure Act, interim orders so issued shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The relief which may be ordered shall be limited to a stay of the interim order. Interim orders issued pursuant to this section are final interim orders and, if not dissolved pursuant to subdivision (c) or (f), may only be challenged administratively at the hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act.

(i) The interim order provided for by this section shall be in addition to, and not a limitation on, the authority to seek injunctive relief provided for in this code.

Comment. Section 494.1 continues former Government Code Section 11529, substituting the administrative law judge for the court in subdivision (e). A statement of legislative intent concerning this section is enacted at 1993 Cal. Stat. ch. 1267, § 58:

It is the intent of the Legislature to substitute the standard governing the issuance of a preliminary injunction under Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure for the "clear and convincing evidence" standard as the standard for granting an interim order pursuant to Section 11529 of the Government Code, and to this extent the decision of the Court of Appeal in *Silva v. Superior Court*, (Heerhartz) (March 1993), 14 Cal. App. 4th 562, mod. of opn. on den. reh'g. 14 Cal. App. 4th 1678b, rev. den. (1993) _____ Cal. 4th _____, is expressly overturned. It is also the intent of the Legislature that the standard of proof applicable to an accusation filed in connection with a petition for an interim order shall continue to be clear and convincing evidence.

ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS

Code Civ. Proc. § 1094.5 (amended). Administrative mandamus

SEC. 3. Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure is amended to read:
1094.5. ...

(c) Where it is claimed that the findings are not supported by the evidence, in cases in which the court is authorized by law to exercise its independent judgment on the evidence, abuse of discretion is established if the court determines that the findings are not supported by the weight of the evidence. In all other cases, abuse of discretion is established if the court determines that the findings are not supported by substantial evidence in the light of the whole record. *In making a determination under this subdivision in a review of a decision under Division 3.3 (commencing with Section 600) of Title 1 of the Government Code, the court shall give great weight to a determination of the presiding officer in the adjudicative proceeding based substantially on credibility of a witness to the extent the determination of the presiding officer identifies the observed demeanor, manner, or attitude of the witness that supports the determination.*

1 ...
 2 **Comment.** Subdivision (c) of Section 1094.5 is amended to adopt the rule of Universal
 3 Camera Corp. v. N.L.R.B., 340 U.S. 474 (1951), for proceedings under the Administrative
 4 Procedure Act, requiring that the reviewing court weigh more heavily findings by the trier of
 5 fact (the presiding officer in an administrative adjudication) based on observation of witnesses
 6 than findings based on other evidence. This generalizes the standard of review used by a
 7 number of California agencies. See, e.g., *Lamb v. Workmen's Compensation Appeals Bd.*, 11
 8 Cal. 3d 274, 281, 520 P.2d 978, 113 Cal. Rptr. 162 (1974) (Workers' Compensation Appeals
 9 Board); *Millen v. Swoap*, 58 Cal. App. 3d 943, 947, 130 Cal. Rptr. 387 (1976) (Department
 10 of Social Services); *Apte v. Regents of Univ. of Calif.*, 198 Cal. App. 3d 1084, 1092, 244 Cal.
 11 Rptr. 312 (1988) (University of California); *Unemp. Ins. App. Bd.*, Precedent Decisions P-B-
 12 10, P-T-13, P-B-57; Lab. Code § 1148 (Agricultural Labor Relations Board). It reverses the
 13 existing practice under the administrative procedure act and other California administrative
 14 procedures that gives no weight to the findings of the presiding officer at the hearing. See
 15 *Asimow, Toward a New California Administrative Procedure Act: Adjudication*
 16 *Fundamentals*, 39 UCLA L. Rev. 1067, 1114 (1992).

17 Findings based substantially on credibility of a witness must be identified by the presiding
 18 officer in the decision made in the adjudicative proceeding. Gov't Code § 649.120(b) (form
 19 and contents of decision). However, the presiding officer's identification of such findings is
 20 not binding on the agency or the courts, which may make their own determinations whether a
 21 particular finding is based substantially on credibility of a witness.

22 Under subdivision (c), even though the presiding officer's determination is based
 23 substantially on credibility of a witness, the determination is entitled to great weight only to
 24 the extent the determination derives from the presiding officer's observation of the
 25 demeanor, manner, or attitude of the witness. Nothing in subdivision (c) precludes the agency
 26 head or court from overturning a credibility determination of the presiding officer, after
 27 giving the observational elements of the credibility determination great weight, whether on the
 28 basis of nonobservational elements of credibility or otherwise. See Evid. Code § 780. Nor
 29 does it preclude the agency head from overturning a factual finding based on the presiding
 30 officer's assessment of expert witness testimony.

31 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

32 Gov't Code § 11340.4 (added). Study of administrative rulemaking

33 SEC. 4. Section 11340.4 is added to the Government Code to read:

34 11340.4. (a) The office is authorized and directed to:

35 (1) Study the subject of administrative rulemaking in all its aspects.

36 (2) Submit its suggestions to the various agencies in the interests of fairness,
 37 uniformity, and the expedition of business.

38 (3) Report its recommendations to the Governor and Legislature at the
 39 commencement of each general session.

40 (b) All agencies of the state shall give the office ready access to their records
 41 and full information and reasonable assistance in any matter of research requiring
 42 recourse to them or to data within their knowledge or control. Nothing in this
 43 subdivision authorizes an agency to give access to records required by statute to
 44 be kept confidential.

45 **Comment.** Section 11340.4 is new. It delegates to the Office of Administrative Law
 46 authority formerly found in Section 11370.5 relating to the study of "administrative law" by
 47 the Office of Administrative Hearings. See also Section 610.190 ("agency" defined). Cf.

Section 636.180 (authority of Office of Administrative Hearings to study administrative adjudication).

Gov't Code §§ 11370-11370.5 (repealed). Office of Administrative Hearings

SEC. 5. Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 11370) of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code is repealed.

Comment. Sections 11370-11370.5, relating to the Office of Administrative Hearings, are superseded by Sections 636.110-636.240. The text of each former section and its disposition is set out below.

CHAPTER 4. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

§ 11370 (repealed). Administrative Procedure Act

11370. Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340), Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 11370), and Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) constitute, and may be cited as, the Administrative Procedure Act.

Comment. Former Section 11370 is restated in Section 600 (short title).

§ 11370.1 (repealed). "Director"

11370.1. As used in the Administrative Procedure Act "director" means the executive officer of the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Comment. Former Section 11370.1 is continued in Section 636.110(a) ("director" defined).

§ 11370.2 (repealed). Office of Administrative Hearings

11370.2. (a) There is in the Department of General Services the Office of Administrative Hearings which is under the direction and control of an executive officer who shall be known as the director.

(b) The director shall have the same qualifications as administrative law judges, and shall be appointed by the Governor subject to confirmation of the Senate.

(c) Any and all references in any law to the Office of Administrative Procedure shall be deemed to be the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Comment. Former Section 11370.2 is restated in Section 636.120 (Office of Administrative Hearings).

§ 11370.3 (repealed). Personnel

11370.3. The director shall appoint and maintain a staff of full-time, and may appoint pro tempore part-time, administrative law judges qualified under Section 11502 which is sufficient to fill the needs of the various state agencies. The director shall also appoint hearing officers, shorthand reporters, and such other technical and clerical personnel as may be required to perform the duties of the office. The director shall assign an administrative law judge for any proceeding arising under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) and, upon request from any agency, may assign an administrative law judge or a hearing officer to conduct other administrative proceedings not arising under that chapter and shall

1 assign hearing reporters as required. The director shall assign an administrative
 2 law judge for any proceeding arising pursuant to Chapter 20 (commencing with
 3 Section 22450) of Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code upon the
 4 request of a public prosecutor. Any administrative law judge, hearing officer, or
 5 other employee so assigned shall be deemed an employee of the office and not of
 6 the agency to which he or she is assigned. When not engaged in hearing cases,
 7 administrative law judges and hearing officers may be assigned by the director to
 8 perform other duties vested in or required of the office, including those provided
 9 for in Section 11370.5.

10 **Comment.** The first sentence of former Section 11370.3 is restated in Section 636.130(a)
 11 (administrative law judges). The second sentence is restated in Section 636.140 (and other
 12 personnel), deleting the reference to hearing officers and the limitation to shorthand
 13 reporters.

14 The first part of the third sentence is superseded by Section 636.150(a) (assignment of
 15 administrative law judges). The second part is restated in subdivision (b) of Section 636.150,
 16 deleting the reference to hearing officers. The third part is restated in Section 636.150(c).

17 The fourth sentence is omitted as unnecessary. See Section 636.150(a) (assignment of
 18 administrative law judges); Bus. & Prof. Code § 22460.5.

19 The fifth sentence is restated in Section 636.150(d) (assignment of administrative law
 20 judges), deleting the reference to hearing officers.

21 The sixth sentence is restated in Section 636.150(e) (assignment of administrative law
 22 judges), deleting the reference to hearing officers.

23 **§ 11370.4 (repealed). Costs**

24 11370.4. The total cost to the state of maintaining and operating the Office of
 25 Administrative Hearings shall be determined by, and collected by the Department
 26 of General Services in advance or upon such other basis as it may determine from
 27 the state or other public agencies for which services are provided by the office.

28 **Comment.** Former Section 11370.4 is restated in Section 636.170.

29 **§ 11370.5 (repealed). Administrative law and procedure**

30 11370.5. The office is authorized and directed to study the subject of
 31 administrative law and procedure in all its aspects; to submit its suggestions to the
 32 various agencies in the interests of fairness, uniformity and the expedition of
 33 business; and to report its recommendations to the Governor and Legislature at
 34 the commencement of each general session. All departments, agencies, officers
 35 and employees of the State shall give the office ready access to their records and
 36 full information and reasonable assistance in any matter of research requiring
 37 recourse to them or to data within their knowledge of control.

38 **Comment.** Former Section 11370.5 is restated in Sections 610.190 ("agency" defined)
 39 and 636.180 (study of administrative law and procedure).

40 **§ 11371 (repealed). Medical Quality Hearing Panel**

41 11371. (a) There is within the Office of Administrative Hearings a Medical
 42 Quality Hearing Panel, consisting of no fewer than five full-time administrative
 43 law judges. The administrative law judges shall have medical training as

1 recommended by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of
2 California and approved by the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings.

3 (b) The director shall determine the qualifications of panel members, supervise
4 their training, and coordinate the publication of a reporter of decisions pursuant
5 to this section. The panel shall include only those persons specifically qualified
6 and shall at no time constitute more than 25 percent of the total number of
7 administrative law judges within the Office of Administrative Hearings. If the
8 members of the panel do not have a full workload, they may be assigned work by
9 the Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings. When the medically related
10 case workload exceeds the capacity of the members of the panel, additional
11 judges shall be requested to be added to the panels as appropriate. When this
12 workload overflow occurs on a temporary basis, the Director of the Office of
13 Administrative Hearings shall supply judges from the Office of Administrative
14 Hearings to adjudicate the cases.

15 (c) The decisions of the administrative law judges of the panel, together with
16 any court decisions reviewing those decisions, or any court decisions relevant to
17 medical quality adjudications shall be published in a quarterly "Medical
18 Discipline Report," to be funded from the Contingent Fund of the Medical Board
19 of California.

20 (d) The administrative law judges of the panel shall have panels of experts
21 available. The panels of experts shall be appointed by the Director of the Office of
22 Administrative Hearings, with the advice of the Medical Board of California.
23 These panels of experts may be called as witnesses by the administrative law
24 judges of the panel to testify on the record about any matter relevant to a
25 proceeding and subject to cross examination by all parties. The administrative law
26 judge may award reasonable expert witness fees to any person or persons serving
27 on a panel of experts, which shall be paid from the Contingent Fund of the
28 Medical Board of California.

29 (e) On or before April 1, 1997, the Medical Board of California shall prepare, in
30 consultation with the Office of Administrative Hearings, an analysis and report
31 that evaluates the effectiveness of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel since its
32 creation. Among other things, the report shall analyze whether administrative
33 adjudications against physicians have been expedited, the aging of cases at the
34 office, whether administrative decisions and penalties ordered in the discipline of
35 physicians have become more consistent, and whether the panels of the Division
36 of Medical Quality have adopted more proposed decisions than prior to the
37 creation of the panel. The board shall send a copy of its report to the
38 Chairpersons of the Senate Committee on Business and Professions and the
39 Assembly Committee on Health, to the Office of Administrative Hearings, and to
40 the Director of Consumer Affairs.

41 (f) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 1997, and as of that
42 date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, which is enacted before January 1,
43 1997, deletes or extends that date.

Comment. Former Section 11371 is continued without change in Section 636.210 (Medical Quality Hearing Panel).

§ 11372 (repealed). Conduct of hearing by administrative law judge

11372. (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), all adjudicative hearings and proceedings relating to the discipline or reinstatement of licensees of the Medical Board of California, including licensees of allied health agencies within the jurisdiction of the Medical Board of California, that are heard pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, shall be conducted by an administrative law judge as designated in Section 11371, sitting alone if the case is so assigned by the agency filing the charging pleading.

(b) Proceedings relating to interim orders shall be heard in accordance with Section 11529.

Comment. Former Section 11372 is continued in Section 636.220 (conduct of hearing by administrative law judge) without substantive change.

§ 11373 (repealed). Conduct of proceedings under Administrative Procedure Act

11373. All adjudicative hearings and proceedings conducted by an administrative law judge as designated in Section 11371 shall be conducted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act, except as provided in the Medical Practice Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 2000) of Division 2 of the Business and Professions Code).

Comment. Former Section 11373 is continued in Section 636.230 (conduct of proceedings under Administrative Procedure Act) without substantive change.

§ 11373.3 (repealed). Facilities and support personnel for review committee panel

11373.3. The Office of Administrative Hearings shall provide facilities and support personnel for the review committee panel and shall assess the Medical Board of California for facilities and personnel, where used to adjudicate cases involving the Medical Board of California.

Comment. Former Section 11373.3 is continued in Section 636.240 (facilities and support personnel for review committee panel) without change.

Gov't Code §§ 11500-11529 (repealed). Administrative adjudication

SEC. 6. Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code is repealed.

Comment. Sections 11500-11529, relating to administrative adjudication, are superseded by Sections 600-650. The text of each former section and its disposition is set out below.

CHAPTER 5. ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUDICATION

§ 11500 (repealed). Definitions

11500. In this chapter unless the context or subject matter otherwise requires:

(a) "Agency" includes the state boards, commissions, and officers enumerated in Section 11501 and those to which this chapter is made applicable by law,

except that wherever the word “agency” alone is used the power to act may be delegated by the agency, and wherever the words “agency itself” are used the power to act shall not be delegated unless the statutes relating to the particular agency authorize the delegation of the agency’s power to hear and decide.

(b) “Party” includes the agency, the respondent, and any person, other than an officer or an employee of the agency in his or her official capacity, who has been allowed to appear or participate in the proceeding.

(c) “Respondent” means any person against whom an accusation is filed pursuant to Section 11503 or against whom a statement of issues is filed pursuant to Section 11504.

(d) “Administrative law judge” means an individual qualified under Section 11502.

(e) “Agency member” means any person who is a member of any agency to which this chapter is applicable and includes any person who himself or herself constitutes an agency.

(f) “Adjudicatory hearing” means a state agency hearing which involves personal or property rights of an individual, the granting or revocation of an individual’s license, or the resolution of an issue pertaining to an individual. However, the procedures governing such a hearing shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:

(1) Testimony under oath.

(2) The right to cross-examination and to confront adversary witnesses.

(3) The right to representation.

(4) The issuance of a formal decision.

For purposes of this subdivision, an “adjudicatory hearing” shall not be required to include any informal factfinding or informal investigatory hearing. However, nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to prohibit an agency from providing an interpreter during any such informal hearing.

(g) “Language assistance” means oral interpretation or written translation of a language other than English into English or of English into another language for a party who cannot speak or understand English or who can do so only with difficulty.

Comment. The introductory portion of former Section 11500 is restated in Section 610.010 (application of definitions).

Subdivision (a) is superseded by Sections 612.110 (application of division to state) and 610.250 (“agency head” defined). An agency may delegate the power of the agency head to review a proposed decision in an administrative adjudication. Section 649.220 (limitation of review); see also Section 610.680 (“reviewing authority” defined).

The substance of subdivision (b) is restated in Section 610.460 (“party” defined).

Subdivision (c) is not continued. The respondent is referred to as the person to which the agency action is directed.

Subdivision (d) is superseded by Section 643.120 (presiding officer).

The substance of subdivision (e) is restated in Section 610.280 (“agency member” defined).

Subdivision (f) is superseded by Sections 612.110 (application of division to state), 610.310 ("decision" defined), 648.330 (oral and written testimony), 648.320 (presentation of testimony), 613.320 (representation by attorney), 649.120 (form and contents of decision), 631.010 (application to constitutionally and statutorily required hearings), and 648.230 (language assistance).

Subdivision (g) is superseded by Section 648.210 ("language assistance" defined).

§ 11501 (repealed). Application of chapter

11501. (a) This chapter applies to any agency as determined by the statutes relating to that agency.

(b) The enumerated agencies referred to in Section 11500 are:

- Accountancy, State Board of
- Air Resources Board, State
- Alcohol and Drug Programs, State Department of
- Alcoholic Beverage Control, Department of
- Architectural Examiners, California State Board of
- Attorney General
- Auctioneer Commission, Board of Governors of
- Automotive Repair, Bureau of
- Barber Examiners, State Board of
- Behavioral Science Examiners, Board of
- Boating and Waterways, Department of
- Cancer Advisory Council
- Cemetery Board
- Chiropractic Examiners, Board of
- Collection and Investigative Services, Bureau of
- Community Colleges, Board of Governors of the California
- Conservation, Department of
- Consumer Affairs, Director of
- Contractors, Registrar of
- Corporations, Commissioner of
- Cosmetology, State Board of
- Dental Examiners of California, Board of
- Education, State Department of
- Electronic and Appliance Repair, Bureau of
- Engineers and Land Surveyors, State Board of Registration for Professional
- Fair Political Practices Commission
- Fire Marshal, State
- Food and Agriculture, Director of
- Forestry and Fire Protection, Department of
- Funeral Directors and Embalmers, State Board of
- Geologists and Geophysicists, State Board of Registration for
- Guide Dogs for the Blind, State Board of

1 Health Services, State Department of
 2 Highway Patrol, Department of the California
 3 Home Furnishings and Thermal Insulation, Bureau of
 4 Horse Racing Board, California
 5 Housing and Community Development, Department of
 6 Insurance Commissioner
 7 Labor Commissioner
 8 Landscape Architects, State Board of
 9 Medical Board of California, Medical Quality Review Committees and
 10 Examining Committees
 11 Motor Vehicles, Department of
 12 Nursing, Board of Registered
 13 Nursing Home Administrators, Board of Examiners of
 14 Optometry, State Board of
 15 Osteopathic Medical Board of California
 16 Pharmacy, California State Board of
 17 Public Employees' Retirement System, Board of Administration of the
 18 Real Estate, Department of
 19 San Francisco, San Pablo and Suisun, Board of Pilot Commissioners for
 20 the Bays of
 21 Savings and Loan Commissioner
 22 School Districts
 23 Secretary of State, Office of
 24 Shorthand Reporters Board, Certified
 25 Social Services, State Department of
 26 Statewide Health Planning and Development, Office of
 27 Structural Pest Control Board
 28 Tax Preparer Program, Administrator
 29 Teacher Credentialing, Commission on
 30 Teachers' Retirement System, State
 31 Transportation, Department of, acting pursuant to the State Aeronautics
 32 Act
 33 Veterinary Medicine, Board of Examiners in
 34 Vocational Nurse and Psychiatric Technician Examiners of the State of
 35 California, Board of

36 **Comment.** Former Section 11501 is superseded by Sections 612.110 (application of
 37 division to state) and 612.120 (application of division to local agencies).

38 **§ 11501.5 (repealed). Language assistance; provision by state agencies**

39 11501.5. (a) The following state agencies shall provide language assistance at
 40 adjudicatory hearings pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 11513:

41 Agricultural Labor Relations Board
 42 State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse

1 Athletic Commission
 2 California Unemployment Insurance Appeals Board
 3 Board of Prison Terms
 4 Board of Cosmetology
 5 State Department of Developmental Services
 6 Public Employment Relations Board
 7 Franchise Tax Board
 8 State Department of Health Services
 9 Department of Housing and Community Development
 10 Department of Industrial Relations
 11 State Department of Mental Health
 12 Department of Motor Vehicles
 13 Notary Public Section, Office of the Secretary of State
 14 Public Utilities Commission
 15 Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development
 16 State Department of Social Services
 17 Department of Toxic Substances Control
 18 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board
 19 Department of the Youth Authority
 20 Youthful Offender Parole Board
 21 Bureau of Employment Agencies
 22 Board of Barber Examiners
 23 Department of Insurance
 24 State Personnel Board

25 (b) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent any agency other than
 26 those listed in subdivision (a) from electing to adopt any of the procedures set
 27 forth in subdivision (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), or (i) of Section 11513, except that the
 28 State Personnel Board shall determine the general language proficiency of
 29 prospective interpreters as described in subdivisions (d) and (e) of Section 11513
 30 unless otherwise provided for as described in subdivision (f) of Section 11513.

31 **Comment.** Former Section 11501.5 is restated in Section 648.230 (application of article).

32 **§ 11502 (repealed). Administrative law judges**

33 11502. All hearings of state agencies required to be conducted under this
 34 chapter shall be conducted by administrative law judges on the staff of the Office
 35 of Administrative Hearings. The Director of the Office of Administrative Hearings
 36 has power to appoint a staff of administrative law judges for the office as
 37 provided in Section 11370.3 of the Government Code. Each administrative law
 38 judge shall have been admitted to practice law in this state for at least five years
 39 immediately preceding his or her appointment and shall possess any additional
 40 qualifications established by the State Personnel Board for the particular class of
 41 position involved.

Comment. The first sentence of former Section 11502 is superseded by Section 643.120 (designation of presiding officer by agency head where exempt from OAH). The second sentence is restated in Section 636.130(a) (administrative law judges). The third sentence is restated in Section 636.130(b).

§ 11502.1 (repealed). Health planning unit

11502.1. There is hereby established in the Office of Administrative Hearings a unit of administrative law judges who shall preside over hearings conducted pursuant to Part 1.5 (commencing with Section 437) of Division 1 of the Health and Safety Code. In addition to meeting the qualifications of administrative law judges as prescribed in Section 11502, the administrative law judges in this unit shall have a demonstrated knowledge of health planning and certificate-of-need matters. As many administrative law judges as are necessary to handle the caseload shall be permanently assigned to this unit. In the event there are no pending certificate of need of health planning matters, administrative law judges in this unit may be assigned to other matters pending before the Office of Administrative Hearings. Health planning matters shall be given priority on the calendar of administrative law judges assigned to this unit.

Comment. Section 11502.1 is not continued. The requirement that health facilities and specialty clinics apply for and obtain certificates of need or certificates of exemption is indefinitely suspended. Health & Safety Code § 439.7 (1984 Cal. Stat. ch. 1745, § 14).

§ 11503 (repealed). Accusation

11503. A hearing to determine whether a right, authority, license or privilege should be revoked, suspended, limited or conditioned shall be initiated by filing an accusation. The accusation shall be a written statement of charges which shall set forth in ordinary and concise language the acts or omissions with which the respondent is charged, to the end that the respondent will be able to prepare his defense. It shall specify the statutes and rules which the respondent is alleged to have violated, but shall not consist merely of charges phrased in the language of such statutes and rules. The accusation shall be verified unless made by a public officer acting in his official capacity or by an employee of the agency before which the proceeding is to be held. The verification may be on information and belief.

Comment. The first sentence of former Section 11503 is superseded by Sections 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" includes accusation) and 642.210 (proceeding initiated by notice of commencement. The remainder is superseded by Section 642.220 (contents of notice of commencement of proceeding).

§ 11504 (repealed). Statement of issues

11504. A hearing to determine whether a right, authority, license or privilege should be granted, issued or renewed shall be initiated by filing a statement of issues. The statement of issues shall be a written statement specifying the statutes and rules with which the respondent must show compliance by producing proof at the hearing, and in addition any particular matters which have come to the

attention of the initiating party and which would authorize a denial of the agency action sought. The statement of issues shall be verified unless made by a public officer acting in his official capacity or by an employee of the agency before which the proceeding is to be held. The verification may be on information and belief. The statement of issues shall be served in the same manner as an accusation; provided, that, if the hearing is held at the request of the respondent, the provisions of Sections 11505 and 11506 shall not apply and the statement of issues together with the notice of hearing shall be delivered or mailed to the parties as provided in Section 11509. Unless a statement to respondent is served pursuant to Section 11505, a copy of Sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7, and the name and address of the person to whom requests permitted by Section 11505 may be made, shall be served with the statement of issues.

Comment. The first sentence of former Section 11504 is superseded by Sections 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" includes statement of issues) and 642.210 (proceeding commenced by notice of commencement). The remainder is superseded by Sections 642.220 (contents of notice of commencement of proceeding) and 642.230 (service of notice of commencement of proceeding).

§ 11504.5 (repealed). References to accusations include statements of issues

11504.5. In the following sections of this chapter, all references to accusations shall be deemed to be applicable to statements of issues except in those cases mentioned in subdivision (a) of Section 11505 and Section 11506 where compliance is not required.

Comment. Section 11504.5 is superseded by Section 610.410 ("notice of commencement of proceeding" includes accusation and statement of issues).

§ 11505 (repealed). Service on respondent

11505. (a) Upon the filing of the accusation the agency shall serve a copy thereof on the respondent as provided in subdivision (c). The agency may include with the accusation any information which it deems appropriate, but it shall include a post card or other form entitled Notice of Defense which, when signed by or on behalf of the respondent and returned to the agency, will acknowledge service of the accusation and constitute a notice of defense under Section 11506. The copy of the accusation shall include or be accompanied by (1) a statement that respondent may request a hearing by filing a notice of defense as provided in Section 11506 within 15 days after service upon him of the accusation, and that failure to do so will constitute a waiver of his right to a hearing, and (2) copies of Sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7.

(b) The statement to respondent shall be substantially in the following form:

Unless a written request for a hearing signed by or on behalf of the person named as respondent in the accompanying accusation is delivered or mailed to the agency within 15 days after the accusation was personally served on you or mailed to you, (here insert name of agency) may proceed upon the accusation without a hearing. The request for a hearing may be made by delivering or mailing

1 the enclosed form entitled Notice of Defense, or by delivering or mailing a notice
2 of defense as provided by Section 11506 of the Government Code to: (here insert
3 name and address of agency). You may, but need not, be represented by counsel
4 at any or all stages of these proceedings.

5 If you desire the names and addresses of witnesses or an opportunity to inspect
6 and copy the items mentioned in Section 11507.6 in the possession, custody or
7 control of the agency, you may contact: (here insert name and address of
8 appropriate person).

9 The hearing may be postponed for good cause. If you have good cause, you are
10 obliged to notify the agency within 10 working days after you discover the good
11 cause. Failure to notify the agency within 10 days will deprive you of a
12 postponement.

13 (c) The accusation and all accompanying information may be sent to
14 respondent by any means selected by the agency. But no order adversely
15 affecting the rights of the respondent shall be made by the agency in any case
16 unless the respondent shall have been served personally or by registered mail as
17 provided herein, or shall have filed a notice of defense or otherwise appeared.
18 Service may be proved in the manner authorized in civil actions. Service by
19 registered mail shall be effective if a statute or agency rule requires respondent to
20 file his address with the agency and to notify the agency of any change, and if a
21 registered letter containing the accusation and accompanying material is mailed,
22 addressed to respondent at the latest address on file with the agency.

23 **Comment.** Section 11505 is superseded by Sections 643.230 (service of notice of
24 commencement of proceeding and other information), 642.360 (notice of hearing), 642.240
25 (jurisdiction over person to which the agency action is directed), 613.210 (service), and
26 613.220 (mail).

27 § 11506 (repealed). Notice of defense

28 11506. (a) Within 15 days after service upon him of the accusation the
29 respondent may file with the agency a notice of defense in which he may:

30 (1) Request a hearing.

31 (2) Object to the accusation upon the ground that it does not state acts or
32 omissions upon which the agency may proceed.

33 (3) Object to the form of the accusation on the ground that it is so indefinite or
34 uncertain that he cannot identify the transaction or prepare his defense.

35 (4) Admit the accusation in whole or in part.

36 (5) Present new matter by way of defense.

37 (6) Object to the accusation upon the ground that, under the circumstances,
38 compliance with the requirements of a regulation would result in a material
39 violation of another regulation enacted by another department affecting
40 substantive rights.

41 Within the time specified respondent may file one or more notices of defense
42 upon any or all of these grounds but all such notices shall be filed within that
43 period unless the agency in its discretion authorizes the filing of a later notice.

(b) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if he files a notice of defense, and any such notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing. Unless objection is taken as provided in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a), all objections to the form of the accusation shall be deemed waived.

(c) The notice of defense shall be in writing signed by or on behalf of the respondent and shall state his mailing address. It need not be verified or follow any particular form.

(d) Respondent may file a statement by way of mitigation even if he does not file a notice of defense.

(e) As used in this section, "file," "files," "filed," or "filing" means "delivered or mailed" to the agency as provided in Section 11505.

Comment. Former Section 11506 is superseded by Sections 642.250 (response), 648.130 (default), and 613.210 (service).

§ 11507 (repealed). Amended accusation

11507. At any time before the matter is submitted for decision the agency may file or permit the filing of an amended or supplemental accusation. All parties shall be notified thereof. If the amended or supplemental accusation presents new charges the agency shall afford respondent a reasonable opportunity to prepare his defense thereto, but he shall not be entitled to file a further pleading unless the agency in its discretion so orders. Any new charges shall be deemed controverted, and any objections to the amended or supplemental accusation may be made orally and shall be noted in the record.

Comment. Former Section 11507 is superseded by Section 642.260 (amended and supplemental pleadings).

§ 11507.5 (repealed). Discovery provisions exclusive

11507.5. The provisions of Section 11507.6 provide the exclusive right to and method of discovery as to any proceeding governed by this chapter.

Comment. Former Section 11507.5 is superseded by Section 645.110 (application of article).

§ 11507.6 (repealed). Discovery

11507.6. After initiation of a proceeding in which a respondent or other party is entitled to a hearing on the merits, a party, upon written request made to another party, prior to the hearing and within 30 days after service by the agency of the initial pleading or within 15 days after such service of an additional pleading, is entitled to (1) obtain the names and addresses of witnesses to the extent known to the other party, including, but not limited to, those intended to be called to testify at the hearing, and (2) inspect and make a copy of any of the following in the possession or custody or under the control of the other party:

1 (a) A statement of a person, other than the respondent, named in the initial
2 administrative pleading, or in any additional pleading, when it is claimed that the
3 act or omission of the respondent as to such person is the basis for the
4 administrative proceeding;

5 (b) A statement pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding made by any
6 party to another party or person;

7 (c) Statements of witnesses then proposed to be called by the party and of
8 other persons having personal knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which
9 are the basis for the proceeding, not included in (a) or (b) above;

10 (d) All writings, including, but not limited to, reports of mental, physical and
11 blood examinations and things which the party then proposes to offer in
12 evidence;

13 (e) Any other writing or thing which is relevant and which would be admissible
14 in evidence;

15 (f) Investigate reports made by or on behalf of the agency or other party
16 pertaining to the subject matter of the proceeding, to the extent that such reports
17 (1) contain the names and addresses of witnesses or of persons having personal
18 knowledge of the acts, omissions or events which are the basis for the
19 proceeding, or (2) reflect matters perceived by the investigator in the course of his
20 or her investigation, or (3) contain or include by attachment any statement or
21 writing described in (a) to (e), inclusive, or summary thereof.

22 For the purpose of this section, "statements" include written statements by the
23 person signed or otherwise authenticated by him or her, stenographic, mechanical,
24 electrical or other recordings, or transcripts thereof, of oral statements by the
25 person, and written reports or summaries of such oral statements.

26 Nothing in this section shall authorize the inspection or copying of any writing
27 or thing which is privileged from disclosure by law or otherwise made
28 confidential or protected as the attorney's work product.

29 (g) In any proceeding under subdivision (i) or (j) of Section 12940, or Section
30 19572 or 19702, alleging conduct which constitutes sexual harassment, sexual
31 assault, or sexual battery, evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual
32 conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is not discoverable
33 unless it is to be offered at a hearing to attack the credibility of the complainant as
34 provided for under subdivision (j) of Section 11513. This subdivision is intended
35 only to limit the scope of discovery; it is not intended to affect the methods of
36 discovery allowed under this section.

37 **Comment.** Former Section 11507.6 is superseded by Sections 645.210 (time and manner
38 of discovery), 645.220 (discovery of witness list), 645.230 (discovery of statements, writings,
39 and reports), and 645.120 (discovery of evidence of sexual conduct).

40 **§ 11507.7 (repealed). Petition to compel discovery**

41 11507.7. (a) Any party claiming his request for discovery pursuant to Section
42 11507.6 has not been complied with may serve and file a verified petition to

1 compel discovery in the superior court for the county in which the administrative
2 hearing will be held, naming as respondent the party refusing or failing to comply
3 with Section 11507.6. The petition shall state facts showing the respondent party
4 failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, a description of the matters
5 sought to be discovered, the reason or reasons why such matter is discoverable
6 under this section, and the ground or grounds of respondent's refusal so far as
7 known to petitioner.

8 (b) The petition shall be served upon respondent party and filed within 15 days
9 after the respondent party first evidenced his failure or refusal to comply with
10 Section 11507.6 or within 30 days after request was made and the party has
11 failed to reply to the request, whichever period is longer. However, no petition
12 may be filed within 15 days of the date set for commencement of the
13 administrative hearing except upon order of the court after motion and notice and
14 for good cause shown. In acting upon such motion, the court shall consider the
15 necessity and reasons for such discovery, the diligence or lack of diligence of the
16 moving party, whether the granting of the motion will delay the commencement
17 of the administrative hearing on the date set, and the possible prejudice of such
18 action to any party.

19 (c) If from a reading of the petition the court is satisfied that the petition sets
20 forth good cause for relief, the court shall issue an order to show cause directed to
21 the respondent party; otherwise the court shall enter an order denying the
22 petition. The order to show cause shall be served upon the respondent and his
23 attorney of record in the administrative proceeding by personal delivery or
24 certified mail and shall be returnable no earlier than 10 days from its issuance nor
25 later than 30 days after the filing of the petition. The respondent party shall have
26 the right to serve and file a written answer or other response to the petition and
27 order to show cause.

28 (d) The court may in its discretion order the administrative proceeding stayed
29 during the pendency of the proceeding, and if necessary for a reasonable time
30 thereafter to afford the parties time to comply with the court order.

31 (e) Where the matter sought to be discovered is under the custody or control of
32 the respondent party and the respondent party asserts that such matter is not a
33 discoverable matter under the provisions of Section 11507.6, or is privileged
34 against disclosure under such provisions, the court may order lodged with it such
35 matters as are provided in subdivision (b) of Section 915 of the Evidence Code
36 and examine such matters in accordance with the provisions thereof.

37 (f) The court shall decide the case on the matters examined by the court in
38 camera, the papers filed by the parties, and such oral argument and additional
39 evidence as the court may allow.

40 (g) Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the court shall no later than 30
41 days after the filing of the petition file its order denying or granting the petition,
42 provided, however, the court may on its own motion for good cause extend such
43 time an additional 30 days. The order of the court shall be in writing setting forth

1 the matters or parts thereof the petitioner is entitled to discover under Section
 2 11507.6. A copy of the order shall forthwith be served by mail by the clerk upon
 3 the parties. Where the order grants the petition in whole or in part, such order
 4 shall not become effective until 10 days after the date the order is served by the
 5 clerk. Where the order denies relief to the petitioning party, the order shall be
 6 effective on the date it is served by the clerk.

7 (h) The order of the superior court shall be final and not subject to review by
 8 appeal. A party aggrieved by such order, or any part thereof, may within 15 days
 9 after the service of the superior court's order serve and file in the district court of
 10 appeal for the district in which the superior court is located, a petition for a writ of
 11 mandamus to compel the superior court to set aside or otherwise modify its order.
 12 Where such review is sought from an order granting discovery, the order of the
 13 trial court and the administrative proceeding shall be stayed upon the filing of the
 14 petition for writ of mandamus, provided, however, the court of appeal may
 15 dissolve or modify the stay thereafter if it is in the public interest to do so. Where
 16 such review is sought from a denial of discovery, neither the trial court's order
 17 nor the administrative proceeding shall be stayed by the court of appeal except
 18 upon a clear showing of probable error.

19 (i) Where the superior court finds that a party or his attorney, without
 20 substantial justification, failed or refused to comply with Section 11507.6, or,
 21 without substantial justification, filed a petition to compel discovery pursuant to
 22 this section, or, without substantial justification, failed to comply with any order
 23 of court made pursuant to this section, the court may award court costs and
 24 reasonable attorney fees to the opposing party. Nothing in this subdivision shall
 25 limit the power of the superior court to compel obedience to its orders by
 26 contempt proceedings.

27 **Comment.** Former Section 11507.7 is superseded by Sections 645.310-645.340
 28 (compelling discovery) and 648.510-648.530 (enforcement of orders and sanctions).

29 **§ 11508 (repealed). Time and place of hearing**

30 11508. (a) The agency shall consult the office, and subject to the availability of
 31 its staff, shall determine the time and place of hearing. The hearing shall be held in
 32 San Francisco if the transaction occurred or the respondent resides within the
 33 First or Sixth Appellate District, in the County of Los Angeles if the transaction
 34 occurred or the respondent resides within the Second or Fourth Appellate
 35 District, and in the County of Sacramento if the transaction occurred or the
 36 respondent resides within the Third or fifth Appellate District.

37 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a):

38 (1) If the transaction occurred in a district other than that of respondent's
 39 residence, the agency may select the county appropriate for either district.

40 (2) The agency may select a different place nearer the place where the
 41 transaction occurred or the respondent resides.

42 (3) The parties by agreement may select any place within the state.

1 **Comment.** Former Section 11508 is superseded by Sections 642.310 (time and place of
2 hearing) and 642.340 (venue).

3 **§ 11509 (repealed). Notice of hearing**

4 11509. The agency shall deliver or mail a notice of hearing to all parties at least
5 10 days prior to the hearing. The hearing shall not be prior to the expiration of the
6 time within which the respondent is entitled to file a notice of defense.

7 The notice to respondent shall be substantially in the following form but may
8 include other information:

9 You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before [here insert name of
10 agency] at [here insert place of hearing] on the day of , 19_, at the hour of , upon
11 the charges made in the accusation served upon you. You may be present at the
12 hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own
13 expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you
14 at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel.
15 You may present any relevant evidence, and will be given full opportunity to
16 cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the
17 issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production
18 of books, documents or other things by applying to [here insert appropriate office
19 of agency].

20 **Comment.** Former Section 11509 is superseded by Sections 642.310 (time and place of
21 hearing) and 642.360 (notice of hearing). See also Section 613.320 (representation by
22 attorney).

23 **§ 11510 (repealed). Subpoenas**

24 11510. (a) Before the hearing has commenced, the agency or the assigned
25 administrative law judge shall issue subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum at the
26 request of any party for attendance or production of documents at the hearing.
27 Subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum shall be issued in accordance with
28 Sections 1985, 1985.1, and 1985.2 of the Code of Civil Procedure. After the
29 hearing has commenced, the agency itself hearing a case or an administrative law
30 judge sitting alone may issue subpoenas and subpoenas duces tecum.

31 (b) The process issued pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be extended to all parts
32 of the state and shall be served in accordance with Sections 1987 and 1988 of the
33 Code of Civil Procedure. No witness shall be obliged to attend unless the witness
34 is a resident of the state at the time of service.

35 (c) All witnesses appearing pursuant to subpoena, other than the parties or
36 officers or employees of the state or any political subdivision thereof, shall receive
37 fees, and all witnesses appearing pursuant to subpoena, except the parties, shall
38 receive mileage in the same amount and under the same circumstances as
39 prescribed by law for witnesses in civil actions in a superior court. Witnesses
40 appearing pursuant to subpoena, except the parties, who attend hearings at
41 points so far removed from their residences as to prohibit return thereto from day
42 to day shall be entitled in addition to fees and mileage to a per diem compensation

1 of three dollars (\$3) for expenses of subsistence for each day of actual attendance
 2 and for each day necessarily occupied in traveling to and from the hearing. Fees,
 3 mileage, and expenses of subsistence shall be paid by the party at whose request
 4 the witness is subpoenaed.

5 **Comment.** Former Section 11510 is superseded by Sections 645.410-645.440
 6 (subpoenas).

7 **§ 11511 (repealed). Depositions**

8 11511. On verified petition of any party, an agency may order that the
 9 testimony of any material witness residing within or without the State be taken
 10 by deposition in the manner prescribed by law for depositions in civil actions. The
 11 petition shall set forth the nature of the pending proceeding; the name and
 12 address of the witness whose testimony is desired; a showing of the materiality of
 13 his testimony; a showing that the witness will be unable or can not be compelled
 14 to attend; and shall request an order requiring the witness to appear and testify
 15 before an officer named in the petition for that purpose. Where the witness
 16 resides outside the State and where the agency has ordered the taking of his
 17 testimony by deposition, the agency shall obtain an order of court to that effect
 18 by filing a petition therefor in the superior court in Sacramento County. The
 19 proceedings thereon shall be in accordance with the provisions of Section 11189
 20 of the Government Code.

21 **Comment.** Former Section 11511 is superseded by Section 645.130 (preservation of
 22 testimony by deposition).

23 **§ 11511.5 (repealed). Prehearing conferences**

24 11511.5. (a) On motion of a party or by order of an administrative law judge, the
 25 administrative law judge may conduct a prehearing conference. The
 26 administrative law judge shall set the time and place for the prehearing
 27 conference, and the agency shall give reasonable written notice to all parties.

28 (b) The prehearing conference may deal with one or more of the following
 29 matters:

- 30 (1) Exploration of settlement possibilities.
- 31 (2) Preparation of stipulations.
- 32 (3) Clarification of issues.
- 33 (4) Rulings on identity and limitation of the number of witnesses.
- 34 (5) Objections to proffers of evidence.
- 35 (6) Order of presentation of evidence and cross-examination.
- 36 (7) Rulings regarding issuance of subpoenas and protective orders.
- 37 (8) Schedules for the submission of written briefs and schedules for the
 38 commencement and conduct of the hearing.
- 39 (9) Any other matters as shall promote the orderly and prompt conduct of the
 40 hearing.

(c) The administrative law judge shall issue a prehearing order incorporating the matters determined at the prehearing conference. The administrative law judge may direct one or more of the parties to prepare a prehearing order.

Comment. Former Section 11511.5 is superseded by Article 6.5 (commencing with Section 646.110) (prehearing conference).

§ 11512 (repealed). Presiding officer

11512. (a) Every hearing in a contested case shall be presided over by an administrative law judge. The agency itself shall determine whether the administrative law judge is to hear the case alone or whether the agency itself is to hear the case with the administrative law judge.

(b) When the agency itself hears the case, the administrative law judge shall preside at the hearing, rule on the admission and exclusion of evidence, and advise the agency on matters of law; the agency itself shall exercise all other powers relating to the conduct of the hearing but may delegate any or all of them to the administrative law judge. When the administrative law judge alone hears a case, he or she shall exercise all powers relating to the conduct of the hearing.

(c) An administrative law judge or agency member shall voluntarily disqualify himself or herself and withdraw from any case in which he or she cannot accord a fair and impartial hearing or consideration. Any party may request the disqualification of any administrative law judge or agency member by filing an affidavit, prior to the taking of evidence at a hearing, stating with particularity the grounds upon which it is claimed that a fair and impartial hearing cannot be accorded. Where the request concerns an agency member, the issue shall be determined by the other members of the agency. Where the request concerns the administrative law judge, the issue shall be determined by the agency itself if the agency itself hears the case with the administrative law judge, otherwise the issue shall be determined by the administrative law judge. No agency member shall withdraw voluntarily or be subject to disqualification if his or her disqualification would prevent the existence of a quorum qualified to act in the particular case.

(d) The proceedings at the hearing shall be reported by a phonographic reporter. However, upon the consent of all the parties, the proceedings may be reported electronically.

(e) Whenever, after the agency itself has commenced to hear the case with an administrative law judge presiding, a quorum no longer exists, the administrative law judge who is presiding shall complete the hearing as if sitting alone and shall render a proposed decision in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 11517 of the Government Code.

Comment. The substance of the first sentence of subdivision (a) of former Section 11512 is restated in Section 643.110(a) (where administrative law judge required). The second sentence is restated in Section 643.110(b).

The first sentence of subdivision (b) is restated in Section 643.110(d)(1) and (2). The second sentence is restated in Section 643.110(c).

1 The first sentence of subdivision (c) of former Section 11512 is superseded by Section
 2 643.220 (self disqualification). The second, third, and fourth sentences are superseded by
 3 Section 643.230 (procedure for disqualification of presiding officer). The fifth sentence is
 4 not continued: If disqualification would prevent the existence of a quorum qualified to act, a
 5 substitute presiding officer may be appointed under Section 643.130.

6 Subdivision (d) is superseded by Section 648.160 (report of proceedings).

7 Subdivision (e) is restated in Section 643.110(d)(3).

8 **§ 11513 (repealed). Evidence**

9 11513. (a) Oral evidence shall be taken only on oath or affirmation.

10 (b) Each party shall have these rights: to call and examine witnesses, to
 11 introduce exhibits; to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant
 12 to the issues even though that matter was not covered in the direct examination;
 13 to impeach any witness regardless of which party first called him or her to testify;
 14 and to rebut the evidence against him or her. If respondent does not testify in his
 15 or her own behalf he or she may be called and examined as if under cross-
 16 examination.

17 (c) The hearing need not be conducted according to technical rules relating to
 18 evidence and witnesses, except as hereinafter provided. Any relevant evidence
 19 shall be admitted if it is the sort of evidence on which responsible persons are
 20 accustomed to rely in the conduct of serious affairs, regardless of the existence of
 21 any common law or statutory rule which might make improper the admission of
 22 the evidence over objection in civil actions. Hearsay evidence may be used for
 23 the purpose of supplementing or explaining other evidence but shall not be
 24 sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over
 25 objection in civil actions. The rules of privilege shall be effective to the extent
 26 that they are otherwise required by statute to be recognized at the hearing, and
 27 irrelevant and unduly repetitious evidence shall be excluded.

28 In any proceeding under subdivision (i) or (j) of Section 12940, or Section
 29 19572 or 19702, alleging conduct which constitutes sexual harassment, sexual
 30 assault, or sexual battery, evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual
 31 conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is not admissible at
 32 hearing unless offered to attack the credibility of the complainant, as provided for
 33 under subdivision (o). Reputation or opinion evidence regarding the sexual
 34 behavior of the complainant is not admissible for any purpose.

35 (d) The hearing, or any medical examination conducted for the purpose of
 36 determining compensation or monetary award, shall be conducted in the English
 37 language, except that a party who does not proficiently speak or understand the
 38 English language and who requests language assistance shall be provided an
 39 interpreter. Except as provided in subdivision (k), interpreters utilized in hearings
 40 shall be certified pursuant to subdivision (e). Except as provided in subdivision
 41 (k), interpreters utilized in medical examinations shall be certified pursuant to
 42 subdivision (f). The cost of providing the interpreter shall be paid by the agency

1 having jurisdiction over the matter if the administrative law judge or hearing
2 officer so directs, otherwise the party for whom the interpreter is provided.

3 The administrative law judge's or hearing officer's decision to direct payment
4 shall be based upon an equitable consideration of all the circumstances in each
5 case, such as the ability of the party in need of the interpreter to pay, except with
6 respect to hearings before the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board or the
7 Division of Workers' Compensation relating to worker's compensation claims.
8 With respect to these hearings, the payment of the costs of providing an
9 interpreter shall be governed by the rules and regulations promulgated by the
10 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board or the Administrative Director of the
11 Division of Workers' Compensation, as appropriate.

12 (e) The State Personnel Board which shall establish, maintain, administer, and
13 publish annually an updated list of certified administrative hearing interpreters it
14 has determined meet the minimum standards in interpreting skills and linguistic
15 abilities in languages designated pursuant to subdivision (g). Any interpreter so
16 listed may be examined by each employing agency to determine the interpreter's
17 knowledge of the employing agency's technical program terminology and
18 procedures. Court interpreters certified pursuant to Section 68562, and
19 interpreters listed on the State Personnel Board's recommended lists of court and
20 administrative hearing interpreters prior to July 1, 1993, shall be deemed certified
21 for purposes of this subdivision.

22 (f) The State Personnel Board shall establish, maintain, administer, and publish
23 annually, an updated list of certified medical examination interpreters it has
24 determined meet the minimum standards in interpreting skills and linguistic
25 abilities in languages designated pursuant to subdivision (g). Court interpreters
26 certified pursuant to Section 68562 and administrative hearing interpreters
27 certified pursuant to subdivision (e) shall be deemed certified for purposes of this
28 subdivision.

29 (g) The State Personnel Board shall designate the languages for which
30 certification shall be established under subdivisions (e) and (f). The languages
31 designated shall include, but not be limited to, Spanish, Tagalog, Arabic,
32 Cantonese, Japanese, Korean, Portuguese, and Vietnamese until the State
33 Personnel Board finds that there is an insufficient need for interpreting assistance
34 in these languages. The language designations shall be based on the following:

35 (1) The language needs of non-English-speaking persons appearing before the
36 administrative agencies, as determined by consultation with the agencies.

37 (2) The cost of developing a language examination.

38 (3) The availability of experts needed to develop a language examination.

39 (4) Other information the board deems relevant.

40 (h) Each certified administrative hearing interpreter and each certified medical
41 examination interpreter shall pay a fee, due on July 1 of each year, for the renewal
42 of his or her certification. Court interpreters certified under Section 68562 shall
43 not pay any fees required by this section.

(i) The State Personnel Board shall establish and charge fees for applications to take interpreter examinations and for renewal of certifications. The purpose of these fees is to cover the annual projected costs of carrying out this section. The fees may be adjusted each fiscal year by a percent that is equal to or less than the percent change in the California Necessities Index prepared by the Commission on State Finance. If the amount of money collected in fees is not sufficient to cover the costs of carrying out this section, the board shall charge and be reimbursed a pro rata share of the additional costs by the state agencies that conduct administrative hearings.

(j) The State Personnel Board may remove the names of people from the list of certified interpreters if the following conditions occur:

(1) A person on the list is deceased.

(2) A person on the list notifies the board that he or she is unavailable for work.

(3) A person on the list does not submit a renewal fee as required by subdivision (h).

(k) In the event that interpreters certified pursuant to subdivision (e) cannot be present at the hearing, the hearing agency shall have discretionary authority to provisionally qualify and utilize other interpreters. In the event that interpreters certified pursuant to subdivision (f) cannot be present at the medical examination, the physician provisionally may utilize another interpreter if that fact is noted in the record of the medical evaluation.

(l) Every state agency affected by this section shall advise each party of their right to an interpreter at the same time that each party is advised of the hearing date or medical examination. Each party in need of an interpreter shall also be encouraged to give timely notice to the agency conducting the hearing or medical examination so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

(m) The rules of confidentiality of the agency, if any, that may apply in an adjudicatory hearing, shall apply to any interpreter in the hearing, whether or not the rules so state.

(n) The interpreter shall not have had any involvement in the issues of the case prior to the hearing.

As used in subdivisions (d) and (e), the terms "administrative law judge" and "hearing officer" shall not be construed to require the use of an Office of Administrative Hearings' administrative law judge or hearing officer.

(o) Evidence of specific instances of a complainant's sexual conduct with individuals other than the alleged perpetrator is presumed inadmissible absent an offer of proof establishing its relevance and reliability and that its probative value is not substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission will create substantial danger of undue prejudice or confuse the issue.

(p) For purposes of this section "complainant" means any person claiming to have been subjected to conduct which constitutes sexual harassment, sexual assault, or sexual battery.

(q) This section becomes operative on July 1, 1995.

1 **Comment.** Subdivision (a) of former Section 11513 is superseded by Section 648.330(a)
2 (oral evidence).

3 Subdivision (b) is superseded by Section 648.320 (presentation of evidence).

4 The first two sentences of subdivision (c) are superseded by Section 648.410 (technical
5 rules of evidence inapplicable). The third sentence is restated in Section 648.450 (hearsay
6 evidence and the residuum rule). The fourth sentence is superseded by Sections 648.440
7 (privilege) and 648.420 (discretion of presiding officer to exclude evidence). The second
8 paragraph is restated in Section 648.470(b).

9 Subdivisions (d)-(n) are restated in Sections 648.240-648.285.

10 Subdivision (o) is restated in Section 648.470(c).

11 Subdivision (p) is restated in Section 648.470(a).

12 **§ 11513.5 (repealed). Ex parte communications**

13 11513.5. (a) Except as required for the disposition of ex parte matters
14 specifically authorized by statute, a presiding officer serving in an adjudicative
15 proceeding may not communicate, directly or indirectly, upon the merits of a
16 contested matter while the proceeding is pending, with any party, including
17 employees of the agency that filed the complaint, with any person who has a
18 direct or indirect interest in the outcome of the proceeding, or with any person
19 who presided at a previous stage of the proceeding, without notice and
20 opportunity for all parties to participate in the communication.

21 (b) Unless required for the disposition of ex parte matters specifically authorized
22 by statute, no party to an adjudicative proceeding, including employees of the
23 agency that filed the complaint, and no person who has a direct or indirect
24 interest in the outcome of the proceeding or who presided at a previous stage of
25 the proceeding, may communicate directly or indirectly, upon the merits of a
26 contested matter while the proceeding is pending, with any person serving as
27 administrative law judge, without notice and opportunity for all parties to
28 participate in the communication.

29 (c) If, before serving as administrative law judge in an adjudicative proceeding,
30 a person receives an ex parte communication of a type that could not properly be
31 received while serving, the person, promptly after starting to serve, shall disclose
32 the content of the communication in the manner prescribed in subdivision (d).

33 (d) An administrative law judge who receives an ex parte communication in
34 violation of this section shall place on the record of the pending matter all written
35 communications received, all written responses to the communications, and a
36 memorandum stating the substance of all oral communications received, all
37 responses made, and the identity of each person from whom the presiding officer
38 received an ex parte communication, and shall advise all parties that these matters
39 have been placed on the record. Any person desiring to rebut the ex parte
40 communication shall be allowed to do so, upon requesting the opportunity for
41 rebuttal within 10 days after notice of the communication.

42 (e) The receipt by an administrative law judge of an ex parte communication in
43 violation of this section may provide the basis for disqualification of that
44 administrative law judge pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 11512. If the

1 administrative law judge is disqualified, the portion of the record pertaining to the
2 ex parte communication may be sealed by protective order by the disqualified
3 administrative law judge.

4 **Comment.** Subdivisions (a) and (b) of former Section 11513.5 are restated in Section
5 643.410 (ex parte communications prohibited), omitting the prohibition on the presiding
6 officer communicating with others and the limitation on communications with a person who
7 presided at a previous stage of the proceeding. Subdivision (c) is restated in Section 643.440
8 (prior ex parte communication) but is limited to communications received during the
9 pendency of the proceeding. Subdivision (d) is restated in Section 643.450 (disclosure of ex
10 parte communication). Subdivision (e) is restated in Section 643.460 (disqualification of
11 presiding officer).

12 § 11514 (repealed). Affidavits

13 11514. (a) At any time 10 or more days prior to a hearing or a continued hearing,
14 any part may mail or deliver to the opposing party a copy of any affidavit which
15 he proposes to introduce in evidence, together with a notice as provided in
16 subdivision (b). Unless the opposing party, within seven days after such mailing
17 or delivery, mails or delivers to the proponent a request to cross-examine an
18 affiant, his right to cross-examine such affiant is waived and the affidavit, if
19 introduced in evidence, shall be given the same effect as if the affiant had testified
20 orally. If an opportunity to cross-examine an affiant is not afforded after request
21 therefor is made as herein provided, the affidavit may be introduced in evidence,
22 but shall be given only the same effect as other hearsay evidence.

23 (b) The notice referred to in subdivision (a) shall be substantially in the
24 following form:

25 The accompanying affidavit of (here insert name of affiant) will be introduced
26 as evidence at the hearing in (here insert title of proceeding). (Here insert name of
27 affiant) will not be called to testify orally and you will not be entitled to question
28 him unless you notify (here insert name of proponent or his attorney) at (here
29 insert address) that you wish to cross-examine him. To be effective your request
30 must be mailed or delivered to (here insert name of proponent or his attorney) on
31 or before (here insert a date seven days after the date of mailing or delivering the
32 affidavit to the opposing party).

33 **Comment.** Former Section 11514 is restated in Section 648.340 (affidavit evidence), except
34 that the ten-day period for service of notice of intent to produce affidavit evidence is changed
35 to 30 days, and the seven-day period to request cross-examination is changed to 10 days.

36 § 11515 (repealed). Official notice

37 11515. In reaching a decision official notice may be taken, either before or after
38 submission of the case for decision, of any generally accepted technical or
39 scientific matter within the agency's special field, and of any fact which may be
40 judicially noticed by the courts of this State. Parties present at the hearing shall be
41 informed of the matters to be noticed, and those matters shall be noted in the
42 record, referred to therein, or appended thereto. Any such party shall be given a
43 reasonable opportunity on request to refute the officially noticed matters by

1 evidence or by written or oral presentation of authority, the manner of such
2 refutation to be determined by the agency.

3 **Comment.** Former Section 11515 is superseded by Section 648.370 (official notice).

4 **§ 11516 (repealed). Amendment of accusation after submission of case**

5 11516. The agency may order amendment of the accusation after submission of
6 the case for decision. Each party shall be given notice of the intended amendment
7 and opportunity to show that he will be prejudiced thereby unless the case is
8 reopened to permit the introduction of additional evidence in his behalf. If such
9 prejudice is shown the agency shall reopen the case to permit the introduction of
10 additional evidence.

11 **Comment.** Former Section 11516 is superseded by Section 642.260 (amended and
12 supplemental pleadings).

13 **§ 11517 (repealed). Decision in contested cases**

14 11517. (a) If a contested case is heard before an agency itself, the administrative
15 law judge who presided at the hearing shall be present during the consideration
16 of the case and, if requested, shall assist and advise the agency. Where a
17 contested case is heard before an agency itself, no member thereof who did not
18 hear the evidence shall vote on the decision.

19 (b) If a contested case is heard by an administrative law judge alone, he or she
20 shall prepare within 30 days after the case is submitted a proposed decision in
21 such form that it may be adopted as the decision in the case. The agency itself
22 may adopt the proposed decision in its entirety, or may reduce the proposed
23 penalty and adopt the balance of the proposed decision. Thirty days after receipt
24 of the proposed decision, a copy of the proposed decision shall be filed by the
25 agency as a public record and a copy shall be served by the agency on each
26 party and his or her attorney.

27 (c) If the proposed decision is not adopted as provided in subdivision (b), the
28 agency itself may decide the case upon the record, including the transcript, with
29 or without taking additional evidence, or may refer the case to the same
30 administrative law judge to take additional evidence. By stipulation of the parties,
31 the agency may decide the case upon the record without including the transcript.
32 If the case is assigned to an administrative law judge he or she shall prepare a
33 proposed decision as provided in subdivision (b) upon the additional evidence
34 and the transcript and other papers which are part of the record of the prior
35 hearing. A copy of the proposed decision shall be furnished to each party and his
36 or her attorney as prescribed in subdivision (b). The agency itself shall decide no
37 case provided for in this subdivision without affording the parties the
38 opportunity to present either oral or written argument before the agency itself. If
39 additional oral evidence is introduced before the agency itself, no agency member
40 may vote unless the member heard the additional oral evidence.

(d) The proposed decision shall be deemed adopted by the agency 100 days after delivery to the agency by the Office of Administrative Hearings, unless within that time the agency commences proceedings to decide the case upon the record, including the transcript, or without the transcript where the parties have so stipulated, or the agency refers the case to the administrative law judge to take additional evidence. In a case where the agency itself hears the case, the agency shall issue its decision within 100 days of submission of the case. In a case where the agency has ordered a transcript of the proceedings, the 100-day period shall begin upon delivery of the transcript. If the agency finds that a further delay is required by special circumstances, it shall issue an order delaying the decision no more than 30 days and specifying the reasons therefor. The order shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to Section 11523.

(e) The decision of the agency shall be filed immediately by the agency as a public record and a copy shall be served by the agency on each party and his or her attorney.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of former Section 11517 is restated in Section 643.110(d)(3) with the addition of a sentence that makes clear the agency head may make the decision in the proceeding.

The substance of the first sentence of subdivision (b) is restated in Section 649.110(b) (decision) and is superseded by Section 649.120 (form and contents of decision). The second sentence is restated in Section 649.140 (adoption of proposed decision). The third sentence is restated in Sections 613.210 (service) and 649.130 (filing of proposed decision).

The first and second sentences of subdivision (c) are restated in Section 649.240 (review procedure). The third and fourth sentences are restated in Section 642.860 (procedure on remand). The fifth and sixth sentences are superseded by Section 649.240 (review procedure).

The first sentence of subdivision (d) is superseded by Sections 649.150 (time proposed decision becomes decision) and 649.220 (initiation of review). The second sentence is restated in Section 649.110(a) (decision). The third, fourth, and fifth sentences are restated in Section 649.220 (initiation of review).

Subdivision (e) is restated in Section 649.160 (delivery of decision to parties).

§ 11518 (repealed). Decision

11518. The decision shall be in writing and shall contain findings of fact, a determination of the issues presented and the penalty, if any. The findings may be stated in the language of the pleadings or by reference thereto. Copies of the decision shall be delivered to the parties personally or sent to them by registered mail.

Comment. The substance of the first two sentences of former Section 11518 is restated in Section 649.120 (contents of decision). The third sentence is restated in Section 649.160 (delivery of decision to parties).

§ 11519 (repealed). Effective date of decision; stay of execution; notification; restitution

11519. (a) The decision shall become effective 30 days after it is delivered or mailed to respondent unless: A reconsideration is ordered within that time, or the agency itself orders that the decision shall become effective sooner, or a stay of execution is granted.

1 (b) A stay of execution may be included in the decision or if not included
 2 therein may be granted by the agency at any time before the decision becomes
 3 effective. The stay of execution provided herein may be accompanied by an
 4 express condition that respondent comply with specified terms of probation;
 5 provided, however, that the terms of probation shall be just and reasonable in the
 6 light of the findings and decision.

7 (c) If respondent was required to register with any public officer, a notification
 8 of any suspension or revocation shall be sent to such officer after the decision has
 9 become effective.

10 (d) As used in subdivision (b), specified terms of probation may include an order
 11 of restitution which requires the party or parties to a contract against whom the
 12 decision is rendered to compensate the other party or parties to a contract
 13 damaged as a result of a breach of contract by the party against whom the
 14 decision is rendered. In such case, the decision shall include findings that a breach
 15 of contract has occurred and shall specify the amount of actual damages
 16 sustained as a result of such breach. Where restitution is ordered and paid
 17 pursuant to the provisions of this subdivision, such amount paid shall be credited
 18 to any subsequent judgment in a civil action based on the same breach of
 19 contract.

20 **Comment.** Former Section 11519 is restated in Chapter 12 (commencing with Section
 21 649.410) (enforcement of decision).

22 § 11520 (repealed). Defaults

23 11520. (a) If the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
 24 hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express
 25 admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence
 26 without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the
 27 respondent to establish that he is entitled to the agency action sought, the
 28 agency may act without taking evidence.

29 (b) Nothing herein shall be construed to deprive the respondent of the right to
 30 make any showing by way of mitigation.

31 **Comment.** Former Section 11520 is superseded by Section 648.130 (default).

32 § 11521 (repealed). Reconsideration

33 11521. (a) The agency itself may order a reconsideration of all or part of the case
 34 on its own motion or on petition of any party. The power to order a
 35 reconsideration shall expire 30 days after the delivery or mailing of a decision to
 36 respondent, or on the date set by the agency itself as the effective date of the
 37 decision if that date occurs prior to the expiration of the 30-day period or at the
 38 termination of a stay of not to exceed 30 days which the agency may grant for
 39 the purpose of filing an application for reconsideration. If additional time is
 40 needed to evaluate a petition for reconsideration filed prior to the expiration of
 41 any of the applicable periods, an agency may grant a stay of that expiration for

1 no more than 10 days, solely for the purpose of considering the petition. If no
 2 action is taken on a petition within the time allowed for ordering reconsideration,
 3 the petition shall be deemed denied.

4 (b) The case may be reconsidered by the agency itself on all the pertinent parts
 5 of the record and such additional evidence and argument as may be permitted, or
 6 may be assigned to an administrative law judge. A reconsideration assigned to an
 7 administrative law judge shall be subject to the procedure provided in Section
 8 11517. If oral evidence is introduced before the agency itself, no agency member
 9 may vote unless he or she heard the evidence.

10 **Comment.** Former Section 11521 is superseded by Section 649.170 (correction of
 11 mistakes in decision).

12 **§ 11522 (repealed). Reinstatement of license or reduction of penalty**

13 11522. A person whose license has been revoked or suspended may petition
 14 the agency for reinstatement or reduction of penalty after a period of not less
 15 than one year has elapsed from the effective date of the decision or from the date
 16 of the denial of a similar petition. The agency shall give notice to the Attorney
 17 General of the filing of the petition and the Attorney General and the petitioner
 18 shall be afforded an opportunity to present either oral or written argument before
 19 the agency itself. The agency itself shall decide the petition, and the decision shall
 20 include the reasons therefor, and any terms and conditions that the agency
 21 reasonably deems appropriate to impose as a condition of reinstatement. This
 22 section shall not apply if the statutes dealing with the particular agency contain
 23 different provisions for reinstatement or reduction of penalty.

24 **Comment.** Former Section 11522 is restated in Section 649.450 (reinstatement of license
 25 or reduction of penalty).

26 **§ 11523 (repealed). Judicial review**

27 11523. Judicial review may be had by filing a petition for a writ of mandate in
 28 accordance with the provisions of the Code of Civil procedure, subject, however,
 29 to the statutes relating to the particular agency. Except as otherwise provided in
 30 this section, any such petition shall be filed within 30 days after the last day on
 31 which reconsideration can be ordered. The right to petition shall not be affected
 32 by the failure to seek reconsideration before the agency. The complete record of
 33 the proceedings, or such parts thereof as are designated by the petitioner, shall be
 34 prepared by the agency and shall be delivered to petitioner, within 30 days after a
 35 request therefor by him or her, upon the payment of the fee specified in Section
 36 69950 as now or hereinafter amended for the transcript, the cost of preparation of
 37 other portions of the record and for certification thereof. Thereafter, the remaining
 38 balance of any costs or charges for the preparation of the record shall be assessed
 39 against the petitioner whenever the agency prevails on judicial review following
 40 trial of the cause. These costs or charges constitute a debt of the petitioner which
 41 is collectible by the agency in the same manner as in the case of an obligation
 42 under a contract, and no license shall be renewed or reinstated where the

petitioner has failed to pay all of these costs or charges. The complete record includes the pleadings, all notices and orders issued by the agency, any proposed decision by an administrative law judge, the final decision, a transcript of all proceedings, the exhibits admitted or rejected, the written evidence and any other papers in the case. Where petitioner, within 10 days after the last day on which reconsideration can be ordered, requests the agency to prepare all or any part of the record the time within which a petition may be filed shall be extended until 30 days after its delivery to him or her. The agency may file with the court the original of any document in the record in lieu of a copy thereof. In the event that the petitioner prevails in overturning the administrative decision following judicial review, the agency shall reimburse the petitioner for all costs of transcript preparation, compilation of the record, and certification.

Comment. Former Section 11523 is continued in Section 650 (judicial review) without substantive change.

§ 11524 (repealed). Continuances; grant time; good cause; denial; notice review

11524. (a) The agency may grant continuances. When an administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings has been assigned to the hearing, no continuance may be granted except by him or her or by the administrative law judge in charge of the appropriate regional office of the Office of Administrative Hearings, for good cause shown.

(b) When seeking a continuance, a party shall apply for the continuance within 10 working days following the time the party discovered or reasonably should have discovered the event or occurrence which establishes the good cause for the continuance. A continuance may be granted for good cause after the 10 working days have lapsed if the party seeking the continuance is not responsible for and has made a good faith effort to prevent the condition or event establishing the good cause.

(c) In the event that an application for a continuance by a party is denied by an administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, and the party seeks judicial review thereof, the party shall, within 10 working days of the denial, make application for appropriate judicial relief in the superior court or be barred from judicial review thereof as a matter of jurisdiction. A party applying for judicial relief from the denial shall give notice to the agency and other parties. Notwithstanding Section 1010 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the notice may be either oral at the time of the denial of application for a continuance or written at the same time application is made in court for judicial relief. This subdivision does not apply to the Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.

Comment. Former Section 11524(a)-(b) is superseded by Section 642.320 (continuances). The substance of subdivision (c) is continued in Section 642.330 (judicial review of denial of continuance).

1 **§ 11525 (repealed). Contempt**

2 11525. If any person in proceedings before an agency disobeys or resists any
3 lawful order or refuses to respond to a subpoena, or refuses to take the oath or
4 affirmation as a witness or thereafter refuses to be examined, or is guilty of
5 misconduct during a hearing or so near the place thereof as to obstruct the
6 proceeding, the agency shall certify the facts to the superior court in and for the
7 county where the proceedings are held. The court shall thereupon issue an order
8 directing the person to appear before the court and show cause why he should
9 not be punished as for contempt. The order and a copy of the certified statement
10 shall be served on the person. Thereafter the court shall have jurisdiction of the
11 matter. The same proceedings shall be had, the same penalties may be imposed
12 and the person charged may purge himself of the contempt in the same way, as in
13 the case of a person who has committed a contempt in the trial of a civil action
14 before a superior court.

15 **Comment.** Former Section 11525 is restated in Sections 648.510-648.530 (enforcement of
16 orders and sanctions).

17 **§ 11526 (repealed). Voting by mail**

18 11526. The members of an agency qualified to vote on any question may vote
19 by mail.

20 **Comment.** Former Section 11526 is restated in Section 613.110 (voting by agency
21 member).

22 **§ 11527 (repealed). Charge against funds of agency**

23 11527. Any sums authorized to be expended under this chapter by any agency
24 shall be a legal charge against the funds of the agency.

25 **Comment.** Section 11527 is not continued.

26 **§ 11528 (repealed). Oaths**

27 11528. In any proceedings under this chapter any agency, agency member,
28 secretary of an agency, hearing reporter, or administrative law judge has power to
29 administer oaths and affirmations and to certify to official acts.

30 **Comment.** Former Section 11528 is restated in Section 613.120 (oaths, affirmations, and
31 certification of official acts).
32

33 **§ 11529 (repealed). Interim orders**

34 11529. (a) The administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel
35 established pursuant to Section 11371 may issue an interim order suspending a
36 license, or imposing drug testing, continuing education, supervision of
37 procedures, or other license restrictions. Interim orders may be issued only if the
38 affidavits in support of the petition show that the licensee has engaged in, or is
39 about to engage in, acts or omissions constituting a violation of the Medical
40 Practice Act or the appropriate practice act governing each allied health

1 profession, and that permitting the licensee to continue to engage in the
2 profession for which the license was issued will endanger the public health,
3 safety, or welfare.

4 (b) All orders authorized by this section shall be issued only after a hearing
5 conducted pursuant to subdivision (d), unless it appears from the facts shown by
6 affidavit that serious injury would result to the public before the matter can be
7 heard on notice. Except as provided in subdivision (c), the licensee shall receive
8 at least 15 days' prior notice of the hearing, which notice shall include affidavits
9 and all other information in support of the order.

10 (c) If an interim order is issued without notice, the administrative law judge who
11 issued the order without notice shall cause the licensee to be notified of the order,
12 including affidavits and all other information in support of the order by a 24-hour
13 delivery service. That notice shall also include the date of the hearing on the
14 order, which shall be conducted in accordance with the requirement of
15 subdivision (d), not later than 20 days from the date of issuance. The order shall
16 be dissolved unless the requirements of subdivision (a) are satisfied.

17 (d) For the purposes of the hearing conducted pursuant to this section, the
18 licentiate shall, at a minimum, have the following rights:

19 (1) To be represented by counsel.

20 (2) To have a record made of the proceedings, copies of which may be obtained
21 by the licentiate upon payment of any reasonable charges associated with the
22 record.

23 (3) To present written evidence in the form of relevant declarations, affidavits,
24 and documents.

25 The discretion of the administrative law judge to permit testimony at the
26 hearing conducted pursuant to this section shall be identical to the discretion of a
27 superior court judge to permit testimony at a hearing conducted pursuant to
28 Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

29 (4) To present oral argument.

30 (e) Consistent with the burden and standards of proof applicable to a
31 preliminary injunction entered under Section 527 of the Code of Civil Procedure,
32 the court shall grant the interim order where, in the exercise of its discretion, it
33 concludes that:

34 (1) There is a reasonable probability that the petitioner will prevail in the
35 underlying action.

36 (2) The likelihood of injury to the public in not issuing the order outweighs the
37 likelihood of injury to the licensee in issuing the order.

38 (f) In all cases where an interim order is issued, and an accusation is not filed
39 and served pursuant to Sections 11503 and 11505 within 15 days of the date in
40 which the parties to the hearing on the interim order have submitted the matter,
41 the order shall be dissolved.

42 Upon service of the accusation the licensee shall have, in addition to the rights
43 granted by this section, all of the rights and privileges available as specified in this

1 chapter. If the licensee requests a hearing on the accusation, the board shall
2 provide the licensee with a hearing within 30 days of the request, unless the
3 licensee stipulates to a later hearing, and a decision within 15 days of the date
4 that matter is submitted, or the board shall nullify the interim order previously
5 issued, unless good cause can be shown by the division for a delay.

6 (g) Where an interim order is issued, a written decision shall be prepared within
7 15 days of the hearing, by the administrative law judge, including findings of fact
8 and a conclusion articulating the connection between the evidence produced at
9 the hearing and the decision reached.

10 (h) Notwithstanding the fact that interim orders issued pursuant to this section
11 are not issued after a hearing as otherwise required by this chapter, interim orders
12 so issued shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the
13 Code of Civil Procedure. The relief which may be ordered shall be limited to a
14 stay of the interim order. Interim orders issued pursuant to this section are final
15 interim orders and, if not dissolved pursuant to subdivision (c) or (f), may only be
16 challenged administratively at the hearing on the accusation.

17 (i) The interim order provided for by this section shall be in addition to, and not
18 a limitation on, the authority to seek injunctive relief provided for in the Business
19 and Professions Code.

20 **Comment.** Former Section 11529 is continued in Business and Professions Code Section
21 494.1 without substantive change.